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**Monitored natural attenuation (MNA)** is a cost-effective remediation approach that is applicable to many sites and has been embraced by the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD). Monitored natural attenuation can be used to mitigate petroleum hydrocarbon, chlorinated hydrocarbon, and metal-contaminated sites as an alternative to groundwater pump and treat methods. Determination of MNA's technical applicability for a given site is based on sampling and analysis, data evaluation and modeling, and long-term monitoring. Parameters that are evaluated include concentrations of contaminants, electron acceptors, and electron donors. These concentrations in combination with hydrogeologic, soil, and microbial characteristics are used to assess the fate and transport of contaminants and the potential for natural attenuation.

This report describes the demonstration of a novel analytical technology: a dissolved hydrogen (DH) analyzer. The report describes demonstration of the DH analyzer at three Department of Defense (DoD) sites as well as supplemental development of the DH analyzer.
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Preface

This report describes the demonstration of a novel analytical technology: a dissolved hydrogen (DH) analyzer. The report describes demonstration of the DH analyzer at three Department of Defense (DoD) sites as well as supplemental development of the DH analyzer.

Several individuals and organizations contributed to completion of this project and are listed below:

Carmen A. Lebrón (PI)  Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC)
Barbara Sugiyama  NFESC
Patrick J. Evans, Ph.D. (Co-PI)  Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. (CDM)
Mary Trute  CDM
Roger Olsen, Ph.D.  CDM
John Eisenbeis, Ph.D.  CDM
Frank Chapelle, Ph.D.  United States Geological Survey (USGS)
Executive Summary

Monitored natural attenuation (MNA) is a cost-effective remediation approach that is applicable to many sites and has been embraced by the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD). Monitored natural attenuation can be used to mitigate petroleum hydrocarbon, chlorinated hydrocarbon, and metal-contaminated sites as an alternative to groundwater pump and treat methods.

Determination of MNA's technical applicability for a given site is based on sampling and analysis, data evaluation and modeling, and long-term monitoring. Parameters that are evaluated include concentrations of contaminants, electron acceptors, and electron donors. These concentrations in combination with hydrogeologic, soil, and microbial characteristics are used to assess the fate and transport of contaminants and the potential for natural attenuation.

Concentrations of electron acceptors or their reduced products are typically used to:

1. Identify terminal electron accepting processes (TEAP) responsible for contaminant biodegradation that are occurring in specific areas of a contaminant plume, and

2. Quantify assimilative capacity of an aquifer for contaminants of concern.

TEAPs affect in situ transformation of many pollutants in part by their impact on dissolved hydrogen (DH) concentrations in groundwater. Identification of TEAPs and DH concentrations can indicate specific degradation patterns of contaminants such as chlorinated hydrocarbons and benzene.

Although DH measurements are proven to be a useful tool to delineate the TEAPs in aquifers, this parameter is not measured in the field due to the expensive analytical equipment that is required. A portable DH analyzer was invented and developed by Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. (CDM). Such an analyzer does not exist elsewhere and the only other means available to measure DH in the field at the required low concentrations involves use of the "bubble-strip" method in conjunction with a reduction gas analyzer (Chapelle et al.1997). This method is difficult to perform, time-consuming, and expensive; therefore, it has not gained widespread acceptance as a field analytical method.

The main objectives of this demonstration were to:

- Validate the DH analyzer by determining the correlation between the DH analyzer results and those obtained using the standard bubble strip/reduction gas analyzer method.
- Quantify operational costs associate with using the DH analyzer

The DH analyzer was used to analyze groundwater from existing monitoring wells at three sites to evaluate performance under a range of conditions. The sites were SUBASE Bangor (Kipsap County, Washington), Fort Lewis Logistics Center (Tillicum, Washington), and the Naval Air
Station in Pensacola Florida (NAS Pensacola). Groundwater was analyzed by both methods (the standard bubble strip method and the novel analyzer developed by CDM) in locations at each site that were expected to cover a wide range of hydrogen concentrations. Also, samples were analyzed from locations with a range of groundwater contaminants, including fuel hydrocarbons and chlorinated solvents.

Performance of the DH analyzer was evaluated based on the following criteria:

- **Accuracy** as demonstrated by a one-to-one correlation between the standard bubble strip method and the novel analyzer.
- **Range** as demonstrated by a response from less than 0.2 nM to greater than 10 nM DH.
- **Precision** as demonstrated by a low coefficient of variation amongst replicate analyses.
- **Sample throughput** as demonstrated by low analysis time relative to the bubble-strip method.
- **Mechanical reliability** as demonstrated by a low incidence of failure.
- **Versatility** as demonstrated by acceptable performance at all three sites.

At each of the three demonstration sites DH measurements were taken from 10 monitoring wells using the DH analyzer and the reference (bubble strip) method. The correlation coefficients for the two methods for Sites 1, 2, and 3 were 0.80, 0.24, and 0.01, respectively. The variability of correlation of the DH analyzer results to bubble strip method results has been determined to be most likely due to interferences from dissolved gases [primarily methane and hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S)] in the groundwater analyzed. Specifically, the ability of the various hydrogen sensors tested to accurately measure gaseous hydrogen was negatively impacted by the presence of other gases that partitioned from groundwater during gas-liquid equilibration (GLE).

The interference of other dissolved gases impacted how the DH analyzer performed against several performance criteria, including accuracy, precision, sensitivity, and range. It was evident that further investigation and testing of hydrogen sensors not susceptible to interference by other dissolved gases would be required for the DH analyzer to be a reliable field instrument. Additionally, the viability, or lack thereof, of the DH analyzer could not be demonstrated at this time because of poor precision (e.g., coefficient of variation of 17 to 67 percent) of the standard reference method (i.e., the bubble strip method). Therefore, ESTCP discontinued further demonstration of the analyzer.

These demonstrations showed that while the DH analyzer is not yet ready for commercialization, with further development it can be a valuable tool for providing accurate field analyses of dissolved hydrogen. This further development needs to focus on development of better sensors,
addition of better adsorbents for interfering gas removal, development of leak detection systems, and improvement of mechanical stability.
1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background
Monitored natural attenuation (MNA) is a cost-effective remediation approach that is applicable to many sites and has been embraced by the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD). Monitored natural attenuation can be used to mitigate petroleum hydrocarbon, chlorinated hydrocarbon, and metal-contaminated sites as an alternative to groundwater pump and treat methods.

Determination of MNA's technical applicability for a given site is based on sampling and analysis, data evaluation and modeling, and long-term monitoring. Parameters that are evaluated include concentrations of contaminants, electron acceptors, and electron donors. These concentrations in combination with hydrogeologic, soil, and microbial characteristics are used to assess the fate and transport of contaminants and the potential for natural attenuation.

Concentrations of electron acceptors or their reduced products are typically used to:

1. Identify terminal electron accepting processes (TEAP) responsible for contaminant biodegradation that are occurring in specific areas of a contaminant plume, and

2. Quantify assimilative capacity of an aquifer for contaminants of concern.

TEAPs affect in situ transformation of many pollutants in part by their impact on dissolved hydrogen (DH) concentrations in groundwater. Identification of TEAPs and DH concentrations can indicate specific degradation patterns of contaminants such as chlorinated hydrocarbons and benzene.

Although DH measurements are proven to be a useful tool to delineate the TEAPs in aquifers, this parameter is not measured in the field due to the expensive analytical equipment that is required. A portable DH analyzer was invented and developed by Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. (CDM) (Evans 2001a,b; and Evans et al. 2001). Such an analyzer does not exist elsewhere and the only other means available to measure DH in the field at the required low concentrations involves use of the "bubble-strip" method in conjunction with a reduction gas analyzer (Chapelle et al. 1997). This method involves equilibration of a bubble of nitrogen with a flowing stream of groundwater in a gas-sampling bulb made from glass. Samples of the gas bubble are injected into a reduction gas analyzer wherein the hydrogen chemically reduces a heated bed of mercuric oxide to form gaseous mercury, which is sensed by an ultraviolet detector. Chromatographic separation of the hydrogen from other reducing gases is required before mercuric oxide reduction. The gaseous hydrogen concentration is then related to the DH concentration by Henry's Law, where 0.1 nanomolar (nM) of DH approximately correlates to 0.125 parts per million by volume (ppmv) of gaseous hydrogen at equilibrium and ambient temperature and pressure. This method is difficult to perform, time-consuming, and expensive; therefore, it has not gained widespread acceptance as a field analytical method. While offsite laboratory analysis of DH can be performed, the benefits of near real-time results are not available with this approach.
There is a need for standardized and cost-effective analytical technologies to support MNA efforts. The DoD is responsible for approximately 2,093 characterized chlorinated solvent plumes (EPA 2003). Monitored natural attenuation is applicable to approximately 29 percent of chlorinated solvent sites, or 420 of the DoD plumes (EPA 1999). Enhanced anaerobic biodegradation may also be applicable to many of these sites. Dissolved hydrogen is typically not measured in the field during MNA evaluations because of difficulty and cost associated with the bubble strip method. The DH analyzer will benefit the DoD by making this analysis available, which will promote application of natural attenuation and enhanced anaerobic biodegradation at these sites. The average cost for a pump and treat operation is $4.2 million per site (EPA 2003). If MNA is applied to 25 percent of the chlorinated plumes (~100 sites) at a cost of $1 million per site, the potential savings could reach $320 million.

1.2 Objectives of the Demonstration
The main objectives of this Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP) demonstration were to:

- Validate the DH analyzer by determining the correlation between the DH analyzer results and those obtained using the standard bubble strip/reduction gas analyzer method.

- Quantify operational costs associated with using the DH analyzer

These objectives were accomplished by using the DH analyzer to analyze groundwater from existing monitoring wells at three sites to evaluate its performance under a range of conditions. The sites were SUBASE Bangor (Kipsap County, Washington), Fort Lewis Logistics Center (Tillicum, Washington), and the Naval Air Station in Pensacola Florida (NAS Pensacola). Groundwater was analyzed by both methods in locations at each site that were expected to cover a wide range of hydrogen concentrations. Also, samples were analyzed from locations with a range of groundwater contaminants, including fuel hydrocarbons and chlorinated solvents.

1.3 Regulatory Drivers
Dissolved hydrogen is referenced in the EPA technical guidance on natural attenuation of chlorinated solvents (EPA 1998); however, analysis of this analyte is not required at this time and is considered optional by regulatory agencies. Additionally, no method for DH measurement has been approved by any regulatory agency.

With respect to execution of this project, there was minimal regulatory involvement, since this was a demonstration of analytical techniques and not of a remediation technology. Local base and Naval Facilities Engineering Services Center (NFESC) personnel informed regulators of the demonstration project as necessary. Drilling permits were obtained as required by registered drillers under subcontract to CDM.
1.4 Stakeholder/End-User Issues
In evaluating MNA for use at a particular site, there is a need for an easy, quick, and reliable way to measure DH concentrations in groundwater. This demonstration addressed the ability of the DH analyzer to fulfill this need, and this report documents the DH analyzer performance in this regard.
2.0 Technology Description

2.1 Technology Development and Application
The DH analyzer is a field-ready instrument that is enclosed in a protective case (Figures 2-1 through 2-4) operates off of a 12-volt DC current power supply and is connected to the discharge of a groundwater extraction pump such as a bladder pump. Figure 2-5 is a schematic of the DH analyzer. Groundwater is pumped into the analyzer and passes through a gas-liquid equilibration (GLE) device that transfers dissolved hydrogen from groundwater to a carrier gas. The carrier gas is then treated by a series of catalysts to remove interfering gases. The hydrogen in the treated carrier gas is then measured using a highly sensitive solid-state sensor. The analyzer is controlled by a microprocessor, and initial data indicated a linear response that is sensitive to less than 0.2 nM can be attained.

Figure 2-1. Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer Housed in a Protective Case
Figure 2-2. Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer Field Setup

Figure 2-3. Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer Panel with Cover Removed
2.1.1 Technology Development

The DH analyzer was largely developed under a Phase II Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) grant funded by the Air Force and was documented in AGI 1999. This section outlines the chronology of development of the analyzer that was first used in the ESTCP demonstrations. Details of this chronology are provided in Appendix E. Enhancements that were made to the analyzer during the ESTCP demonstrations are described in Section 3.4 of the text.

The following bullets summarize the developments that were made to the DH analyzer prior to the ESTCP demonstrations:

- Prototype I was developed that included using a semiconductor-based hydrogen sensor.
- This sensor was shown to give unstable voltage output at low DH concentrations and was temperature sensitive.
- Prototype II was developed with a temperature controller to stabilize output.
- The hydrogen sensor was found to be sensitive to electromagnetic fields.
Figure 2-5

DH ANALYZER PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM
Prototype III induced transfer of hydrogen to a carrier gas and analysis of this gas. It incorporated a programmable logic controller and solenoid valves to control gas flow during analysis.

Improvements were made to the gas-liquid mass transfer method that transferred DH to the gaseous phase for detection.

The hydrogen sensor was modified to address oxygen interference.

Methods were tested for removing oxygen from the carrier gas being analyzed.

Methods were tested for removing oxygen, carbon monoxide (CO), and hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) from the carrier gas.

Modifications were made to reduce the time of analysis.

Prototype IV was developed and incorporated a new microcontroller and the best of the methods tested for removing interferences from other dissolved gases.

Prototype IV was the version of the DH analyzer that was used in the initial ESTCP field demonstration.

2.1.2 Technology Application
The DH analyzer can be used for site characterization and for monitoring in MNA applications and enhanced bioremediation projects. During site characterization, DH measurements can indicate the dominant TEAPs in different areas of a given site. Knowledge of these TEAPs can allow scientists, engineers, and regulators to begin to make predictions as to the potential fate of various contaminants of concern. For example, a DH of 0.2 to 0.8 nanomolar (nM) can indicate that iron reduction is dominant. This TEAP may suggest that reduction of cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cDCE) to vinyl chloride (VC) or ethene is unlikely to be significant. It may also suggest that oxidative mineralization of cDCE or VC to carbon dioxide may occur. Understanding the potential for these different pathways is one of the first steps to documenting natural attenuation at a site. The DH analyzer can also be used to monitor the progress of a natural attenuation remedy. If reductive dechlorination of trichloroethene (TCE) to ethene is the basis of natural attenuation at a given site, it is likely predicated on maintenance of a methanogenic TEAP. Verification that DH is being maintained within the methanogenic TEAP range (5 to 20 nM) can be accomplished only if DH measurement is practical. In addition to MNA, DH measurement can be used to monitor and assess performance of enhanced anaerobic bioremediation remedies. These remedies include injection of electron donors such as molasses, volatile fatty acids (e.g., via Reductive Anaerobic In Situ Treatment Technology or “RABITT”), lactic acid, or commercial products such as Regenesis' Hydrogen Release Compound (HRC®). This technology is used to increase DH concentrations and in turn promote reductive dechlorination of chlorinated organics such as TCE. DH measurement can be used to monitor whether these
increased DH concentrations are being attained and whether sufficient electron donor is being injected.

2.2 Previous Testing of the Technology
Earlier prototypes of the DH analyzer were field tested at the following eight sites across the United States during 1998 (AGI 1999):

- Natural Attenuation Test Site (NATS) in Columbus, Mississippi.
- Chlorinated solvent site (USG) in Baltimore, Maryland.
- Chlorinated solvent site (Unisys) in Plymouth, Michigan.
- Operating gasoline station (Chevron) in Nisqually, Washington.
- Chlorinated solvent site at (Union Pacific) rail yard in Sacramento, California.
- Petroleum hydrocarbon site at Hill Air Force Base (AFB) in Ogden, Utah.
- Chlorinated solvent site at (Union Pacific) rail yard adjoining Hill AFB.
- Petroleum hydrocarbon site in Laurel Bay, South Carolina.

At all sites monitoring wells were purged using low flow sampling techniques. This purging and sampling procedure offers the following advantages when compared with traditional groundwater sampling techniques:

- Minimizes purge water requiring disposal.
- Maximizes collection of mobile groundwater constituents flowing within the aquifer.
- Allows collection of groundwater from a discrete interval within the aquifer.
- Minimizes sample contact with the atmosphere.

Purging was accomplished using a pneumatic bladder pump or peristaltic pump. After initial measurements were recorded, the pump was gently lowered into the well, striving to minimize disturbance of water in the water column. After pump setup, the well purging began at the lowest practical flow rate not exceeding 1 liter per minute (L/min). The flow rate was adjusted downward as necessary to avoid the possibility of vertical flow or drawdown within the well.

2.2.1 Pre-ESTCP Field Results
USG - Baltimore, Maryland – Testing of the DH analyzer in Baltimore was unsuccessful because of problems associated with a new batch of hydrogen sensors. Afterward, it was discovered that the sensors required preconditioning. Additionally, the R3-11 catalyst was saturated with water and not adsorbing the CO produced by the MGC oxygen adsorbent.

Unisys - Plymouth, Michigan – The Unisys results indicated that the DH analyzer effectively equilibrated carrier gas with groundwater, but the sensor was insufficiently preconditioned. Results using the DH analyzer initially were lower than results using the bubble-strip method and results from samples collected from the sample ports on the GLE device. After several analyses, sensor sensitivity improved. The alumina sensors required preconditioning at an elevated temperature in a hydrogen or nitrogen atmosphere.
**Chevron Station - Nisqually, Washington** – At this site, results from the DH analyzer and bubble strip methods gave comparable results, both being near detection limits. The DH analyzer was not calibrated in the field, resulting in lower readings than the bubble-strip method.

**Union Pacific Railroad - Sacramento, California** – Results at this site demonstrated linearity and reproducibility. The DH analyzer was not calibrated at the Sacramento site because of lack of calibration gas, which resulted in a somewhat high response.

**Hill Air Force Base - Ogden, Utah** – Bubble-strip results were not obtained at this site because of nitrogen bubble dissolution during the method. Several attempts were made to decrease the pressure and maintain a flow rate above 500 milliliters per minute (mL/min); however, the bubble still dissolved within 10 minutes.

Despite problems with the bubble-strip method, correlation between the carrier gas hydrogen concentrations and the DH analyzer results was good, indicating that the calibration was working properly.

**Natural Attenuation Test Site (NATS) - Columbus, Mississippi** – This was the first test of Prototype I. Sensor response was observed at high pH levels, but not at low pH levels, presumably due to oxygen interference.

**Union Pacific Railroad Yard - Ogden, Utah** – Bubble-strip results were not obtained at Ogden Yard because of nitrogen bubble dissolution. Comparing results from the DH analyzer with concentrations of hydrogen in the carrier gas indicated good correlation.

**Laurel Bay, South Carolina** – Effervescence of groundwater resulted in underestimation of high DH concentrations. This problem was partially solved through use of a bladder pump instead of a peristaltic pump. The DH analyzer additionally underestimated the concentration at Laurel Bay due to failure of the hollow-fiber mass transfer module used as a GLE device.

Following the site visit, testing of the GLE module demonstrated that gas flow was restricted, which is critical for proper operation. This led to the evaluation of several other hollow-fiber modules and sparging modules as alternatives to the Neomecs hollow fiber module used at Laurel Bay.

### 2.3 Factors Affecting Cost and Performance

The most significant factor affecting the cost of performing field measurements with the DH analyzer is the cost of the analyzer itself. Appendix I contains a list of the components that make up the analyzer. The most costly components are the micro-controller and the protective case that holds the analyzer. Other factors affecting overall cost are consumable supplies (e.g., gases, catalysts, and filters), and replacement parts. Labor costs will likely be minimal if it is assumed that DH is only one of several parameters that are to be measured in the field during a field event. However, if the required equilibration time is long (< about 1 hour), then the use of the analyzer may result in significant labor costs per sampling event.
Regarding factors affecting analyzer performance, this demonstration has shown that perhaps the most critical factor is removing interferences from other dissolved gases such as methane, CO, oxygen, and H₂S. In addition, groundwater introduced into the analyzer must be free of any non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPL). Keeping the analyzer at similar temperatures during the calibration and sampling processes is also important in getting accurate results. The extraction rate from a monitoring well may also affect analyzer performance. Groundwater flow through the analyzer must be continuous and at least 200 mL/min. Greater flows are preferable from the perspective that shorter equilibration times are necessary and the total analysis time is shorter. However, not all wells can sustain flow rates approaching 1,000 mL/min.

2.4 Advantages and Limitations of the Technology
Available methods to quantify DH in groundwater involve either (1) stripping the hydrogen from the groundwater into a carrier gas that is then analyzed at an offsite laboratory with standard turnaround time issues; or (2) using the bubble-strip method described briefly in Section 1.1 and in detail in Appendix A. This existing method is difficult, time consuming, and expensive to use; therefore, it has not gained widespread acceptance as an analytical method. The DH analyzer was shown during Phase II work under a SBIR grant to give comparable results to the standard bubble-strip/reduction gas analyzer method; however, the DH analyzer is simpler to use and unlike the offsite lab method, produces near real-time results. Also, the DH analyzer costs are significantly less than the standard bubble-strip method.

The DH analyzer is field-portable and incorporates the GLE and hydrogen sensing into a single instrument. Thus, the difficulty associated with the bubble-strip method is eliminated. The instrument is user-friendly and requires little technical knowledge for operation. As with any electromechanical device, periodic maintenance is necessary. This includes replacement of filters, catalysts, and gases.

Based on the results of this demonstration, it is clear that a major limitation of the analyzer as it now exists is the need for further investigation to identify a hydrogen sensor that minimizes interferences from other dissolved gases and has sensitivity to hydrogen to produce detection limits near 0.2 nM. A relatively minor limitation of the instrument is an analysis time that is expected to be about 30 minutes but may be up to 1 hour. This time may seem excessive; however, it is comparable to the bubble-strip method and provides near real-time results (as opposed to the offsite lab method). An indirect limitation pertains to well materials of construction, direct push technologies, and use of electric submersible pumps, all of which can result in hydrogen generation, thus giving falsely elevated DH concentrations. Although this limitation is not directly associated with the DH analyzer, it can result in misleading data if not addressed.
3.0 Demonstration Design

The demonstration described in this section was performed by CDM in cooperation with the NFESC as the principal investigator. Points of contact involved in the demonstration are listed in Section 8. A project organization chart is shown in Figure 3-1. The demonstration was conducted in accordance with the Technology Demonstration Plan (NFESC and CDM 2001) with the exceptions noted in Section 3.1.1. The Technology Demonstration Plan also described the demonstration of a second MNA tool – a bioavailable ferric iron assay – and the demonstration of this tool is described in a separate Final Report.

3.1 Performance Objectives

3.1.1 Deviations from Demonstration Plan

This section describes how the demonstration deviated from the methods and approach described in the Demonstration Plan.

The Demonstration Plan stated that field testing would be performed at six DoD sites. Five sites had been selected: Laurel Bay in South Carolina; Fort Lewis and SUBASE Bangor in Washington; Dover AFB in Delaware; and NAS Pensacola in Florida. The sixth site was to be either Cape Canaveral in Florida or Moody AFB in Georgia. However, demonstration of the DH analyzer was discontinued after testing at NAS Pensacola for reasons that are described further in Section 4.3. Significant development of the DH analyzer was also conducted in response to problems identified at SUBASE Bangor and Ft. Lewis. This development is discussed in Section 3.4.

3.1.2 Meeting Performance Objectives

Performance of the DH analyzer was compared to the bubble-strip method used in conjunction with the reduction gas analyzer. At NAS Pensacola, Dr. Frank Chapelle of the United States Geological Survey (USGS) coordinated validation of the DH analyzer and ensured that the bubble-strip/reduction gas analyzer technique was correctly executed. Performance was evaluated based on the following objectives identified in the Demonstration Plan:

- **Accuracy** as demonstrated by a one-to-one correlation between the two analytical techniques.
- **Range** as demonstrated by a response from less than 0.2 nM to greater than 10 nM.
- **Precision** as demonstrated by a low coefficient of variation amongst replicate analyses.
- **Sample throughput** as demonstrated by low analysis time relative to the bubble-strip method.
- **Mechanical reliability** as demonstrated by a low incidence of failure.
- **Versatility** as demonstrated by acceptable performance at all three sites.
Figure 3-1. Project Organization Chart
A summary of the performance objectives is presented in Table 3-1 along with whether each objective was met during the demonstration.

### Table 3-1: Performance Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Performance Objective</th>
<th>Primary Performance Criteria</th>
<th>Expected Performance (Metric)</th>
<th>Actual Performance Objective Met?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qualitative</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Sample Processing Rate</td>
<td>Equal to or better than bubble strip method</td>
<td>Not Determined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Mechanical Reliability</td>
<td>Low breakdown incidence</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Versatility</td>
<td>Applicability to all sites</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Ease of use</td>
<td>Typical operator training and labor required</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantitative</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Accuracy</td>
<td>Percent error &lt; 10 percent; correlation coefficient ($r^2$) &gt; 0.9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Precision</td>
<td>Coefficient of variation (CV) for DH analyzer equal to or less than CV for reference method</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sensitivity</td>
<td>&lt; 0.2 nM</td>
<td>Yes (if no interference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Range</td>
<td>&gt; 10 nM</td>
<td>Yes (if no interference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Will depend on the hydrogen sensor ultimately used.

### 3.2 Selection of Test Site(s)

This section describes how the demonstration sites were selected.

Technical and administrative data associated with contaminated areas at each of the sites identified in the Technology Demonstration Plan were acquired and reviewed. Specific information included:

- Availability of an existing groundwater monitoring well network
- Geological and hydrogeological characteristics
- Terminal electron-accepting processes occurring in the aquifer
- Concentrations of parent compounds and presence of daughter products
- Groundwater chemistry
• Ability to drill on site
• Availability and quality of existing site characterization documentation

The objective was to select sites that offered a range of DH concentrations, geochemical characteristics, and TEAPs. This range of DH concentrations enabled validation of the DH analyzer over its full range.

As mentioned above, five sites were originally selected for field testing in the Demonstration Plan, including:

• Petroleum hydrocarbons at Laurel Bay Exchange Marine Corps Air Station in Beaufort, South Carolina (Laurel Bay).
• Dissolved petroleum hydrocarbons and chlorinated VOCs at Bangor Naval Submarine Base in Kitsap County, Washington (SUBASE Bangor).
• Chlorinated VOCs at Fort Lewis Logistics Center near Tillicum, Washington (Fort Lewis).
• Chlorinated VOCs at Dover AFB in Dover, Delaware (Dover AFB).
• Chlorobenzene plume at NAS Pensacola (Pensacola).

Ultimately, an analyzer was demonstrated at three sites. The DH analyzer was demonstrated at SUBASE Bangor, Fort Lewis and then at NAS Pensacola. Results from the demonstrations at these three sites are given in Section 4.3.

3.3 Test Site Descriptions
3.3.1 Site 1 – SUBASE Bangor
The study area is Operable Unit 8 (OU8), which is located in the Public Works Industrial Area (PWIA) of Bangor. Bangor is located near the town of Silverdale, Washington. An onsite underground storage tank (UST) is believed to be the source of a release of unleaded gasoline into the surrounding media between 1982 and 1986. In 1986, soil vapor extraction/air system and product recovery were implemented to clean up the site. To date, liquid petroleum hydrocarbons remain in several monitoring wells at the PWIA (EA 2000). Chlorinated volatile organic compounds (VOC) are also present in site groundwater (EA 2000).

EA Engineering, Science, and Technology (EA) conducted an investigation to assess natural attenuation processes at OU8 (EA 2000). Site characterization details are presented in Appendix F.

OU8 geological conditions have been highly characterized by drilling and monitoring well installation. The area consists of four stratigraphic units: construction fill, Vashon till (Qvt), Vashon Advance Outwash (Qva), and Lawton Clay. The construction fill can be found 2 to 3 feet below ground surface (bgs) and consists of a sandy material. Underlying the construction fill and
ranging to a depth of about 45 feet bgs is the Vashon Till, which consists of silt, sand, gravel, and cobbles. This unit is 20 to 40 feet thick. The Vashon Advance Outwash (location of the shallow aquifer) is beneath the Vashon till and consists of sand, silt, and gravel. The thickness of the Vashon Advance Outwash is about 100 to 130 feet. Beneath the Vashon Advance Outwash is the Lawton Clay aquitard. A silty transition zone in the bottom of the Vashon Advance Outwash separates the shallow aquifer from the lower aquitard.

There are about 100 monitoring wells at OU8. The wells were installed at three different depth intervals: shallow, intermediate, and deep. The depth to groundwater is about 20 feet bgs and the general flow direction is southeast. The Vashon Advance Outwash lies beneath the Vashon till at OU8 and is the location of the shallow unconfined aquifer. The shallow aquifer contained in the Vashon Advance Outwash is about 125 feet thick. The shallow wells are screened within 30 feet of the water table, intermediate wells are screened within the middle 40 feet of the aquifer thickness, and the deeper wells are screened within 30 feet of the Lawton Clay aquitard. The plume contains dissolved petroleum contaminants (including benzene) and DCA. The majority of the contaminants are located in the shallow and intermediate zones of the Vashon Advance Outwash (EA 2000).

3.3.2 Site 2 – Fort Lewis
Fort Lewis Logistics Center is located south of Tacoma, Washington. The source area is the East Gate Disposal Yard (EGDY), which is situated at the northwest corner of the base. Originally, the site was used for storage and disposal of various solid and liquid waste products of the Fort Lewis Logistics Center. Since 1982, studies have been conducted at the EGDY to verify and delineate contamination at the site. Affected media were soil and groundwater, with the prominent contaminant being TCE (Battelle 2000). Battelle Memorial Institute (in cooperation with the Air Force Research Laboratory, USGS, Environmental Protection Agency [EPA], and Cornell University) is performing RABITT at the East Gate Disposal Yard at Fort Lewis (Battelle 2000). Specific site characterization details for Fort Lewis are presented in Appendix G.

The upper portion of the Logistics Center site consists of a brown to black alluvial sand and gravel matrix with local lenses of silts. The material gets coarse with depth. Underlying this formation at about 260 feet mean sea level (msl) is the Vashon Till, which is a complex mixture of silt, sand, and clay. The Vashon Till has low permeability and serves as a barrier between the upper and deeper aquifers. At the source area the groundwater can be encountered between 8 and 15 feet bgs. Farther downstream the groundwater is generally between 10 and 35 feet bgs. The upper aquifer is unconfined and mostly anaerobic. Groundwater flow is generally west to northwest. There are well over 80 monitoring wells and piezometers on site.

3.3.3 Site 3 – NAS Pensacola
This site is situated near Pensacola Bay in the far northwest corner of Florida (USGS 1999). The area predominantly consists of marine and fluvial terrace deposits ranging from fine- to medium-grained sands, silts, clays, and gravel. The site has two aquifers, a shallow aquifer and a deeper confined aquifer (referred to as the underlying main producing zone). There is a 20-foot-thick confining barrier of low-permeable silts and clays that separate the upper and lower aquifers. The
upper aquifer is composed of fine- to medium-grained sands. The main producing zone is used locally as a water supply and consists of permeable sands and gravel. Two plumes have been identified at the site, one comprised of chlorinated ethenes and the other chlorinated benzenes. Most of the contaminants on site are located in the upper aquifer region. The depth of contamination ranges from 20 to 40 feet bgs. Site characterization data for NAS Pensacola can be found in Appendix H.

3.4 Pre-Demonstration Testing and Analysis
This section describes the testing and modifications that were made to the DH analyzer prior to the field demonstrations.

3.4.1 Sensor Development
Baseline Figaro TGS821 Testing – The hydrogen sensors from Case Western University used in the DH analyzer prototype III proved to need too much pre-conditioning with hydrogen gas if the sensors had not been used in 24 hours. This pre-conditioning period ran anywhere from 5 to 60 minutes, and made the sensor impractical for field use. In addition, this pre-conditioning requirement appeared to give inconsistent results when DH was measured.

The Figaro TGS821 sensor, manufactured by Figaro USA, Inc., was chosen for evaluation based on a review of vendor literature. The Figaro TGS821 sensor is a thick film tin oxide semiconductor sensor that is readily available commercially.

Initial TGS821 sensor testing involved exposing the sensor to different concentrations of hydrogen in a nitrogen carrier gas, at a steady flow rate, and recording changes in sensor output. Hydrogen concentrations over three orders of magnitude were tested, and the TGS821 showed markedly differing response to the different hydrogen concentrations (Figure 3-2). The change in signal over time (dS/dt) was then calculated for the different hydrogen concentrations and charted over hydrogen concentration. The linear relationship that existed between hydrogen concentration and dS/dt indicated that the TGS821 was a suitable candidate for further testing (Figure 3-3). Subsequent field trials of the TGS821 showed it to be highly sensitive to methane gas.

Alternative Sensor Testing – Because methane appeared to interfere with the TGS821's field performance, a new sensor, the AF10 manufactured by Scimarec, Japan was tested as a possible replacement sensor. Exposure to nitrogen, hydrogen, and methane determined that the AF10 was at least as responsive to methane as the TGS821. Therefore, no further work was performed with the AF10 sensor. Also tested at this time was the CAP23L from Capteur Sensors, U.K. This sensor proved to be insensitive to hydrogen and no further testing was done.

Another possible solution to the TGS821 methane interference problem was to use methane adsorbents to remove methane from the gas stream. A number of readily available adsorbents were screened and tested for the ability to remove methane from a nitrogen gas stream. Initial screening tests (see Table 3-2) identified two methane adsorbents that showed some promise, and Carboxieve SIII 60/80 was selected for further testing. However, when the adsorbent was tested
under dynamic conditions similar to those that would be present during use in the DH analyzer sensor response to methane was still observed, and no further testing was done (Figure 3-4).

Figure 3-2. Figaro Hydrogen Sensor Response

Figure 3-3. Figaro Hydrogen Sensor Performance
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poropak S 50/80</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayesep DB 60/80</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbopack X 40/60</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbopac B 60/80</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxen 1000 45/60</td>
<td>~45% methane removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbosieve SIII 60/80</td>
<td>~60% methane removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molesieves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBV780-X16</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 151V5000 TrisIV</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5A</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3-11</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBV780</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HISIV 1000</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBV 901-X16</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP 861DL-25</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NO = No observed effect
Figure 3-4. Sensor Response with Methane Filter Carbosieve

An HS85 sensor was acquired from Sensistor Technologies, Sweden and exposed to hydrogen at various concentrations in a nitrogen gas stream under constant flow rate conditions to test HS85 sensitivity to hydrogen. Initial bench top testing indicated that the HS85 sensor had good response to hydrogen at 1- and 10-ppmv hydrogen (Figures 3-5 and 3-6), but the sensor was relatively unresponsive at 0.1-ppmv hydrogen (Figure 3-7), the desired detection limit for the DH analyzer. However, since the HS85 literature indicated that response was temperature dependant, further testing was carried out despite the sensor's initial inability to detect 0.1-ppmv hydrogen. Figure 3-8 shows the HS85 response (dS/dt) under different temperature conditions, as controlled by the voltage delivered to the heater. From these data, sensor temperature was optimized at the lowest voltage setting with the highest sensor response. This optimum heater voltage was 6.5 volts, and was used for subsequent HS85 response testing.
Figure 3-5. Sensitor Sensor Response to Hydrogen in Nitrogen

Figure 3-6. Sensitor Sensor Response to 1 ppmv Hydrogen in Nitrogen at 1 L/Min.
Figure 3-7. Sensistor Sensor Response to Cycled 0.1 ppmv Hydrogen in Nitrogen at 1 L/Min.

Figure 3-8. Sensor Response to 0.6 ppmv Hydrogen in Nitrogen Over a Range of Voltage Settings
The HS85 was retested for response to 0.1-ppmv hydrogen at the optimized temperature setting. The HS85 did show a response to 0.1-ppmv hydrogen during this testing; however, there was a time delay in the sensor response to hydrogen. The response is illustrated in Figure 3-9, where a flat area in the dS/dt curve can be seen just after the sensor is first exposed to hydrogen. It was speculated that this delayed response could be due to the sensor cooling as the gas stream first started flowing over the sensor. Because of this possible cooling response, the sensor was exposed to hydrogen under dynamic flow and static conditions. Figure 3-10 shows that there was virtually no difference in response between tests where the sensor was in a static gas environment or under continuous flow conditions, and so the HS85 was installed in the DH analyzer for further optimization testing under actual DH analyzer conditions.

Once the HS85 was installed in the DH analyzer, numerous calibration cycles were run using a standard 10-ppmv hydrogen gas, with the resulting calibration factors falling somewhere between 1 and 2 millivolt per second (mV/sec). This response was quite poor compared to the sensor response observed during the initial bench testing. During these tests the HS85 showed responses between 3 and 4 mV/s for hydrogen concentrations below 1 ppmv.

Due to the sensor's low response rate, a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller was added to the temperature circuit to keep the sensor temperature consistent. Although there was no difference in response under static versus dynamic conditions at constant temperature (Figure 3-10), there was a clear difference in response at different heater powers (Figure 3-8). Since it is impossible to keep gas flow rates constant in the DH analyzer, due to the nature of the pump and other factors, it was speculated that small temperature fluctuations in the sensor caused by this variable gas flow might have contributed to the poor sensor response.

Calibration factors showed little improvement after installation of the PID controller. However, there did appear to be some suggestion that calibration factors improved after the DH analyzer had recently operated versus when the analyzer had been idle for over one-half hour. This may have been the result of exposure to hydrogen gas during the first calibration cycle. Hydrogen gas exposure may serve to remove accumulated oxide layers on the sensor. To test this, a series of calibration cycles were run. The first eight cycles were run under standard DH analyzer conditions. Hydrogen was run over the sensor before calibration run nine until the sensor reached its maximum value, indicating the sensor was saturated with hydrogen. Then, a ninth calibration factor was run. This ninth calibration factor was significantly greater than those observed before hydrogen was used to flood the sensor. Data are presented in Figure 3-11.

Further testing was done by running five calibrations in sequence, pausing for 30 minutes, and then repeating the sequence. These data (Figure 3-12) also appear to indicate that hydrogen preconditioning improved the sensor response. Not only are the initial calibration factors about half of those in subsequent runs, the calibration factors themselves are significantly greater than observed previously. The calibration factors increased from a range of 1-5 mV/sec before the sensor was saturated with hydrogen to about 20 mV/sec.
Figure 3-9. Sensistor Sensor Response to 0.11 ppmv Hydrogen After Initial Temperature Optimization

Figure 3-10. Sensistor Dynamic vs. Static Response When Exposed to 1 ppmv Hydrogen
Figure 3-11. Calibration Run Series Before and After Sensor Saturated With Hydrogen

Figure 3-12. Sensistor Sensor Response to 10 ppm Hydrogen in Back-to-Back Calibrations
However, these high calibration factors could not be maintained, and subsequent calibration data were much lower, in the 2-12 mV/sec range, with a great deal of scatter in the calibration factor data from run-to-run. Calibration factors varied substantially from day-to-day and response from sensor to sensor varied as well. However, despite this variation in calibration factors, the sensor did appear to measure hydrogen concentration fairly accurately at 10 ppmv over several days of data collection. However, like the high calibration factors, this accuracy could not be maintained. In subsequent testing, readings were low, about 25 percent of the expected value, and the DH analyzer was repeatedly tested for leaks by placing the system under pressure and under vacuum and monitoring any changes in the pressure or vacuum readings over time. Although some minor leaks were found, the readings did not improve and calibration factors remained low.

Several new HS85 sensors were tested in the DH analyzer. The HS85 sensors were not consistent from sensor to sensor. Different sensors had different baselines and different responses to hydrogen exposure.

At this point testing was stopped on the HS85 sensor for the following reasons:

- Pre-conditioning of the HS85 sensor with hydrogen affected response similar to the CWRU sensors
- The HS85 was easily deactivated by trace amounts of oxygen
- Sensor response varied from batch to batch
- Sensor response varied from day-to-day

The TGS821 sensor was tested with several membranes and/or coatings over the sensor to see if a selective barrier could prevent methane from contacting the sensor while allowing hydrogen to diffuse through the membrane. The sensor was tested on the bench under gas streams with and without methane. All membranes and coatings tested were not effective in blocking methane.

The sensors were then tested for calibration factor consistency and hydrogen concentration measurement accuracy. Sensors were then tested for their ability to measure DH in distilled water. As before, the DH concentration was also measured using the bubble strip method so the DH analyzer results could be compared. Data from these tests are presented in Figure 3-13. Based on these results, the Figaro TGS821 hydrogen sensor was selected for use in the demonstration at NAS Pensacola. This sensor was selected even though it was demonstrated to be susceptible to interferences from gases such as methane. An objective of the demonstration at NAS Pensacola was to determine the severity of these interferences under field conditions.
3.4.2 Gas-Liquid Equilibration Optimization
Several GLE configurations were tested and assessed for suitability including:

- Hollow fiber module
- Spray nozzle
- Sparger
- Venturi
- Static mixer

The optimal GLE design consisted of a "J" shaped poly vinyl chloride (PVC) vapor lock with a sprayer. The PVC tubing was standard plumbing stock acquired from a local hardware store. The sprayer nozzle was obtained from McMaster Carr and had a 60-degree spray pattern and was designed for low flow conditions. Locations of inlet and outlet ports maximized gas flow through the GLE device and water spray while minimizing the chances of water or excessive water vapor entering the DH analyzer.

3.4.3 Elimination of Parts That Generated Hydrogen
During the GLE testing, it became clear that there were many materials that could generate hydrogen when exposed to water or water vapor in a gas stream. The most common material was anodized aluminum. The following changes were made to the DH analyzer Prototype IV to eliminate this problem.
Some fittings had metal parts. Fittings manufactured by Festo that contained metal parts were replaced with all plastic fittings wherever possible. Anodized aluminum was replaced by stainless steel, which did not generate hydrogen.

Pump diaphragm was aluminum and generated hydrogen when exposed to moisture. The pump was replaced with one with a stainless steel, plastic-coated diaphragm.

Solenoid valves had aluminum parts that generated hydrogen when exposed to moisture. The valves were replaced with solenoids from Fabco Air Inc. (part # CAN00054) that had stainless steel internal parts.

3.5 Testing and Evaluation Plans

3.5.1 Demonstration Installation and Start-Up

Two persons from CDM were on site during each demonstration. Startup responsibilities included well sampling and operation of the DH analyzer. Dr. Frank Chapelle of the USGS was on site at Site 3 for completion of confirmatory analyses. Site-specific security procedures were determined and followed at all sites. Figures 3-14 through 3-16 show maps and monitoring well locations for each of the three demonstration sites.

Upon arrival at each site, the DH analyzer was inspected to determine if damage had occurred during shipment. A calibration run was then performed to ensure proper operation. Compressed nitrogen required for the reduction gas analyzer was obtained from a local gas supply vendor. The DH analyzer and groundwater sampling pump operated off 12 VDC using a cigarette lighter adapter in the vehicle being used on site. The reduction gas analyzer required 120 volts AC.

3.5.2 Period of Operation

The periods of DH analyzer field measurements were as follows:

- Site 1 – SUBASE Bangor: January 22 to February 2, 2001
- Site 2 – Fort Lewis: February 19 to March 2, 2001
- Site 3 – Pensacola NAS: April 29 to May 3, 2002

3.5.3 Amount/Treatment Rate of Material to Be Treated

Since this demonstration involved a site characterization method (not a remedial technology), this subsection is not applicable.

3.5.4 Residuals Handling

Operation of the DH analyzer does not result in the generation of any residuals that require special handling or disposal. Groundwater that was analyzed was collected and disposed of in accordance with the Sampling Plan procedures for each site.
3.5.5 Operating Parameters for the Technology
As previously described, the DH analyzer was operated in a flow-through mode, with groundwater pumped from the monitoring well through the analyzer so that the gas in the GLE device could reach equilibrium with the groundwater. The flow-through mode was also used with the bubble-strip method. Details concerning the bubble-strip method are provided in Appendix A.

3.5.6 Experimental Design
To evaluate the performance objectives listed in Table 3-1, the three site demonstrations followed the experimental design described in the Demonstration Plan. Well sampling for DH analysis began by measurement of water level in the subject monitoring well using an electronic sounder. Then tubing connected to either a bladder or peristaltic pump was lowered into the subject monitoring well. In wells that already contained sampling tubes, the intake to the sampling pump was connected to the sampling tube. The sampling pump was typically operated at a flow rate between 200 and 1,000 mL/min and discharged into a 5-gallon bucket. Field parameters (i.e., pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, Eh, and specific conductivity) were monitored using a Hydrolab™. Once these parameters were stable, the bubble strip/reduction gas detection method was used to measure the DH concentration. The DH analyzer was connected to the discharge of a second sampling pump and operated in parallel with the bubble strip method.

At each of the three demonstration sites about 10 monitoring wells were measured for DH. Wells were selected based upon an evaluation of site conditions to provide a wide range of anticipated DH concentrations. Measurements using the bubble strip/reduction gas detection method and the DH analyzer were repeated up to four times at several wells.

3.5.7 Sampling Plan
Sample Collection
In general, groundwater samples were collected from existing monitoring wells on each site using low flow techniques and a peristaltic and/or bladder pump system. A total of about 10 wells per site were evaluated for DH using the DH analyzer and bubble-strip method. Generally, groundwater was pumped at a rate between 0.2 and 1.0 L/min into the DH analyzer to measure dissolved hydrogen. The bubble-strip method with reduction gas detection was conducted for verification. Two sample pumps were operated at each well and at the same rate. One pump was used for the bubble strip method and the other for the DH analyzer. Sampling was performed in accordance with the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) that is included as Appendix C.

Sample Analysis
The DH analyzer was used to measure DH in groundwater samples at each of the sites. The results of the DH analyzer were compared against the standard bubble-strip method. Validation of the DH analyzer depends on comparable results to the bubble-strip method DH measurements. CDM was responsible for operation of the DH analyzer. CDM performed the bubble strip method at SUBASE Bangor and Ft Lewis. Dr. Frank Chapelle of USGS assisted in performing
the bubble-strip method and evaluating DH results at Pensacola NAS. Analyses were performed in accordance with the QAPP.

The following paragraphs summarize the sample locations and analyses at each of the three demonstration sites. Daily field report forms and field data forms are given for all three sites in Appendix B. Results are evaluated for all sites in Section 4.3

Site 1 - SUBASE Bangor – The Bangor demonstration started on January 22, 2001. A day-by-day summary of the demonstration is given below:

- January 22. Dr. Pat Evans and Lisa Nickens of CDM arrive at site and meet with site representative Mick Butterfield. Fixed calibration problems and began pumping at 8MW06.
- January 23. Used new peristaltic pump for sampling at 8MW06. Fixed cartridge filter leaks. Flow rate from well was 0.4 L/min. Took two measurements at 8MW06.
- January 24. Took measurements at 8MW48 and MW5 using flow rate of 0.45 L/min.
- January 25. Took measurements at 8MW24, 8MW47, 8MW30, and 8MW53.
- January 26. Took measurements at 8MW03 and MW03.
- January 30 and 31 Fixed several problems that were causing calibration difficulties.
- February 1. Took measurements at 8MW30 (three DH analyzer runs and one bubble strip method)
- February 2. Took measurements at 8MW30 again and then at 8MW42

Site 2 - Ft Lewis – The Ft. Lewis demonstration was performed by Pat Evans and Lisa Nickens of CDM beginning on February 19, 2001. Using methane adsorbents Carboxen 1000/Carbosieve III.

- February 20. Repaired leaks and fixed calibration problems.
- February 21. Took measurements at SP5
- February 22. Took measurements at SP7, a background well, PZ 2D, and SP10
- February 23. Took measurements at PZ 1D, ST7, ST9, MW9, and ST3
**Site 3 - NAS Pensacola** – Dr. Pat Evans and Mary Trute of CDM and Dr. Frank Chapelle of the USGS were onsite beginning May 1, 2002. Dr. Chapelle performed the bubble method analyses as a part of a double blind test of the DH analyzer.

- May 1. Took measurements at PCI-1, USGS-5, 33G101, USGS2, USGS1, and 33G08
- May 2. Took measurements at SMW8, RW5, 1MW69, and USGS4

**Experimental Controls**

Control measurements for the DH analyzer involved operation with nitrogen gas and a calibration standard instead of water. Nitrogen gas was used to simulate a method blank that should have had no DH. A 10-ppmv hydrogen in nitrogen standard was used to calibrate the DH analyzer. The calibration gas was NIST traceable.

**Data Quality Parameters**

At each well location measures were taken to ensure that groundwater of similar quality was measured by the two DH methods (i.e., that the groundwater measured by each method had very similar DH concentrations). These measures included operation of dual sampling pumps simultaneously at each well and at the same flow rate. The intake to each pump was also placed at the same depth below ground surface.

**Data Quality Indicators**

The primary data quality indicators used to evaluate the DH analyzer performance were:

- A high (close to a value of 1.0 which is a perfect correlation) correlation coefficient ($r^2$) with the bubble strip results.

- A low coefficient of variation (CV) between DH analyzer results and bubble strip results. CV was defined as the standard deviation divided by the mean.

**Calibration Procedures, Quality Control Checks, and Corrective Action**

The DH analyzer was calibrated prior to taking measurements at each monitoring well. Calibration factors were indicated on the analyzer's display following each calibration. Calibration factors expressed in mV/sec were used at SUBASE Bangor and Ft. Lewis and represented the sensor voltage rate of change during the calibration period. Following further DHA development, calibration factors were expressed in mV at NAS Pensacola and represented the total voltage change during the calibration period. While calibration factors varied from sensor to sensor, experience with the TGS821 sensors allowed CDM to identify what constituted an unusually low factor. Normal calibration factors ranged from about 9 to 15 mV/sec and 1,600 to 3,900 mV over a 3-minute calibration period. Such a factor typically indicated presence of a leak in the DH analyzer, which was identified and repaired. Once a normal calibration factor was obtained, the calibration was repeated to obtain reproducible calibration factors prior to conducting testing at a well.
3.5.8 Demobilization
Demobilization activities at each site were minimal, consisting of preparing the DH analyzer for transport, managing waste groundwater, and securing all monitoring wells used during the demonstration.

3.6 Selection of Analytical/Testing Methods
The bubble-strip method (Appendix A) with reduction gas analyzer detection is considered to be the standard method for DH analysis and was used to verify the DH analyzer results.

3.7 Selection of Analytical/Testing Laboratory
Performance verification for the DH analyzer was conducted in the field using the bubble-strip method in combination with a reduction gas analyzer. Thus, no analytical laboratory was required.
4.0 Performance Assessment

4.1 Performance Criteria
Performance criteria that were used to evaluate the performance of the DH analyzer are given in Table 4-1. The performance criteria have been categorized as primary criteria (the project's performance objectives), or secondary criteria.

Table 4-1: Performance Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Primary or Secondary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Throughput</td>
<td>Low analysis time relative to bubble strip method</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Reliability</td>
<td>Low incidence of mechanical failure</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Versatility</td>
<td>Acceptable performance at all three demonstration sites</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Use</td>
<td>Minimal user training required</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Correlation between DH analyzer results and bubble strip method results</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>Low coefficient of variation amongst replicate analyses</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>Detection limit for DH &lt;0.2 nM</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Accurate results between &lt;0.2 and 10 nM DH</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Materials</td>
<td>Little or no hazardous material generated during use of analyzer</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Waste</td>
<td>Little of no process waste generator during analyzer use</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors Affecting Technology Performance</td>
<td>Few interferences and accurate operation possible over a wide range of groundwater quality and field conditions</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Easily kept in operating order with infrequent part replacement</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale-Up Constraints</td>
<td>Can analyzer be easily produced commercially</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Performance Confirmation Methods
The primary method of evaluating the DH analyzer's performance was to determine the correlation between the analyzer results and bubble strip method results for samples that were co-located from the same well. However, other methods, metrics, and criteria were used to evaluate performance of the analyzer. Table 4-2 presents a summary of these and lists them as either primary criteria (performance objectives) or secondary criteria. Within these two categories, the criteria are further divided as being qualitative or quantitative.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
<th>Expected Performance Metric (pre-demonstration)</th>
<th>Performance Confirmation Method</th>
<th>Actual (post-demonstration)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIMARY CRITERIA (Performance Objectives) (Qualitative)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Throughput</td>
<td>Equal to or better than bubble strip method</td>
<td>Experience from demonstration operation</td>
<td>Analysis time similar to bubble strip method (~1 hour)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Reliability</td>
<td>Low breakdown incidence</td>
<td>Experience from demonstration operation</td>
<td>Further development needed to improve mechanical reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Versatility</td>
<td>Applicability to all demonstration sites</td>
<td>Comparison of results from different sites and laboratory testing</td>
<td>Further development needed for the hydrogen sensor to improve versatility through reduction in interfering dissolved gases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Use</td>
<td>Operator training and labor required similar to other field equipment</td>
<td>Comparison to operator requirements for other commonly used field instruments</td>
<td>Ease of operation similar to other field instruments, although calibration could be simplified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIMARY CRITERIA (Performance Objectives) (Quantitative)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Percent error $&lt; 10%$ $r^2 &gt; 0.9$</td>
<td>Correlation with bubble strip/reduction gas analyzer reference method.</td>
<td>Accuracy was highly dependent on types and amounts of interfering gases. Site 1 $r^2 = 0.80$; Site 2 $r^2 = 0.24$; Site 3 $r^2 = 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Criteria</td>
<td>Expected Performance Metric (pre-demonstration)</td>
<td>Performance Confirmation Method</td>
<td>Actual (post-demonstration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>CV for DH analyzer equal to or less than CV for reference method</td>
<td>CV between replicates taking into account best CV attained with the bubble strip/reduction gas analyzer reference method.</td>
<td>Average CVs: Site 1 – 34% for DH analyzer and 67% for reference method Site 2 – 64% for DH analyzer and 34% for reference method Site 3 – 20% for DH analyzer and 17% for reference method Overall, CVs similar to reference method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>Detection limit for DH &lt; 0.2 nM</td>
<td>Detection of DH concentrations less than 0.2 nM as determined by bubble strip/reduction gas analyzer reference method.</td>
<td>Detection limit for DH &lt; 0.2 nM when no interferences presence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>&gt; 10 nM</td>
<td>Ability to quantify DH concentrations greater than 10 nM as determined by bubble strip/reduction gas analyzer reference method.</td>
<td>&gt; 10 nM when no interferences present.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SECONDARY PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (Qualitative)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazardous Materials</th>
<th>No hazardous materials produced</th>
<th>Evaluate materials needed for operation</th>
<th>No hazardous materials produced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process Waste</td>
<td>No process waste produced</td>
<td>Observation</td>
<td>No process waste produced. Very small amounts of spent MGC, Carulite, molesieve are produced.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4-2: Expected Performance and Performance Confirmation Methods (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
<th>Expected Performance Metric (pre-demonstration)</th>
<th>Performance Confirmation Method</th>
<th>Actual (post-demonstration)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Factors Affecting Performance | analysis time ≤ 1 hr  
no interferences under typical groundwater conditions | time/sample analysis  
performance not affected by groundwater quality | analysis time ≤ 1 hr  
other dissolved gases interfered with DH analysis |
| Maintenance | Maintenance requirements similar to other field instruments (gas and filter replacement) | Comparison of field records to operator requirements for other commonly used field instruments | Gas and filter replacement are primary maintenance requirements (i.e., not dissimilar to other field instruments) |
| Scale up Constraints | No commercialization constraints | Investigate ability to easily produce commercially | Likely no commercialization constraints except relatively small market; however, depends on further development results and hydrogen sensor used |

4.3 Data Analysis, Interpretation, and Evaluation

Results of the DH analyzer and the bubble strip method are summarized in Tables 4-3, 4-4, and 4-5 for Sites 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The DH analyzer results are plotted against results of the bubble strip method for Sites 1, 2, and 3 in Figures 4-1, 4-2, and 4-3, respectively. The correlation coefficients for the two methods for Sites 1, 2, and 3 were 0.80, 0.24, and 0.01, respectively. The variability of correlation of the DH analyzer results to bubble strip method results has been determined to be most likely due to interferences from dissolved gases (primarily methane and H₂S) in the groundwater analyzed. Specifically, the ability of the various hydrogen sensors tested to accurately measure gaseous hydrogen was negatively impacted by the presence of other gases that partitioned from groundwater in the GLE device. For example, Figure 4-1 indicates a data point for a well that had historic dissolved methane. The sensor is sensitive to methane and thus the high DHA result is likely attributable to this interference. Much but not all of the poor correlation in Figure 4-3 was attributed to operator error or high sulfide concentrations in groundwater. A direct correlation between error and sulfide concentration was not evident. Sulfide did not completely explain the poor correlation and other possible interferences or sensor instabilities likely exist.
### Table 4-3: Summary of Dissolved Hydrogen Results for Site 1 – SUBASE Bangor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Time</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>DHA Result (nM)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>GLE Result (nM)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>BS Result (nM)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/23/2001 11:20</td>
<td>8MW06</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/23/2001 13:10</td>
<td>8MW06</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/23/2001 14:52</td>
<td>8MW06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/24/2001 9:36</td>
<td>8MW48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/24/2001 12:11</td>
<td>MW05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/24/2001 15:39</td>
<td>MW05</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/25/2001 7:33</td>
<td>8MW24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/25/2001 10:20</td>
<td>8MW47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/25/2001 12:45</td>
<td>8MW30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/25/2001 16:55</td>
<td>8MW53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/26/2001 7:54</td>
<td>8MW42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/26/2001 11:58</td>
<td>MW03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/26/2001 15:21</td>
<td>2MW01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/1/2001 15:35</td>
<td>8MW30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>127%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/1/2001 16:45</td>
<td>8MW30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/1/2001 17:32</td>
<td>8MW30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**
- nM - nanomolar
- NA - Data Not Available

GLE - Gas Liquid Equilibrator on the DHA
BS - Bubble Strip/Trace Reduction Gas Detector (RGD)
CV - Coefficient of variation defined as standard deviation divided by the mean
Table 4-3: Summary of Dissolved Hydrogen Results for Site 1 – SUBASE Bangor (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Time</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>DHA-GLE % Diff</th>
<th>DHA-BS % Diff</th>
<th>GLE Equil. Time (min)</th>
<th>BS Equil. Time (Min)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/23/2001 11:20</td>
<td>8MW06</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/23/2001 13:10</td>
<td>8MW06</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/23/2001 14:52</td>
<td>8MW06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-15.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/24/2001 09:36</td>
<td>8MW48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/24/2001 12:11</td>
<td>MW05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/24/2001 15:39</td>
<td>MW05</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/25/2001 09:36</td>
<td>8MW24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>-6.55</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/25/2001 10:20</td>
<td>8MW47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/25/2001 12:45</td>
<td>8MW30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/25/2001 16:55</td>
<td>8MW53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/26/2001 07:54</td>
<td>8MW42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/26/2001 11:58</td>
<td>MW03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td>1461</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Historical dissolved methane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/26/2001 15:21</td>
<td>28MW01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/1/2001 10:45</td>
<td>8MW30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/1/2001 16:45</td>
<td>8MW30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/1/2001 17:32</td>
<td>8MW30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**
- nM - nanomolar
- NA - Data Not Available
- DHA - Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer
- GLE - Gas Liquid Equilibrator on the DHA
- BS - Bubble Strip/Trace Reduction Gas Detector (RGD)
- CV - Coefficient of variation defined as standard deviation divided by the mean
### Table 4-4: Summary of Dissolved Hydrogen Results for Site 2 – Ft. Lewis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Time</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>DHA Result (nM)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>GLE Result (nM)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>BS Result (nM)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2001 13:46</td>
<td>BKGD WELL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2001 14:40</td>
<td>BKGD WELL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 7:54</td>
<td>BKGD WELL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 9:16</td>
<td>BKGD WELL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 9:54</td>
<td>MW9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 15:00</td>
<td>PZ1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 16:00</td>
<td>PZ1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 12:02</td>
<td>PZ2D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 12:28</td>
<td>PZ2D</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 16:42</td>
<td>SP07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 14:52</td>
<td>SP10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 16:07</td>
<td>SP10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>111%</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2001 12:30</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2001 15:07</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2001 16:15</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2001 15:44</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2001 16:40</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 8:40</td>
<td>ST3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 13:40</td>
<td>ST7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 10:55</td>
<td>ST9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 11:30</td>
<td>ST9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 12:00</td>
<td>ST9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>130%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**
- DHA - Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer
- GLE - Gas Liquid Equilibrator on the DHA
- BS - Bubble Strip/Trace Reduction Gas Detector (RGD)
- nM - nanomolar
- NA - Data Not Available
- CV - Coefficient of variation defined as standard deviation divided by the mean
Table 4-4: Summary of Dissolved Hydrogen Results for Site 2 – Ft. Lewis (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Time</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>DHA-GLE % Diff</th>
<th>DHA-BS % Diff</th>
<th>GLE Equil Time (min)</th>
<th>BS Equil Time (Min)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2001 13:46</td>
<td>BKGD WELL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-30.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2001 14:40</td>
<td>BKGD WELL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 7:54</td>
<td>BKGD WELL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Electrical problem, controller shut off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 9:16</td>
<td>BKGD WELL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-76.6</td>
<td>-63.1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 9:54</td>
<td>MW9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>-54.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 15:00</td>
<td>PZ1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 16:00</td>
<td>PZ1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>508.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 12:02</td>
<td>PZ2D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Electrical problem, controller shut off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 12:28</td>
<td>PZ2D</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>GLE flooded prior to this run, catalyst was wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 16:42</td>
<td>SP07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-16.8</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 14:52</td>
<td>SP10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-90.0</td>
<td>-88.9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Catalyst wet after this run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 16:07</td>
<td>SP10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-17.2</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2001 12:30</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-55.0</td>
<td>-45.7</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2001 15:07</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2001 16:15</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-2.84</td>
<td>-6.01</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2001 15:44</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2001 16:40</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-71.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 8:40</td>
<td>ST3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-52.8</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 13:40</td>
<td>ST7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>30*</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 10:55</td>
<td>ST9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-96.9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Leak detected and repaired after this run. Effervescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 12:00</td>
<td>ST9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-23.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Changed data collection delay from 10s to 1s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes
DHA - Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer
GLE - Gas Liquid Equilibrator on the DHA
BS - Bubble Strip/Trace Reduction Gas Detector (RGD)
nM - nanomolar
NA - Data Not Available
CV - Coefficient of variation defined as standard deviation divided by the mean
Table 4-5: Pensacola Naval Air Station Dissolved Hydrogen Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Date/Time</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>DHA Result (nM)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>ABS (RPD)</th>
<th>BS Result (nM)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>DHA-BS % Difference</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 8:44</td>
<td>PCI-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6146</td>
<td>0.2400</td>
<td>156%</td>
<td>0.2400</td>
<td></td>
<td>156%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 9:24</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6727</td>
<td>0.3200</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>1.5000</td>
<td>348%</td>
<td>348%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 10:17</td>
<td>USGS-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9164</td>
<td></td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>1.3800</td>
<td>125%</td>
<td>1251%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 10:59</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.6358</td>
<td>0.2700</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>1.7100</td>
<td>506%</td>
<td>506%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 11:55</td>
<td>33G-101</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.6466</td>
<td>1.1000</td>
<td>499%</td>
<td>1.1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>499%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 12:32</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.6726</td>
<td>1.1000</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>1.1000</td>
<td>1050%</td>
<td>1050%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 13:56</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.8026</td>
<td>21.0400</td>
<td>-72%</td>
<td>5.8026</td>
<td></td>
<td>-72%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 14:30</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.4406</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>11.4406</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Operator error with DHA resulted in water being drawn into instrument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 15:10</td>
<td>USGS-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.6600</td>
<td>0.3600</td>
<td>6194%</td>
<td>22.6600</td>
<td></td>
<td>6194%</td>
<td>Possible malfunction due to previous operator error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 15:45</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.5800</td>
<td>0.2700</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22.5800</td>
<td></td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Possible malfunction due to previous operator error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 17:12</td>
<td>33G08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19.9988</td>
<td>0.4700</td>
<td>4155%</td>
<td>19.9988</td>
<td></td>
<td>4155%</td>
<td>Possible malfunction due to previous operator error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2002 16:35</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.9189</td>
<td>0.5600</td>
<td>2921%</td>
<td>16.9189</td>
<td></td>
<td>2921%</td>
<td>Possible malfunction due to previous operator error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/2002 8:30</td>
<td>SMW-8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.9779</td>
<td>2.3100</td>
<td>332%</td>
<td>9.9779</td>
<td></td>
<td>332%</td>
<td>Replaced sensor in DHA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/2002 9:03</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.9182</td>
<td>2.8300</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9.9182</td>
<td></td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>Replaced sensor in DHA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/2002 9:45</td>
<td>RW-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.7703</td>
<td>0.8300</td>
<td>354%</td>
<td>3.7703</td>
<td></td>
<td>354%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/2002 10:19</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0120</td>
<td>1.2400</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>2.0120</td>
<td></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/2002 11:13</td>
<td>IMW-69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.7258</td>
<td>1.3800</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>2.7258</td>
<td></td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>DHA lost power. Recalibrated prior to this run.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/2002 11:46</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6095</td>
<td>1.3900</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>2.6095</td>
<td></td>
<td>88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/2002 12:30</td>
<td>USGS-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.4373</td>
<td>3.2700</td>
<td>342%</td>
<td>14.4373</td>
<td></td>
<td>342%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/2002 13:26</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.4973</td>
<td>3.4600</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>5.4973</td>
<td></td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>DHA lost power. Recalibrated prior to this run.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/2002 14:11</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.0572</td>
<td>3.5900</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4.0572</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes
DHA - Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer
nM - Nanomolar
BS - Bubble Strip/Trace Reduction Gas Detector (RGD)
CV - Coefficient of variation defined as standard deviation divided by the mean
NA - Data Not Available
Figure 4-1. Site 1 (SUBASE Bangor) Dissolved Hydrogen Data

Figure 4-2. Site 2 (Ft. Lewis) Dissolved Hydrogen Data
Figure 4-3. Site 3 (NAS Pensacola) Dissolved Hydrogen Results

Similarly, Tables 4-3 and 4-4 indicate high percent differences between the DHA and GLE results. The GLE concentrations were measured by sampling gas from the GLE using a syringe and injecting the sample into the reduction gas analyzer. Thus the GLE result is indicative of the true gaseous hydrogen concentration to which the sensor was exposed. A high percent difference between the DHA and GLE results is indicative that the sensor is being affected by factors other than the hydrogen concentration. The tables indicate that the BS and GLE results are in reasonable agreement with the exception of sample 8MW30 at SUBASE Bangor. The general agreement indicates that the GLE was capable of effectively equilibrating dissolved hydrogen with the carrier gas in the DHA. The reason for the variance observed at 8MW30 is uncertain. Precision of the DHA was comparable to the bubble strip reference method.

The interference of other dissolved gases impacted how the DH analyzer performed against several performance criteria, including accuracy, precision, sensitivity, and range. It is evident that further investigation into and testing of hydrogen sensors is required for the DH analyzer to be a reliable field instrument. Additionally, the viability, or lack thereof, of the DH analyzer could not be demonstrated at this time because of poor precision (e.g., coefficient of variation of 17 to 67 percent) of the standard reference method (i.e., the bubble strip method). Since further development of the DH analyzer was beyond the scope of the ESTCP demonstration, evaluation of the current configuration of the DH analyzer was discontinued after Site 3 (NAS Pensacola).

4.4 Publication of Results
Publications associated with the DH analyzer are provided in Section 7.
5.0 Cost Assessment

5.1 Cost Reporting/Analysis
Given the developmental requirements of the DH analyzer before it can be commercialized and at ESTCP's direction (Email from Dr. Andrea Leeson to Carmen A. Lebrón dated December 12, 2003), no costs for its use have been developed at this time. Nevertheless, Appendix I presents a list of DH analyzer parts and costs for reference. Appendix I also contains the U.S. patent for the DH analyzer.
6.0 Implementation Issues

6.1 Environmental Checklist
No permits would be needed to operate the DH analyzer. Appropriate permits for well installation would be required if wells are installed to measure DH.

6.2 Other Regulatory Issues
Dissolved hydrogen is referenced in the EPA technical guidance on MNA of chlorinated solvents (EPA 1998). Analysis of this analyte is not required at this time, and is considered optional by regulatory agencies. Additionally, no field method for DH measurement has been approved by any regulatory agency, including the bubble strip method.

With respect to execution of this project, minimal regulatory involvement was needed since this was a demonstration of analytical techniques and not of a remediation technology. Drilling permits were obtained as required by registered drillers under subcontract to CDM.

6.3 End-User Issues
The main end-user (technical staff working on characterizing DoD sites) issue regarding use of a DH analyzer is "Will this instrument provide results that are comparable to those from the reference method?" Since the demonstration results indicated that the DH analyzer results did not correlate well with the bubble strip method, evaluation of implementation issues would be premature. Further investigation into finding and testing a hydrogen sensor that did not negatively respond to other dissolved gases would be needed before the DH analyzer could be further field demonstrated.

6.3.1 Future Development Needs
These demonstrations showed that while the DH analyzer is not yet ready for commercialization, with further development it can be a valuable tool for providing accurate field analyses of dissolved hydrogen. This further development needs to focus on:

- Modifying the existing hydrogen sensor or identifying a new sensor that is not sensitive to the dissolved gases that were found to interfere with DH detection in these demonstrations. Furthermore, increased sensor stability and lack of a need for preconditioning is necessary.
- Developing a system to detect leaks in the gas conveyance tubing/valving
- Improving mechanical stability
- Evaluating adsorbents capable of adsorbing hydrogen sulfide. The Carulite and molecular sieves used in the current DHA have some but insufficient hydrogen sulfide adsorption capacity. Alternative molecular sieves capable of adsorbing hydrogen sulfide are warranted. However, methane will likely still pose an interference problem and practical methane adsorbents do not exist. Thus identification of an alternative sensor is still necessary.
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Measurement of dissolved hydrogen (DH) is becoming more important in the evaluation of contaminated groundwater systems. Dissolved hydrogen is produced by fermentative microorganisms under anaerobic concentrations. Respiring microorganisms then utilize DH for use in terminal electron-accepting processes. This process involves reduction of terminal electron acceptors, such as CO₂, Fe (III), and sulfate (Chapelle 1997).

The bubble-strip method has been proven to be a valuable and reliable method to measure DH. The analysis generally takes 30 minutes. The method can also measure a wide range of different DH concentrations (Chapelle 1997).

The bubble-strip method is divided into two parts: (1) field sampling of wells and (2) laboratory analysis (EPA 1998). Groundwater is continuously pumped at a rate of about 500 milliliters per minute (mL/min) through a 250-mL gas-sampling bulb. Gas (20-mL bubble of H₂-free N₂) is then introduced into the sampling bulb through the septum. Over time, hydrogen is transferred from the liquid to the gas phase. Some refer to this as hydrogen being "stripped" from water. Equilibrium between the hydrogen in the liquid and gas phase is achieved over time. Once equilibrium has been established, a gas sample is taken and analyzed for hydrogen. The procedure generally takes 20 to 30 minutes (Chapelle 1997).

The gas sample is then analyzed for hydrogen by gas chromatography with a reduction gas detector (EPA 1998). Concentrations are then calculated from the following equations, assuming the DH concentration in the aqueous phase is in equilibrium with the gas phase (Chapelle 1997).

\[ C_{aq} = 0.812 \ C_{vap} \]

Where \( C_{aq} \) is the aqueous concentration of DH in nanomolar (nM) and \( C_{vap} \) is the equilibrium vapor concentration of DH in ppm by volume.

The method should be used with a bladder, piston, or peristaltic pump. Use of a direct current driven submersible pump has the potential to generate DH and should not be used. In addition to different pumping systems, the method can also be affected by materials used in well construction (Chapelle 1997). Metallic well casings with can generate DH. This effect is not seen with DH concentrations measured from PVC wells (Chapelle 1997).
0800: LAN + PJE arrive at SUBSET Ranger to yield taff
H Analyzer
obtain badges
0900: Meet with Matt Butterfield to site. Conduct
site walk to identify well locations
Begin equipment setup
Need to replace leaky valve on Nitrogen regulator
1035: Calibrate Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer.
Baseline IS, Step 5: Values going down - check leak.
Dissipation factor Analyzer leaking somewhere,
find leak and bypass fitting, pack together inside
Analyzer.
1135: Baseline ISO
Step calibration to check N and cal gas flow
Check N flow in vent labelled "actionable filter"
Need to be 1.0
Check Cal gas in right vent labelled "HO absorbent"
Need to be 1.0 LPM
1140: Start calibration
Step 5: Number should be increasing on sensor
Step 2: Sensor exposed to N:
#s should go up but
be stable
Tonight: Metal conjugated pipe, jump top pipe
to route exhaust away from vehicle
Abort calibration in Step 5: #s back down
Change sensor, replace valve + tubing

Visitors:
Attachments:
Continued
Distribution:
Initial
1158 Baseline 230
44.5 mV at beginning of Step 4 (measured on Trace Analyser) After calibration, still seemed to be leak somewhere. Change cartridges out. New cartridges made by Pat.

12:24 Baseline 55
Cal factor 44.1 mV at beginning Step 5
11:59 pm Hg in N2 std.
1) 34.2 mV
2) 49.0 mV

Stopped at 1200 mV - did not calibrate.
Re-calibrate

13:52 Cal factor 10.967
Calibration successful
Load up equipment and prepare to sample pilot well (8 Musbur)

13:18 Move to BMU06, calibrated Hydrolab
IMW = 26.33 ft std

Setup equipment but cannot get pumps to extract water from well. Pumps too small. Hose leak at fan below ground. Call IMW to send portable pump that can pump at higher rate. Will begin sampling when pumps have pressure.

Sales rep: Alvin, employed will deliver to Port Credit tonight.

Visitors:

Attachments

Distribution: Initial:

Sheet 2 of
1550 Calibrate hydrogen analyzer  
Baseline = 50 mV  
Cal factor = 51.489

1605 Baseline = 57 mV  
Cal factor = 5.722

1620 Repeat, Baseline = 55 mV  
Never calibrated - correct level

1630 repeat  
Cal factor = 8.27

16 repeat  
Baseline = 50 mV  
Calibration factor = 9.364

Visitors:
Attachments  
Distribution  
Initial
0715  Luke Nichols & Pat Evans ready at Bangor
SABRE @ 0715 Begin setup w/ new pumps @ 8 MWt, DTW from casing is 35.25'. Set up rental pumps to test, check flow.
Pump is "Portable Masterflex 1/5 sampling pump" with internal battery
Pump head model # 77201-42

0846 Calibrate DLI Analyzer
Allow water to warm up for 1 hour.
Baseline 32
N psi = 1100
Cal factor 1.876 - had to abort calibration because N was increasing too slowly after it reached 500 mV

0915 Repack cartridge, calibrate analyzer.
Baseline 20
Cal factor 1.137, aborted calibration, N stopped increasing @ ~400 mV
Cartridge still leaking, put Teflon tape on each fitting.

0945 Calibrate Analyzer
Baseline 15
N psi = 1800

1000 Turn pumps on.
Stabilize rate on each pump to 0.4 lpm
Total withdrawal = .8 lpm

1030Julie
1040 Calibrate Analyzer
N psig 800
Baseline 11
Cal Factor 4,685 mV/s
1130 Turn pump on - P 1 analyzer + bubble trap
N psig 100
1133 Turn on analyzer
113C Take Sample from analyzer
1134 Inject sample into Trace analyser
H = 208 mV
1140 Inject sample of cal gas
H = 75.7 mV
1150 Take Sample from analyzer
1155 Inject sample into Trace analyser
H = 10.5 mV
1200 Sample bubble strip (ET = 36 min)
1209 Inject sample into Trace analyser
H = 32 mV (O2 = 21.3 mV)
1215 Take Sample from H analyzer (ET = 57 min)
1224 Inject H analyzer sample into Trace analyser
(ET = 7.5 mV, O2 = 19.9 mV)
1326 Inject bubble sample into Trace analyser
1327 H = 11.8 mV - (O2 = 0.03 V) (ET = 57 min).

Calculations:

- Calculated quickly:
  - O2 = 11.5% (ET = 19.96%)
  - CO2 = 3.97% - Hyd Production

- Visits:
  - 12:19 Switch to analyzer 2 & 3

- Attachments:
  - (GLW with level b=3)

Distribution Initial
1254 Calibrate hydrometer analyzer

Baseline: 4.18

Value on bottle: 4.18

Expected TDS: 11.8 + 1.16 x 0.8 = 14.5

Actual TDS: 14.4% higher than expected

Cal factor: 7.94 mL/mg

1310 Start pumps + pH on 1st HR.

N2 pressure: 6 COPs

1327 Goto sample on pH analyzer (ET = 17 min)

H₂: 20.4 mV

1337 Measure sample: 0.00 ppm

1343 Goto sample for pH on 2nd HR (ET = 33 min)

H₂: 16.4 mV (6.4) (1.6) (0.8) = 2.01 mV

1356 Goto sample for DHA

H₂: 18.0 (ET = 44 min)

1357 Switch to Sample Y (65/2) (110/2) = 2.21 mV

1400 Sample DHA during beginning of steps

H₂: 10.3 CO₂: 500 mV

1410 Inject air

H₂: 9.8
1448 - Change calcium sulfate pumping to do another run.

Baseline 48.

1452 - Sample GLE, \( pH = 11.6 \) mV

1512 - Switch to Step 4 and sample GLE.

1515 - Inject GLE into Trace Gas Analyzer.

1518 - Inject bubble strip sample.

1517 - Sample DHA at Step 5.

\( pH = 11.4 \) mV, \( CO = 13.4 \) mV.

1545 - Collect bubble strip sample.

Visitors: 1.8688 - 1.59 x 1000 = 17.5% high.
Examine machine at 2nd floor.

Take sample: pH = 6.8, mV = 104.7 mV.

Take sample: pH = 7.0, mV = 12.97 mV.

Determine disconnected power to DHA at beginning of step 5.

DID NOT GET FIRST pH RESULT

01/24/01

07:00 Set up equipment at Subase to demonstrate DHA.

- Calibrate Hydrolab
- pH = 7.3, mV = 39.3, DO = 9.67

Visitors: none

Attachments: ORP = 178 for 1/2/01 Continued

Distribution: did not require this
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09:31</td>
<td>Pump on, began pumping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:49</td>
<td>Begin calibration of RFA (Field)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:50</td>
<td>Baseline 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:54</td>
<td>Started pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:54</td>
<td>Cas factor 4.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:55</td>
<td>Sample bubble stopper, RFA, &amp; Tedesko/G23805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:56</td>
<td>Inject 11.46 ppm H2S in N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:35</td>
<td>Et 107, PNA equilibrated, bubble stop not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:14</td>
<td>Measure sulfide, 0.25 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:25</td>
<td>Measure Fe, 5.8 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diff close tubing was 15' higher than bottom of bubble stop, close tubing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visitors: 
Attachments: 
Distribution: Initial
CALCULATION FOR 8MWY48:

DHA

4.8 mV, 11.6... x 8 = 9.9 nM

DHA/Cl2 10.9664 - 9.9

9.9 x 100% = 10.87%

% DIFFERENCE

BS/DHA % DIFF.

Observed - Expected

10.9664 - 7.599

x 100% = 44.21%

14:14 ADVANCE DHA TO STEP 4

0.5 = (96.5)(1.6)(0.5) = 0.72

14:30 DHA = 17.8525 nM

14:35 SAMPLE BUMP

1508 TEST WALK FOR SUICIDE: 0.00 ppm

9.9 x 2 = 19.98

8.9 x 2 = 17.98

9.0 x 2 = 18.00

Visitors:

Attachments: □ Continued

Distribution: Initial
1527 Take sample from bubble trap.

61mV

1531 Take sample from bubble trap.

BS pH = (2.4) / (1.6) = 1.5mV

1539 Start new DHA run.

N2 = 700 psig
Baseline = 41mV.

Time

Low Hz (mV)

60 (mV)

Step

1531 12 1555 20.3 3
1551 1558 42.4mV 49.8 3
1601 30 1615 28.8mV 3

Wait until water quality data on same sheet as bubble trap, DHA data.

Give bubble more time at well 8mW 48 and
mW 5, but smaller at 8mW 6.

1524 56 1629 28.7
1103 Advance to step 5

Visitors: GLE pH = (32.3) / (1.6) / (0.7) = 3.94mV
Attachments

Distribution

Initial
11:35 To determine water level in well prior to turning well off. Well drawdown to 27.2 ft below casing. Total drawdown over 4+ hours = 9.2 ft.

11:35 Pump off.

Notes:

1) Hydrometer never equilibrated. May be due to the amount of drawdown.
2) Changing water chemistry, which indicates water was being drawn from other source.

Initial reading = 9.2907 mN

Pull circulating tubing attached to bubble stop was = 10" lower than DH1A's circulating.

\[ \Delta \text{pH} = \frac{9.2907 - 4.37}{4.37} = 111.9 \]

\[ \Delta \text{pH} = \frac{9.2907 - 3.97}{3.97} = 135.7 \]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>E372P</th>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>4000-8/1A2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Subsurface Emitter</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>To</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Hours</td>
<td>F.E. Time from</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>By JAN/PFE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **C1720** Lisa and Pat unable to demonstrate DHA at 00 MW/34
- **C1735** PFE calibrate Hydralab
- **1757** PFE calibrate DHA with 11 ppm H2
  - Portline = 44 ppm
  - Simultaneous ppm DHA by accident
  - Heated calibration
  - Pressure = 39 mV
  - CF = 5.97 mV/sec.
- **C1818** DHA on line = 34
- **0825** Sample 33
- **0830** Sample DHA
- **0847** DTW = 25.57
- **0847** Sample 35
- **0845** Sample DHA
- **0839** Sample 0.00 ppm
  - 0.00 ppm
  - Ferron Fe 1.5 ppm
  - 1.3 ppm

**Expected pH Based on Bubbles**

\[
\text{Expected pH Based on Bubbles} = \frac{109.6}{93.6}(11.6)(0.8) = 10.8663\text{mM}
\]

**Expected pH Based on C.L.E.**

\[
\text{Expected pH Based on C.L.E.} = \frac{93.6}{93.6}(11.6)(0.8) = 8.6950\text{mM}
\]

**DHA/B5 deviation**

\[
\text{DHA/B5 deviation} = \frac{10.8663 - 8.6950}{10.8663} = 6.55%
\]

**PH/B5 deviation**

\[
\text{PH/B5 deviation} = \frac{10.8663 - 8.6950}{8.6950} = 16.870%
\]

Visitors: Continued

Attachments: Initial
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:20</td>
<td>Move to well 8ru2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:33</td>
<td>Turn both pumps on, pump attached to BS was slow, so moved tubing in pumphead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:36</td>
<td>PHA pump off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:36</td>
<td>Turn pump on, start PHA at 105/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:16</td>
<td>Sample for sulfide, 0.00 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:33</td>
<td>Sample for ferro, 1.7 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:38</td>
<td>Turn BS pump off</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expected OH based on Bubble Strip**

\[
\frac{2.32}{97.6} \times (116)(0.8) = 2.3002 \text{ cm}^3
\]

**Expected OH based on GLE**

\[
\frac{2.87}{97.6} \times (116)(0.8) = 2.2062 \text{ cm}^3
\]

**DHE/BS deviation**

\[
\frac{3.1766 - 2.3002}{2.3002} = 0.3592
\]

**GLE/PHA deviation**

\[
\frac{3.1766 - 2.2062}{2.2062} = 0.449
\]
1240 Mouth & MW30, Set-up equipment
1305 Both pumps on
1310 Start Brian shipping
Change Cannons

1423 Analysis for Sodium: 0.15 ppm
Ferric: 1.5 ppm
Aluminum: 1.2 ppm

Expected pH based on BS
\[
\frac{(0.63) \times (11.6)}{9.6} = 20.2 \text{ ppm}
\]

Expected pH based on GLE
\[
\frac{(18.5) \times (11.6)}{9.6} = 14.7 \text{ ppm}
\]

DHA BS deviation
\[
(25.9709 - 20.2) \times 100\% = 28.6\%
\]

DHA GLE deviation
\[
(15.729 - 14.72) \times 100\% = 7.09\%
\]

Visitors:
Attachments 1451 - New Cannate + Continued
Distribution New 14.185% (116 ppm) (2)
CF = 6.34 3

Sheet 16 of 23
1515 Fill up N and calibration gas
1520 Open well BMW 53
More cumulative to outside of DHA

6:38 Dropped over GLE and broke.
1655 Restart with GLE that had tube in nozzle.

Expected OH bored on 05

\[
\left( \frac{12.85}{93.6} \right) (11.6) (0.8) = 12.7677 mN
\]

Expected OH bored on GLE

\[
\left( \frac{12.6}{93.6} \right) (11.6) (0.8) = 11.8974 mN
\]

\[
\frac{DHA/05}{DHA/GLE} = 100% \left( \frac{12.7677 - 12.7677}{12.7677} \right) = 48.796
\]

\[
\frac{11.8974 - 11.8974}{11.8974} \right) = 59.626
\]

Visitors: Note - GLE with side tube dies much.
Attachments: Job of water gas
Distribution: Initial
0735 Print ID sheet, Bore at equipment - 52400 - 7200.5

Cartridge failure when replaced cartridge, MGF and ASW wet.

0948 Restart calibration: baseline = 364. Cartridge not

sealing, MGF test failed, pvc sticking up.

0911 Restart calibration: baseline = 382.

CE = 13, MGF wet when replaced after run

0937 PTT 7 76.79 75.97 79.7 79.74 74.9

CE = 9.911 MGF, ASW, PW wet.

0857 Restart calibration baseline = 31

CE = 10.899

1020 Start DHA + BS - aborted both. Switched to Step 4 before

press hold for Step 3

1040 Turn pumps on

1045 Restart DHA BS, will take samples every 10

minutes.

DHA flow low due to collapsed Tygon tubing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11:50</td>
<td>Set up equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:12</td>
<td>Pumps on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At E1 Lewis, start Bubble Strip at beginning of Step 3 on DHA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:25</td>
<td>Start both DHA and BS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tubing collapsed on DHA pump, shut down to fix tubing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:35</td>
<td>Successfully restart both pumps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:38</td>
<td>Restart BS and DHA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visitors: 
Attachments: 
Distribution: Initial
08:00. Have Nick and Pat Evans come to conduct
garbage collection at 10/30 and 8/30.

09:00. Drive over to 8MW06. Nick Bulkinfield checks locations
for additional equipment, begins cleaning at 8MW06.

10:45. Move equipment to 8MW08. Wait for Nick,
Bulkinfield to point out location. (Nick not there)

11:45. Drive back to entrance at 8MW08.

12:00. Drive back to entrance at 8MW08.

12:10. Begin cleaning near 8MW48. Look around sample
for potential locations...

13:00. Finish cleaning, move to next location 8MW8.

13:10. Clean up cleaning equipment.

13:30. Drive to 8MW8, set up (8MW8)

14:07. Begin cleaning (8MW8).

15:45. Backfill hole.

Tomorrow, start work on 8MW14.

Mick Bulkinfield (daily)

Onsite: Nick Bulkinfield

Pat Evans

Eric
t

Shippers

Blue ice

Safety glasses

Project: ETCPE - Site Sampling @ Site 1
Location: Swasey Range
Equipment Rental: F.E. Time from: By

Company: Cascade Field To: 20/12/91 01

Sheet 1 of
0730 IAN and P.J.E. create to conduct soil sampling

Covade Drilling already made all 3C's, on site.

Rick (UMC), Eric, Rob (US Navy), Nick (BN),

Drill and sample 3 holes in AM:

08 MW 34
08 MW 47
08 MW 50

Recover equipment from 08 MW 34.

Break for lunch - 25 minutes.

Drill 08 MW 54; take samples @ 3' and push for 1 hour, 55 minutes. Driller unable to retrieve sample and abandon it in the hole. Hole is backfilled with bentonite chips and sealed with asphalt. Drill a second hole at a location chosen by Nick Baxterfield, approximately 4' from original hole.

1715 454 C$
ran several calibrations on GLEA, determined that 37 + 5F were leaking due to debris in valves housing. This debris removed from preview runs with the GLE with the nozzle mounted got discharge type A below.

GLE A GLE B

GLE A does not have a job of 90-19 inside suspension than GLE B. The last run on 1/25/01 (PMWS?) was with GLE B. This run is why runs on 1/25/01 were used. The numbers were not used as they had read the sensor housing and caused sensor drift. This drift was noted on 1/26/01 when running Na 90S during step 3 measure. Also - inspection of the sensors showed water inside the bussy. The sensor was replaced. Calibrations were run on 1/26/01 the first run of the day did not calibrate. Successive calibrations gave calibration factor from 14 to 14. Last 3 calibrations were 14. 1/26 13. 9/19 14 6 sensor not used.
After calibration (ran 5 times), gave 
0.15 nM + 0.02 nM in successive runs.

The (ran 10 times) in GfE gave 
14.1278, 15.3767, 14.6 nm. Expected
11.5 (0.8) = 9.3 nM.

Not sure why results were high.
0700: Cascade Drilling made to Auger drilling site for com. soil sampling of 3 men. Haired brief:

1) 08.00
   1. MW3 - refused, ran into rock (?) @ 28.00'
   2. Had to move to another location chosen by M. Buttefield
   3. MW3 - redrill (hit rock first time)
   4. 08.00

1005: Dollar's offline.
0712  Begin warming up DHA

0730  Baseline = 25 mV

0734  = 20 mV

0737  = 17 mV

0740  = 16 mV

0744  = 19 mV

0805  Start calibration with 11.6 ppm H₂/He

N₂ flow = 1 LPM

H₂, He flow = 1 LPM.

Did not calibrate.

0824  Calibration done.

Baseline = 24 mV, CF = 13.145

0855  New calibration. Baseline = 22 mV, CF = 13.145

Alert: sampling connector wrong

0905  New calibration. Baseline = 24 mV, CF = 8.538

0922  New calibration. Baseline = 39 mV, CF = 13.984

0943  Measure cal gas. Baseline = 32 mV

0955  Measure cal gas. Baseline = 40 mV

1012  Measure cal gas. Baseline = 32 mV

1032  Measure cal gas. Baseline = 34 mV

1052  Measure cal gas. Baseline = 25 mV

Visitors:

Attachment/ Continued

Distribution

Initial
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well</th>
<th>DHA</th>
<th>Expected</th>
<th>Δ %</th>
<th>Expected Δ %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sdnw 10.2 10.9</td>
<td>-6.55</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>+16.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>srnw 3.2 2.3</td>
<td>+38</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>+14.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>srnw 21.6 20.2</td>
<td>+26</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>+13.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>srnw 53 19.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>+5.26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visitors:
Attachments: [ ] Continued
Distribution: Initial
1117 Run calibration Baseline = 22  CF = 12.122
1135 Run calibration Baseline = 35  CF = 15.030
1255 Run NG 60 Baseline = 11.0
0114 Nm
1330 More equipment took to run DTA only/61E (no bubble strip)
Spend next of afternoon making DTA runs (3 total)
One additional run was conducted w/ carbon
Project: ESTCP Salt Lake  
Location: Subas Banger  
Equipment Rental: Company  
Equipment Hours: F E.

Date: 2/12/01  
By: JDN/PJE

7:10-Quality check @ Banger to continue testing DHA
7:32-Rinse ca. 2min = 24 17.3350 nM
0.505 More equipment to BMW30, run DHA only (no Bubble Strip)

Expected 11.6(2+3) = 9.25 nM.

DHA
Most correct result by multiplying
by 9.25
17.3350 = 0.5352

18:30-Turn pump on @ AMW12, flow = 700 ml/min
18:35-DHA Step 3 started
Too much water on pump in DHA, restart @ 12:40
Lesser pumping rate - 450 ml/min, restart DHA

Sample ET
DHA 1 0.5

Note: did not pass "menu" and DHA advanced to Step 4

12:51-DHA Step 3 started
Sample ET Hr
DHA A 6 18.4
DHA B 10 17.7
DHA C 15 17.3
DHA D 20 16.4 > 8.7 nM expected based on Tru Analyser

DHA 0.8372 nM > 45 nM
5352

Visitors:
Attachments:  
Distribution: Initial
### Field Investigation Daily Report

**Date:** 02-02-01  
**Project No.:** 400-31212

**Location:** Surase Boogg  
**Project:** K.Bogg

**Equipment Rental:**  
**Company:**  
**To:**  
**E & Time from:**  
**To:**  
**By:** LANIPJE

---

**Time:** 13:40  
**Event:** Start DHA step 3 @ MW03  
**Flow:** 450 ml/min

**Sample**  
**ET**  
**H₂
**DHA A**  
**5**  
**28.6**

**DHA B**  
**10**  
**29.1**

**DHA C**  
**15**  
**24.2**

**DHA D**  
**20**  
**24.3**

**DHA 50.0998 nM**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visitors:</th>
<th>Attachments</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Eric Weber  
US EPA  
960 College Station Rd  
Athena, OR 88005  
706-255-8324

Carla Focesky  
Dept of Geosciences  
1151 Road Hall  
W Mich University  
Kalamazoo, MI 49009  
(616) 387-5857

Project:  
Location:  
Equipment Rental:  
Equipment Hours:  
Date:  
Project No.:  
Company:  
F.E.: Time from:  
To:  
By:  

All Large  
&amp; Small
# Groundwater Sampling Record

**Project:** ESRP  
**Project No.:** 4000-21212  
**Weather:** Cloudy, Rain, Upper 30s  
**Sample ID:**  
**Well No.:** MWS  
**Date:** 01/12/01  
**Sampled By:** LADPTE  
**Reviewed By:**  
**Depth to Water (TOC):** 05 25  
**Total Well Depth (TOC):** 1205  
**Screened Interval (TOC):**  
**Washing Method:** Purge Volume Measurement Method  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cumulative Volume</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Specific Conductance (microsiemens/cm)</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>Dissolved Oxygen</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1220</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.01</td>
<td>192.7</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1230</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>184.6</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1235</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>13.14</td>
<td>184.4</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1239</td>
<td>.75g</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>184.6</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>-15.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1403</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>184.6</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>-15.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1597</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td>185.1</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>-15.6</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sampling Method:**  
- Analytical Matrix: Yes  
- Attached: Yes  
- Time Sampled:  

**Sample Data:**  
- Preserved By:  
- At What pH:  
- Filter Type:  
- Cooled By:  

**SAMPLE DATA:**  
- pH (last stabilized):  
- Specific Conductance (microsiemens/cm):  
- Comments:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chain-of-Custody</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Chain of Custody ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duplicate Sample ID</td>
<td>Replicate Sample Nos.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Lab</td>
<td>Name of Lab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipment Method</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disposition:**  
- Date Sent to Lab:  

Resolved Groundwater Sampling Record (pH.)
### Groundwater Sampling Record

**Sample ID:**

**Well No.:**

**Project:** ESTCP

**Project No.:**

**Weather:** Rainy, overcast, upper 30s/low 40s

**Sampled By:** LAN PTE

**Reviewed By:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (TOC)</th>
<th>comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24.8 y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Volume in Casing</th>
<th>Total Well Depth (TOC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume Purged Before Sampling</th>
<th>Screened Interval (TOC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purging Method</th>
<th>Purge Volume Measurement Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Purging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cumulative Volume</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Specific Conductance (microsiemens)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>DO (mg/L)</th>
<th>Dissolved Oxygen</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0841</td>
<td>45lpm</td>
<td>25 gal</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>638.7</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>&lt;90</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0846</td>
<td>45lpm</td>
<td>45 gal</td>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>633.5</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>&lt;90</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0859</td>
<td>45lpm</td>
<td>65 gal</td>
<td>15.05</td>
<td>629.7</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>&lt;90</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0910</td>
<td>45lpm</td>
<td>85 gal</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>810.3</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>&lt;250</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0936</td>
<td>45lpm</td>
<td>105 gal</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>805.5</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>&lt;250</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sampling Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytical Matrix</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Attached</th>
<th>Time Sampled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Container</th>
<th>Preserved By</th>
<th>At What pH</th>
<th>Filter Type</th>
<th>Cooled By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sample Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appearance/Odor</th>
<th>pH (last stabilized)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eh (millivolts)</th>
<th>Specific Conductance (microsiemens/cm)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OVM/PID Headspace (ppm)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Chain-of-Custody

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chain of Custody ID</th>
<th>Duplicate Sample ID</th>
<th>Chain of Custody ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytical LAB</th>
<th>Shipment Method</th>
<th>Date Sent to Lab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Disposition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(s)</th>
<th>Organization(s)</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Groundwater Sampling Record

### Project Information
- **Project:** ESTP Subarea Ranger
- **Project No.:** 4000-31242
- **Weather:** 
- **Date:** 01/23/01
- **Sampled By:**
- **Reviewed By:**

### Sampling Data
- **Depth to Water (TOC):** 26.25
- **Water Volume in Casing:**
- **Total Well Depth (TOC):**
- **Volume Purged Before Sampling:**
- **Screened Interval (TOC):**
- **Purging Method:** Purge Volume Measurement Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cumulative Volume</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Specific Conductance (microsiemens/cm)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>Dissolved Oxygen</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1131</td>
<td>.4 L/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>779.3</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>-134</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>781.9</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>-114</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>779.9</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>-133</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.81</td>
<td>793.9</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>-133</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1215</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.52</td>
<td>791.9</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>-133</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sampling Method
- **Analytical Matrix:**
- **Sample Container:**
- **Preserved By:**
- **At What pH:**
- **Filter Type:**
- **Cooled By:**

### Sample Data
- **Appearance/Odor:**
- **pH (last stabilized):**
- **Temperature (°C):**
- **Eh (millivolts):**
- **Specific Conductance (microsiemens/cm):**
- **CVI-PID Headspace (ppm):**
- **Comments:**

### Disposition
- **Chain of Custody:**
- **Duplicate Sample ID:**
- **Chain of Custody ID:**
- **Replicate Sample Nos.:**
- **Lab Name:**
- **Date Sent to Lab:**
- **Shipment Method:**
- **Name (s):**
- **Organization (s):**
- **Other:**

---

[Image of the page]
### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>$H_2$ mV</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>$H_2$ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>08:35</td>
<td>09:14</td>
<td>08:39</td>
<td>08:39</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>116.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>08:40</td>
<td>09:15</td>
<td>08:39</td>
<td>08:40</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>08:46</td>
<td>09:16</td>
<td>08:46</td>
<td>08:46</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>09:16</td>
<td>09:20</td>
<td>09:14</td>
<td>09:14</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>110.6</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>09:18</td>
<td>09:23</td>
<td>09:18</td>
<td>09:18</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>109.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>09:23</td>
<td>09:27</td>
<td>09:23</td>
<td>09:23</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>109.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>09:33</td>
<td>09:37</td>
<td>09:33</td>
<td>09:33</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>109.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>09:37</td>
<td>10:01</td>
<td>09:37</td>
<td>09:37</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>109.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>10:01</td>
<td>10:15</td>
<td>10:01</td>
<td>10:01</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>109.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cum Vol</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09:54</td>
<td>09:54</td>
<td>JP 0.44</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:55</td>
<td>09:55</td>
<td>JP 0.57</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:01</td>
<td>10:01</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16.64</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:10</td>
<td>10:10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16.05</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:23</td>
<td>10:23</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17.22</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:25</td>
<td>10:25</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Note: The image contains additional data and diagrams that are not transcribed here.]
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Ini. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Ini Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1103</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1103</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1119</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1119</td>
<td>No value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>169.7</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1207</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{H}_2 \text{ flow} = 15 \text{ ml/min} \]

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cum Vol</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1034</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>15.51</td>
<td>144.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>FHH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1034</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>FHH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1108</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>FHH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1127</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17.73</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>DWH 35 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1143</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>DWH 35 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1242</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>DWH 35 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1340</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>DWH 35 76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{DHIIR} = 3.176 \text{ ml/M} \]
### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>141.0</td>
<td>BS Inj Time</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BS Inj Time</td>
<td>1347</td>
<td>332.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>185.6</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>208.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>188.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cum Vol</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15:08</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>49.71</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>-216</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>H2O2RK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>51.65</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>-208</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.19</td>
<td>50.05</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>-243</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>DW10-3557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>351.49</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>-204</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>DW2-3557</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

New Carlsite

H₂ Flow Rate = 93.6 mL/min

DHA Flow Rate = 72.7 mL/min
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

```
Method    Time    Elapsed   DHA Step  Inj. Time   H₂    CO    BS Inj Time  O₂ H₂    CO
--------- ------- -------- ---------- ---------- ----- ----- ------------- ----- ------ ----
DHA       1:55.7  8        3          16:00        140       100     11:57         93       56.1
DHA       1:59.1  8        3          16:01        140       100     11:57         93       56.1
DHA       1:60.1  9        3          16:00         34.6     44.0   11:54         93       56.1
DHA       1:61.6  17       3          16:00         34.6     44.0   11:54         93       56.1
DHA       1:61.8  27       3          16:00         34.6     44.0   11:54         93       56.1
DHA       1:63.0  41       3          16:03         34.6     44.0   11:51         93       56.1
DHA       1:65.0  41       3          16:03         34.6     44.0   11:51         93       56.1
DHA       1:65.8  49       3          16:03         34.6     44.0   11:51         93       56.1
DHA       1:67.3  49       3          16:05         34.6     44.0   11:51         93       56.1
DHA       1:67.3  49       3          16:05         34.6     44.0   11:51         93       56.1

Incidentally pulled out tube from GIE. Start over again.
```

Groundwater Sampling Record

```
Time    Elapsed    Flow Rate    Cum Vol    Temp (°C)    Spc Cond    pH    ORP    DO    Comments
------- -------- ---------- ---------- ---------- --------- ----- ----- ----- -------
15:44.6  24  500 ml/min  33.15   25.7       19.4     6.92    -188    24.56    441
15:45.1  24  500 ml/min  33.45   26.3       19.4     6.92    -203    23.28    441
15:46.7  38  500 ml/min  33.80   26.8       19.4     6.92    -211    23.05    441
15:48.3  48  500 ml/min  34.15   27.3       19.4     6.92    -215    23.02    441
```

**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>187.9</td>
<td>187.9</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>187.9</td>
<td>187.9</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1706</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>182.0</td>
<td>182.0</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>1706</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>182.0</td>
<td>182.0</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1711</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>182.3</td>
<td>182.3</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1711</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>182.3</td>
<td>182.3</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1716</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>182.3</td>
<td>182.3</td>
<td>128.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1716</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>182.3</td>
<td>182.3</td>
<td>128.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cum Vol</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:05</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>22.14</td>
<td>754.3</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:27</td>
<td>1706</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>735.2</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>-215</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:32</td>
<td>1706</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>735.2</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>-215</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:33</td>
<td>1715</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>22.15</td>
<td>726.9</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>-219</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>0:50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cum Vol</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0950</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5801</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0950</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5801</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.02</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>145.3</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.38</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.89</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time Elapsed</th>
<th>DNA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS A</td>
<td>1,413</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>15:18</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>15:17</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS C</td>
<td>1,411</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>15:20</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15:17</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS E</td>
<td>1,411</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>15:22</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15:22</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS G</td>
<td>1,411</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>15:24</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15:24</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS H</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>15:24</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15:24</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cum Vol</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>300.1570</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>15.18</td>
<td>6.976</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>-151</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>Left Tank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:52</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>300.1574</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>15.18</td>
<td>6.976</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>-149</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Right Tank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>300.1574</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>70.68</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>-151</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Left Tank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>300.1574</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>70.68</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>-110</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Right Tank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>72.81</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>-108</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>Sheen pop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>75.85</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>-112</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>Backflow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>76.87</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>Backflow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time (min)</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1633</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1634</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1636</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1638</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate (gpm)</th>
<th>Cum Vol (gpm-l)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>154.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>-104</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>na/na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27.93</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>-12.5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>eff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>29.43</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>-118</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155.1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30.36</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>-121</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155.2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35.82</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>-124</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For DHA/B, BH = (8.45 x 10^-3) x (1.0) x (0.1) = 8.45 x 10^-3

For DHA/CLE, BH = (3 x 10^-3) x (1.0) = 3 x 10^-3

For DHA/CLE, CLE = 9.3 x 7.5 = 69.75
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA A</td>
<td>1441</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1433</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA B</td>
<td>1438</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1434</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA C</td>
<td>1436</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1435</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>117.59</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cum Vol</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1441</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1441</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1441</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Did not run Hydatoab Observed: Off White, black tint; odor.
- Black tint may be from new housing replacing old first time.
- According to black tint in last run at this well.
Date: 02/04/21  
Well: 814N30  
Location:  
Weather:  
Sampled by:  
DTW:  
Pump on:  
DHA Start Time: 1585  
BS Start Time:  

**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>397.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.0 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1555</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>245.4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15.1 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>229.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>183.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1615</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40.7 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.98m</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40.7 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.48m</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.7 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cum Vol</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Comments: Drench for DHA Run 1 gave erratic readings.*
### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

**Flow Rate:** 550 mL/min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>138.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Method Notes:**
- DHA did not work, stopped.
- Standard: 157 mV, running 60°C.
- pH: 6.92, NO2 = 135

**Pump on at 0950:**

**Conversion:**

- Standard
- 1200 mL
- 180.8 mL
- 120.2 mL
- 174.8 mL

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Cum. Vol</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conversion:**

\[
29\% \times 550\text{ mL/min} = 157\text{ mL/min}
\]
0800 Lynn Nichols and Tim Red Fries arrive to
conduct dissolved hydrogen analyses from
alum and water in IO wells.

Meet Robin, site supervisor.

Discuss health and safety: Pat informs
Robin that EDM will operate under CEM
H&S plan. Robin says level D required
for sale. Will bring trunne1 and move whenever.

Meet Steve Coe, USAS. Conducts side walk
and shows us locations of wells.

0900 Set up Field Power supply in Steve Coe's
tanker.

Cal baseline = 20mv
  factor = 6.764

Setup: used carbonate 1000 / carbonate up 11 tolerance
mercury.

H2O -> Carbotet 1/10 M -> Carbotet 1000 / carbonate 1/1
Cal

1117 Repeat Cal
  factor = 8.16mV

1131 Repeat Calibration

Baseline: 187

Factor: abort

Visitors:

Attachments: epoxy is not

Distribution: Sealing method

Initial
Project: ESTEP
Location: Site A - Ft. Lewis
Equipment Rental: Company

11:25 Attempt to calibrate
15:09 car factor

12:30 Move equipment to background well

12:42 Pump on, 450 ml/min. Swivel, problems w/pump
Supply to DN A, Switch wing, Run 2 more

15:30 Attempt SP9, has been hit (no longer has
Monument). 1/4" linking stuck up out of
ground, no visible casing. Could not pump
water, move to SP5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 08:30 | Calibrate DIA  
Baseline = 34  
Step 3 flow = adjust to 1 Lpm  
N pressure = 1850  
Cal gas pressure = 1400  
Cal factor = did not get factor |
| 08:40 | Calibrate DIA  
Cal baseline = 75  
Could not calibrate |
| 09:00 | Change sensor |
| 09:00 | Calibrate DIA  
Baseline = 34  
Cannot get DIA to calibrate, RIF found on leak in system |
| 10:15 | Look for a leak |
| 14:05 | Calibrate DIA  
Baseline = 34  
In step 5 stopped going up at 830  
Check, value, magnetron indicates no leak in system |
| 14:30 | Calibration failed |

Visitors:

Attachments:  
Continued:  
Distribution:  
Initial:  

Job No: 4000 31212  
Date: 2/20/01  
By: LAN
1445 Calibration done - DNA turned up 2x when starting step 3
Baseline
Calibration peaked (#'s stopped going up)

1500 Set up DNA to calibrate
Power supply problems, DNA turned off in Step 3 ex Step 3

1515 Baseline (67)

Calibration failed. Stopped @ 150 in Step 5
Check system again for leaks
Value 1 leaking
Charge valve, replace w/new
Nitrogen 2 leaking through value - talked to Pat, will replace tomorrow

1700 Start calibration w/new valve, new cartridges
Baseline: 19
Calibration failed - numbers stopped going up at least Out of N due to leak: possible cause?

1730 Clean up and offsite

Visitors: Ron Harris, Steve Cox

Attachments
□ Continued
Distribution
Initial
0750 LAN mode setup equipment

0810 PFE mode
Place semiconductor on pump to help w/ power supply issue, not successful

0930 Baseline 32 Run calibration
Calibration failed, number stopped going up at 25

0942 Change cartridges, repeat calibration
Baseline 123
Calibration failed
discover leak in system valve 2, N2 leak out
clean valve (Teflon tape inside of base)

1028 Run calibration
Baseline 38
Calibration failed; another leak, possibly on cartridges. Test new cartridges for leak, install

1055 Run calibration
SHU having problems w/ DHA power (Shut off in beginning of step 3)
Run calibration
Baseline = 111
Stopped going up around 1200

1108 Run calibration w/ 2 MEC in tandem
Baseline = 200
Cal factor = 18.018

1120 Run
Baseline 47
Cal factor = 18.109

Visitors:
Attachments
Continued
Distribution Initial
Project: [redacted]
Location: [redacted]
Equipment Rental: [redacted]
Equipment Hours:

12:10 - calibrated 400-31212
999 was 108.7 - recalibrated
diff was 18.9, not expected 19.2, didn’t recalibrate
4.0 pH was 7.86 - recalibrated
7.0 pH was 7.01
1.412 conductivity was 1379 - recalibrated
Use Steve Cox’s Trace Analyzer since tube is broken. Rat-tested Analyzer, will use 2 mi sample
at 10:20-30-40 minutes.

1440 Run calibration w/ original reusable cartridge
Water in tubes 5A, 46, 56D, 56E, 56F, 56G, 56H
Baseline = 254
Cal factor = 25.590
4 x 0.5" w was 7.5 cm

1507 Start DMA and pump

To use SC’s Trace Analyzer
[Level] > load sample -> plate 00 -> inj
[Abase 1500], not "zero" on analyzer

Visitors:
Attachments: □ Continued
Distribution: Initial
07:05 Create transient model cell sampling with DHA and bubble stop
Fill N tank, PIE calibrate Steve Cos Tree Analyzer

07:35 Begin calibration
Pressure = 63
Cal factor = 27.583

07:49 Move to background well to sample through
Dill 5 PC

Calibration info from PIE
10.2 ppm H2/N2
2nd injections

Area

#1 2787929
#2 2827941
Ave 2807930.5

Visitors:
Attachments
Distribution

□ Continued
Initial
0800  PREP for DNAPL sampling

0830  Begin calibration
      Readout = 1.9
      Cal factor = 22.88

0840  Begin sampling
      RF calibration
      Trace Analyzer:
      1.2 ppm Hg/Na

      Area
      1.  2430.485
      2.  2458.411

      Conduct DNAPL sampling at the following wells:

Visitors:

Attachments

Distribution Initial
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Task Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0700</td>
<td>LAN Anchor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0720</td>
<td>PDF &amp; TEC (Time)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0800</td>
<td>Start first hole, ST3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1130</td>
<td>Start ST7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1335</td>
<td>Start SP07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Start 2' P22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1640</td>
<td>Finishing up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td>VAR backup</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strataprobe:
- Inner rod = 1"
- Outer rod = 2"
- Liner = 1 3/4"
Project: ESTCP Sale 2 Sandhamburg
Location: F.T. Land
Equipment Rental: PEG
Equipment Hours: F.E. Time from: To: By

0700 Work on N. SE and S.E. line near main road
0925 Begin drilling stratigraphic well
1125 Begin (drilling) mud
1245 Lunch
1315 Begin drilling S1# drill 3 holes
Hole 1 - refusal @ 24'
Hole 2 - refusal @ 28'
Hole 3 - refusal @ 28'
1645 Drilling finished for day
1705 Load up, LAN offsite

Visitors: [Signature]
Attachments: [Signature]
Distribution: Initial
0655 LAN onsite
0715 TEG onsite
0720 Begin drilling w/ Stratagyspe, R1
0730 Rob (Navy) onsite
     Elevation according to Steve Cot - 280' above sea level,
     based on log date
0745 Start cleaning R1
0950 Start drilling S16
1055 Large earthquake
1200 Finish sampling. Drilling stop and LAN finish
     Note, record samples and pack for shipping

Visitors:
Attachments
Distribution
Initial
### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DHA Result:** 4707 nM

**BS DH:**

**GLE DH:**

**DHA/BS %Diff:**

**DHA/GLE %Diff:**

---

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1540</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5 L/m</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>201.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1550</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>202.5</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>-115</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>201.8</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>-130</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>202.4</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>-125</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>200.3</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1618</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>200.1</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>-134</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sulfide (ppm):**

**Fe²⁺ (ppm):**

- "Short GLE" indicates water entering the tunnel of GLE.
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

DHA Result: 1287 nM
Sulfide (ppm)

BS DH:

GLE DH:

DHA/BS %Diff:

DHA/GLE %Diff:

Fe²⁺ (ppm)

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Sec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instruction on startup. Covered within fenced area.
### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DHA Result:**
- **Abort run, analyzer keeps**
- **Sulfide (ppm)**

**BS DH:**
- **Shutting off. Switch vans, run again**
- **Fe ²⁺ (ppm)**

**DHA/GLE %Diff:**

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Sec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>450 ml</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>150 ml</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:49</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>500 ml</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- **Callings of discharge:**
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: .0565 \[\text{Sulfide (ppm)}\]

BS DH:

GLE DH:

DHA/BS %Diff:

DHA/GLE %Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1348</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1352</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1355</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1402</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1410</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 0.0847 m

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:47</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Did not run gas samples becausetrace analyzer working correctly.
- Sulfide (ppm)
- Fe 2+ (ppm)
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
<th>BS Inq Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>00:02</td>
<td></td>
<td>1353</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>00:04</td>
<td></td>
<td>1351</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>00:06</td>
<td></td>
<td>1356</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>00:08</td>
<td></td>
<td>1354</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>00:10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1357</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 6319 nM
BS DH: 1.163
GLE DH: 1.407
DHA/BS %Diff: 1.7
DHA/GLE %Diff: 2.4.9

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>RTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>01:00</td>
<td>400 mL</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>203.4</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>-116</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>color and turbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>01:30</td>
<td>400 mL</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>207.7</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>-128</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>02:30</td>
<td>400 mL</td>
<td>9.63</td>
<td>209.2</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>-140</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30</td>
<td>03:30</td>
<td>400 mL</td>
<td>9.69</td>
<td>209.9</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>-143</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1523</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1533</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1533</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1543</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1543</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1553</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1554</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 0.5736 nM
BS DfH: 0.5547 nM
GLE DfH: 0.4946 nM
DHA/BS %Diff: 9.4%
DHA/GLE %Diff: 15.97%

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP (mV)</th>
<th>DO (mg/L)</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15:19</td>
<td>15:19</td>
<td>500 mL</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-357</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**H2 and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H2 ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H2 ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5921</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>10:37</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5493</td>
<td>6046</td>
<td>5478</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>10:37</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: \( \frac{4.26 \pm 2}{nM} \)  
Sulfide (ppm)

BS DH: \( \frac{4.394 \pm 2}{nM} \)

GLE DH: \( \frac{6.0290}{g/L} \)  
Fe 2+ (ppm)

DHA/BS %Diff: \( \frac{6.0290}{g/L} \)  
DHA/GLE %Diff: \( \frac{6.0290}{g/L} \)

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:18</td>
<td></td>
<td>400 mL/min</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>4.13:1</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
<th>BS Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>024</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>0216</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>0234</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>0234</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>0245</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>0246</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1086</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>0246</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>0255</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>0257</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: DHA Studi itself and Step 5

Sulphide (ppm)

BS DH: 0.088

GLE DH: 0.15384

DHA/BS %Diff: -

DHA/GLE %Diff: -

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0756</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0803</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0803A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0817</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0834</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>105.7</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA 0.30%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>439.4</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>439.4</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS 0.30%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 0.30%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5961</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 0.1%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 0.9%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 0.379 M

Sulfide (ppm)

BS DH: 0.1026

Fe 2+ (ppm)

GLE DH: 0.1620

DHA/BS %Diff: -6.30%

DHA/GLE %Diff: -7.67%

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0934</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40 mL</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>BS ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>8799</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1248</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.9711</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1348</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1248</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>6698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BS pump shut off due to low power.

DHA Result: 1.4464 nM
BS DH: 0.8806
GLE DH: 0.6072
DHA/BS %Diff: 66.9%
DHA/GLE %Diff: 142.8%

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>TW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>400 ml/min</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>244.0</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:07</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>400 ml/min</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>275.5</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>243.5</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>400 ml/min</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>242.3</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>248.1</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂ molec</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂ mol</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:34:17</td>
<td>2.304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1605</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2:43:10</td>
<td>3.925</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1615</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2:38:16</td>
<td>2.2716</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2:26:39</td>
<td>2.1236</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1635</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2:37:39</td>
<td>2.364</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1645</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2:29:16</td>
<td>2.382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runout DHA</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3:31:18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1627</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2:28:19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 0.1897 nm, Run D

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1457</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/40 ml</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td></td>
<td>Turbid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1457</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1/40 ml</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1457</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1/40 ml</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1457</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1/40 ml</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1457</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1/40 ml</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sulfide (ppm)

-0.157 μM

Fe ²⁺ (ppm)

0.1 ppm

-7.6 ppm

-17.2 ppm

Water clearing, slightly brown
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>DHA Time</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA 1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4780</td>
<td>4099</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4780</td>
<td>4099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA 2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4530</td>
<td>3413</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4530</td>
<td>3413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **DHA Result:** 0.30 ppm
- **BS DH:** 0.29 ppm
- **GLE DH:** 0.36 ppm
- **DHA/BS %Diff:** 8.49%
- **DHA/GLE %Diff:** -16.85%

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16/47</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>400 mL</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>149.5</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/27</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>400 mL</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>149.7</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/27</td>
<td>10:15</td>
<td>400 mL</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>149.9</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/27</td>
<td>11:23</td>
<td>400 mL</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>149.4</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/27</td>
<td>11:10</td>
<td>400 mL</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>149.4</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1536</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1536</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 200.0 µM  Check cal gas: 10.185 ppm
Sulfide (ppm): 195 mg/L
Fe²⁺ (ppm): 5.8 mg/L

BS DH: 23.63
CLE DH: 748.97
DHA/BS %Diff: 748.97
DHA/CLE %Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1504</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>400 mL</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>800.1</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1516</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>800.8</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1529</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>800.4</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DTW/Notes: Slight odor, slight eff, slight tint
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>hr Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA Pump on</td>
<td>1445</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.15E+4</td>
<td>3.45E+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA Start Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.7E+4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS Pump on</td>
<td>1445</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.15E+4</td>
<td>3.45E+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS Start Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.7E+4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H₂ Result: 5.15E+4 ppm
CO Result: 3.45E+4 ppm

DHA Result: 5.211 nM
BS DH: 0.3876
GLE DH: 5.859
DHA/BS %Diff: 95.859
DHA/GLE %Diff: 95.859

Fe 2⁺ (ppm) - 0.4 mg/L
Sulfide (ppm) - 0.03 mg/L

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>NTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1445</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>111.7</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1451</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date: 4/123/01
Well: ST 7
Location: F. Lewis
Trace Analyzer Standard (ppm)
Weather: 40 cloudy
Sampled by: L. Johnson
Initial DTW: 3/24/01
DHA Pump on: 4/14 150 Flow Rate: 400
DHA Start Time: 4/15 174
BS Pump on: 4/14 174 Flow Rate: 400
BS Start Time: 4/15 174

N₂ Pressure: 150 kPa
Cal Factor: 1
Response (mV):
Date: 2/3/01
Well: 3
Location: Ft Lewis
Weather:
Sampled by:
Initial DTW:
DHA Pump on: 10:15
DHA Start Time:
BS Pump on: 10:35
BS Start Time:

Flow Rate: 900 ft/m
Flow Rate: 750 ft/m

H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 1.287
BS DH: 41.2
GLE DH:
DHA/BS %Diff: -23.69
DHA/ANGLE %Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>10.77</td>
<td>545.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>- 3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>545.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>- 5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>541.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>- 9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>541.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>- 5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Date: 7/23/01  
Well: Mw9  
Location: F1 Lewis  
Weather: 4/5  
Sampled by: LAN  
Initial DTW: Not available  
Flow Rate: 400  
Flow Rate: 400  

H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>P₂ (ppm)</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂ (ppm)</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OHW 1010 10  3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8879</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>2.4724</td>
<td>2.4724</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS 1010 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4724</td>
<td>2.4724</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA 1030 30  3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3073</td>
<td>1.3073</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS 1030 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6273</td>
<td>3.6273</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>920.1</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>920.1</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 3367  
BS DH: 29027  
GLE DH:  
DHA/BS %Diff: -54.96  
DHA/GLE %Diff:  
Fe 2⁺ (ppm): 0.1 mg/L  
Total Fe (unfiltered): 2 ppm  
Turbidity:  
Clarity:  

### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂ ppm</th>
<th>CO ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>00:32</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0398</td>
<td>2.067</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>00:34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0334</td>
<td>2.054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>00:32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0349</td>
<td>2.051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>00:32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0349</td>
<td>2.051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: **0.3059 Nm**

BS DH: **0.1410**

GLE DH: **0.4358**

DHA/BS % Diff: **46.03**

DHA/GLE % Diff: **46.03**

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>RTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0013</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0053</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0065</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Arrive on site at 7:30am.

Start calibration at 8:00am (sensor 02)

Start calibration at 8:20am - normally

Advise step 5 at 3am.

CF = 1701

Visitors:

Attachments

Distribution

Initial
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Sample</th>
<th>USGS 5</th>
<th>9 feet north of well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample depth</td>
<td>9.5 ft BGS</td>
<td>9.5 ft BGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet sand</td>
<td>wet sand</td>
<td>wet sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>(white)</td>
<td>(white)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose: Test</td>
<td>did not need capping</td>
<td>did not need capping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS 5 soil sample</td>
<td>19 feet south of well</td>
<td>19 feet south of well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of well</td>
<td>USGS 5</td>
<td>USGS 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Sample</th>
<th>USGS 6</th>
<th>51 feet south of well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-6 BGS</td>
<td>4-6 BGS</td>
<td>4-6 BGS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11:49 go to well</th>
<th>33 G-101</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C locked flow, win only 300 ml/min</td>
<td>increase to 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>__</td>
<td>__</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73 ft NW of USGS 6</td>
<td>73 ft NW of USGS 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set up at</th>
<th>USGS 15 @ 1315</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Middle well</td>
<td>Middle well</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USGS 2</th>
<th>4 feet east of well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-6 BGS</td>
<td>4-6 BGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did 2 depths</td>
<td>1-2 ft (above water)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table - Brown sand</td>
<td>Table - Brown sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J-6 ft -</td>
<td>J-6 ft -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visitors:
Attachments
Distribution
Initial
USGS 2 - Possible shear (slight)
USGS 2 Run 5 - Water is being sucked up a little from CLE.
Due to moderately strong surge after little or 2 day standing.

Roved to USGS 1 @ 1500 (middle)

Collected soil sample 21 ft from well USGS 1
Collected soil 4-6 ft BGS.

1000 rpm calibration
Initial voltage m = 5.9 mV

Collected soil sample 20 ft south
of 53 GOF 0.1 ft BGS

Cal: 500

0 65.2 90 2177 150 3277
10 252.5 100 2381
20 500 110 2522
30 1046 120 2637
40 1327 140 2927

CF = 32.09

Visitors: 60
Attachments: 70
Distribution: 70

Initial
5/2/02

Arrived on site at 7:40AM
Setup at SW8 well

0800 Start to calibrate

Bp = 101.3 kPa

Missed sample 7:45 a.m. # 5.

Repeat calib @ 8:15

Bp = 101.3 kPa

CF = 3510 mV

Durango samples were still being worked up.

08:30 Collected soil sample SW8-8

4-6 ft BGS 6" EOT well

White sand

Moved to EW8 09:55

Cleaned vials w/ alcohol prior to running.

1100 Resumed to EW8 6.9

Lost trial 09:30 (BPA drain unplugged)

Resubmitted 5/7.5

CF = 2,987

Moved to US654 @ 12:20

Distilled - 5/2/02 w/ Millipore filter used.

Visitors: 7/5/2002

Attachments: CF = 3517

Distribution: Initial
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Res.</th>
<th>Real.</th>
<th>Empt.</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC1-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sensor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6727</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32G101</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.6466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.6726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sensor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.8026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.4906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.597</td>
<td></td>
<td>high 70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.6266</td>
<td>22.58</td>
<td>high 70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33G06</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19.974</td>
<td></td>
<td>high 10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.9189</td>
<td></td>
<td>high 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5B08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.9779</td>
<td></td>
<td>sensor 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.9142</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.7703</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMW69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.7255</td>
<td></td>
<td>real bored prior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.695</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.9773</td>
<td></td>
<td>res. prior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.8972</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5972</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method/Sample</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Elapsed</td>
<td>DHA Step</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>BS Inj Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0:00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>190.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>193.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>289.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:00</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:00</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5:00</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:00</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8:00</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 0.6146 m/M
Sulfide (ppm): 0.0

BS DH:
GLE DH:
DHA/BS %Diff:
DHA/GLE %Diff:
Fe 2+ (ppm): 0.0

---

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTWN/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0:47</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:47</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:55</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:59</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:59</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:59</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Sample*
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>190.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>197.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>201.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>209.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>225.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>126.5</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>231.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>135.5</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>239.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>251.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>155.4</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>259.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>165.4</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>271.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>175.4</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>279.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>185.4</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>291.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>195.4</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>301.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>205.4</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>311.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>215.4</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>321.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 0.6727

**Sulfide (ppm)**

BS DH:

GLE DH:

DHA/BS %Diff:

DHA/GLE %Diff:

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP (mV)</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01:39</td>
<td>0:15</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:53</td>
<td>0:31</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:07</td>
<td>1:45</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (C°)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:20</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:25</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>29.99</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:40</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>75.39</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>64.15</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H$_2$ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H$_2$</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H$_2$</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 1.85838 ppm

Sulfide (ppm) 0.00

BS DH:

GLE DH:

DHABS %Diff:

DHA/GLE %Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Conc</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Sampled</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>DHA Step Time</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS ppm Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>A5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>A56</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>A60</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 1B C4400

Sulfide (ppm): 0.85 ppm

BS DH:

GLE DH:

DHA/BS % Diff:

Fe 2⁺ (ppm): 1.50 5.6 ppm (0.5 ml 25)

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTWN/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2591</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2571</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2581</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2541</td>
<td>7.285</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2571</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:19</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2591</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DHA Result:** 19.67926

**Sulfide (ppm):**

**BS DH:**

**GLE DH:**

**DHA/BIS %Diff:**

**Fe 2+ (ppm):**

**DHA/GLE %Diff:**

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Date: 5/16/2
Well: U5G-S-2
Location: 1MA
Weather: 1.3
Trace Analyzer Standard [C]: 10.3
Response (mV): 40.7

Sampled by:
Initial DTW: 3.9
DHA Pump on: 132.1
DHA Start Time: 1329
BS Pump on: 1323
BS Start Time: 1327

H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>113.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>121.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>129.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
<td>210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>145.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>220</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>152.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>159.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>173.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>260</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 18,6418
Sulfide (ppm)

BS DH:

GLE DH:

DHA/BS % Diff:

DHA/GLE % Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method/Sample #</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Elapsed</td>
<td>DHA Step</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>BS Inj Time</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>125.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>210.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>125.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>235.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>230</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>253.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>385.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>385</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>435.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>435</td>
<td>385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>626.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>626.8</td>
<td>435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>626.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>626.8</td>
<td>435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H₂ and CO Sampling Record

DHA Result: 5.826 ppm

Sulfide (ppm)

BS DH:

GLE DH:

DHA/BSC % Diff:

Fe 2+ (ppm)

DHA/GLE % Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The table entries are placeholders and the actual data should be filled in accordingly.
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>CO (mV)</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>00.0</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>257.4</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>357.0</td>
<td>357.0</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 11.4406

Sulfide (ppm): 0.00

BS DPH:

GLE DH:

DHA/BS %Diff:

DHA/GLE %Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>00.0</td>
<td>00.0</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>-167</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>-152</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>-193</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>-194</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Ellapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4723</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4820</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4825</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DHA Result:**

\[
20.57 \text{ ppm} \text{ Sulfide (ppm)}
\]

**BS DH:**

\[
\text{Alkalinity} = \frac{2.10 \text{ mg/L}}{1.80} = 1.17 \text{ mg/L}
\]

\[
\text{Ca} = 10 \text{ mg/L}
\]

\[
\text{Fe} = 2 \text{ mg/L}
\]

**GLE DH:**

\[
\frac{48.96 - 7.2}{17.01} = 2.26 \text{ ppm}
\]

**DHA/GLE %Diff:**

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Ellapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1/15</td>
<td>2:24 PM</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/1/18</td>
<td>2:24 PM</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Date: 5/11/02
Well: 036-1
Location: 1MA
Weather: WA

Sampled by: Initial DTW:
DHA Pump on: 1545
DHA Start Time: 1545
BS Pump on: 1547
BS Start Time: 1549

N₂ Pressure: 1012
Cal Factor: 1.071
Trace Analyzer Standard [C]: 10.8
Response (mV): 9.3

Flow Rate: 500

H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 4.6266 g M

Sulfide (ppm): 0.95 ppm

BS DH: Fe2+ Fe2+ (ppm): 0.95

GLE DH: Fe 2+ (ppm): 1.5

DHA/B = % Diff:

DHA/GLE % Diff:

1.5 Fe2+

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1545</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.516</td>
<td>7.596</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.516</td>
<td>7.596</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1602</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.516</td>
<td>7.596</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time (m)</th>
<th>H₂ (mL)</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>183</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>56.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 9.96

Sulfide (ppm)

BS DH:

GLE pH:

DHA/BS %Diff:

Fe 2+ (ppm)

DHA/GLE %Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (m)</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>H₂ Step</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>239.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>361.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>321.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>303.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>292.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>284.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>276.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>269.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>261.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DHA Result:** 16.918% (M)

**Sulfide (ppm):** 0.314

**BS DH:**

**Fe 2+ (ppm):** 0

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1712</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.45</td>
<td>2.297</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1720</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.52</td>
<td>2.165</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>-187</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1730</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.60</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>-349</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1735</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.54</td>
<td>2.037</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>-351</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2691</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 9,779

Sulfide (ppm)

BS DH:

CLE DH:

DHA/VBS %Diff:

DH/AGLE %Diff:

Fo 2⁺ (ppm)

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>-132</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>-132</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>-132</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

None
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>118.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>124.2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>422.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>124.2</td>
<td>173.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>227.6</td>
<td>2,745</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2,664</td>
<td>2,923</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>2,664</td>
<td>3,032</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2,745</td>
<td>3,046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3,046</td>
<td>3,046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>3,046</td>
<td>3,046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>4,014</td>
<td>3,046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>4,014</td>
<td>4,073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>4,073</td>
<td>4,123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 9.9182

Sulfide (ppm): 0.15

BS DH:

GLE DH:

DHA/BS %Diff: Fe 2⁺ (ppm): 1.6

DHAVGLE %Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (DTW)</th>
<th>Elapsed (min)</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 5/12/12</td>
<td>0 40/5</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.3009</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Date:** 5/12/12
- **Well:** SMW 2
- **Location:** HPAS
- **Weather:** Rain (mm): 0
- **Weather:** Wind Direction: North
- **Cal Factor:** 3310
- **Trace Analyzer Standard (C):** 10.3
- **Response (mV):** 148
- **Initial DTW:** 09:03
- **DHA Pump on:** 09:05
- **DHA Start Time:** 09:05
- **BS Pump on:** 09:05
- **Flow Rate:** 500
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Ellapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>153.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>144.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>134.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>112.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DHA Result:** 3.37 ppm

**Sulfide (ppm)**

**BS DH:**

**CLE DH:**

**DHA/BS % Diff:**

**DHA/CLE % Diff:**

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Ellapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07:07</td>
<td>08:47</td>
<td>2.7/71</td>
<td>0.4880</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>-242.2/326.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07:11</td>
<td>08:41</td>
<td>2.7/71</td>
<td>0.4887</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method/Sample #</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>DHA Step</td>
<td>DHA Time</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>ORP</td>
<td>DO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 2.012
Sulfide (ppm): 2.8

BS OH:

GLE DH:

DHA/BVS %Diff: Fe 2+ (ppm):

DHA/GLE %Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1029</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1039</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>-77.0</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**H₂ and CO Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Slapped</th>
<th>BHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>B₂H₆</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DHA Result:** 0.72 ppm

**BS DH:**

**Sulfide (ppm)**

**GLE DH:**

**Fe 2+ (ppm)**

**DHA/GLE %Diff:**

**Groundwater Sampling Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate (g/min)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP (mV)</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>TDW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>-21.7</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>-21.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 2.609 Sulfide (ppm) 10.5 ppm

BS DHA: Fe²⁺ (ppm)

GLE DHA:

DHA/BS %Diff:

DHA/GLE %Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1½</td>
<td>1·97</td>
<td>2·07</td>
<td>12·49</td>
<td>-0·77</td>
<td>7·7</td>
<td>-2·0</td>
<td>6·0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>CO BS Inj Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10:23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10:33</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14:03</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14:13</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>17:10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17:20</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>20:26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20:30</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>23:10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23:20</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>25:41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25:50</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>27:01</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27:10</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>29:12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29:22</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>31:25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31:30</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>33:31</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33:36</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>35:37</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35:40</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>37:44</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37:46</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>39:55</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>39:58</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>41:25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>41:28</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 134373

BS DH: Sulfide (ppm)

GL/E DH:

DHA/GL/E %Diff:

Fe 2+ (ppm)

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39.21</td>
<td>9321</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>-279</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:42</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>31.59</td>
<td>14.000</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>-279</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12:54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>2601</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12:51</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2676</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>12:56</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2740</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>06:53</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>08:66</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>10:58</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>12:48</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>14:38</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>16:28</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>18:18</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>20:08</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>21:58</td>
<td>132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>23:48</td>
<td>144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>25:38</td>
<td>156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>27:28</td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: 58977 ppm

GSB DH:

GLE DH:

DHA/BS %Diff:

DHA/GLE %Diff:

### Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>DTW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:44</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.97</td>
<td>4.467</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>-277</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:45</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.69</td>
<td>4.467</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>-277</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Date: 5/12/2022
Well: USGS3
Location: PMA
Weather: 
Sampled by: 
Initial DTW: 
DHA Pump on: 14:11
DHA Start Time: 14:11
BS Pump on: 14:11
BS Start Time: 14:11

Time: 5:00
Flow Rate: 500

H₂ and CO Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Sample #</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>DHA Step</th>
<th>Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>BS Inj. Time</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13:17</td>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>17:00</td>
<td>19:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19:39</td>
<td>20:30</td>
<td>21:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14:14</td>
<td>15:20</td>
<td>16:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13:29</td>
<td>14:29</td>
<td>15:20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10:32</td>
<td>11:32</td>
<td>12:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>08:42</td>
<td>09:42</td>
<td>10:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>06:54</td>
<td>07:54</td>
<td>08:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>05:00</td>
<td>06:00</td>
<td>07:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>04:00</td>
<td>05:00</td>
<td>06:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>03:00</td>
<td>04:00</td>
<td>05:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>02:00</td>
<td>03:00</td>
<td>04:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>01:00</td>
<td>02:00</td>
<td>03:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>00:00</td>
<td>01:00</td>
<td>02:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA Result: Fe 2+ (ppm)
BS DH:
GLE DH:
DHA/BIS %Diff:
DHA/GER %Diff:

Groundwater Sampling Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spc Cond</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>SW/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:11</td>
<td>14:11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>-232.5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:14</td>
<td>14:14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C
Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)

C-1 Purpose and Scope of the Plan
The data from the analyses should be of such known quality that informed decisions can be made and results are considered reliable. The quality-assurance (QA) program is designed under the PARCC scenario (i.e., precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability) of quality assurance/quality control.

C-2 Quality Assurance Responsibilities
The process of data reduction, review, and reporting is applicable to all aspects of the project and is required for technical and managerial data. Documented verification of these data is crucial. Consistent, documented data reduction techniques for hand calculations and computer analyses and standardized technical data validation are equally important in the verification of the technical data.

To ensure that all aspects of the demonstration remain in conformance with ESTCP-approved data quality objectives, we have defined specific project roles and responsibilities as shown in the organization chart (Figure 1) and summarized below.

Project Management – Carmen Lebron of the Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC) will serve in a project management capacity. As such, she will be responsible for establishing site contacts, coordinating site access, and assisting in review and implementation of the Technology Demonstration Plan.

Laboratory Director – Dr. Patrick J. Evans, who serves as the Laboratory Director at CDM in Bellevue, Washington, will oversee all aspects of data management. He will be responsible for establishing QA/QC policies and ensuring those policies are followed. He will also be responsible for reviewing QA/QC results to verify if data are acceptable for use or if an analytical batch or sequence needs to be reanalyzed.

Specific responsibilities of the Laboratory Director include:

- Ensuring that the necessary staff and resources to produce quality results in a timely manner are committed to the project.
- Ensuring that the staff are adequately trained in the procedures so that they are capable of producing high quality results and detecting situations that are not within the method or project QA limits.
- Ensuring that the analytical methods and procedures are followed and well documented.
• Maintaining the laboratory's Quality Assurance Manual and documenting that its procedures are followed.

• Ensuring that laboratory reports are complete and reported in the required deliverable format.

• Communicating, managing, and documenting all corrections initiated at the laboratory.

Validation and Characterization – Dr. Frank Chapelle will evaluate results of the DH analyzer by comparing them with the bubble-strip method. Dr. Chapelle is with the U.S. Geological Survey in South Carolina.

Analysts – The Laboratory Director will be supported by one analyst who will be responsible for maintaining a laboratory notebook and updating the standard preparation, and instrument calibration/maintenance notebooks.

Specific responsibilities of the analysts include:

• Ensuring that appropriate testing, measurement, and record keeping procedures are followed.

• Ensuring the proper use of standard operating procedures associated with data collection and equipment operation.

• Ensuring that the proper number and type of QC samples are analyzed.

• Informing the Laboratory Director when problems occur, and communicating and documenting any corrective actions that are taken.

C-3 Data Quality Parameters

C.3.1 Representativeness

Representativeness is a measure of how closely measured results reflect the actual concentration or distribution of chemical compounds in a sampled media. The number, location, and frequency of samples, sampling techniques, and sample custody and shipment are developed at the start of the project to ensure that data are representative of site conditions.

C.3.2 Completeness

Completeness is a measure of the amount of valid data obtained from the requested analytical method. Completeness may be defined as the number of samples with acceptable chemical analyses compared to the total number of samples collected in the field. The target completeness objective will be 95 percent.
C.3.3 Comparability
Data comparability expresses the confidence with which each sampling event can be compared to another. Comparability will be maintained by use of consistent sampling procedures, EPA-approved analytical methods, consistent detection limits, and consistent units.

C.3.3.1 Accuracy
Accuracy is assessed by determining how close a measured value lies to its actual value. One approach is to spike a sample with an analyte of known concentration and calculate the average percent recovery (%R). A second procedure is to analyze a standard and calculate the percent difference (%D) between the measured value and the statistically determined value of the standard.

Two types of percent recoveries generally are measured for organic analyses: matrix spike and surrogate spike. For a matrix spike, analytes with a known concentration are added to the sample. A matrix spike will be conducted for the bioavailable ferric iron assay. This will involve addition of a known concentration of a specific iron oxide to the bioavailable ferric iron assay tubes either with or without soil. The assay will be run and bioavailable ferric iron assay results will be compared to expected results in order to calculate percent recovery. Initially, an iron oxide standard with a predetermined concentration will be tested using the bioavailable ferric iron assay without soil. Subsequently, this standard will be spiked into one soil sample per site and the bioavailable ferric iron assay will be run. The surrogate spike is not applicable for DH and bioavailable ferric iron assays.

C.3.3.2 Precision
Precision is a measure of the reproducibility of an analytical result (i.e., to obtain the same or similar results on replicate measurements of the same sample or of duplicate samples). Reproducibility is affected by matrix variations, the extraction procedure, and the analytical method used. For duplicate and replicate samples, precision is expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD). For each site, a duplicate soil sample will be analyzed by the bioavailable ferric iron assay and the analyses listed in Table 2.

C.4 Calibration Procedures, Quality Control Checks, and Corrective Action
C.4.1 Standard Preparation
New standards are prepared at the beginning of each project. New standards are based on the chemical nature of the constituents. Standards that are used for analysis will be traceable to a nationally recognized source (e.g., NIST).

All stock standard solutions are entered into the standard preparation logbook immediately after preparation. The standard preparation logbook identifies the following for each stock standard: preparation date, preparer's initials, chemical constituents, solvent, volume, and disposal date (completed when standard is destroyed).
C.4.2 Instrument Calibration
Analytical instruments are calibrated at the beginning of each project or when calibration verification results indicate that instrument recalibration is required. Instrument calibration QC parameters are specified in the analytical methods, but generally three-point calibration curves are generated with a correlation coefficient \([r]\) requirement of >0.95 and/or with a percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration standard response factors of 30 percent.

C.4.2.1 Calibration Verification
The instrument calibration average response factor is verified at the beginning and end of each analytical sequence (of no more than 20 samples) or within a 12-hour window. Calibration verification QC parameters are specified in the analytical methods, but generally a %D criterion of 25 percent is used. The %D value is derived by assessing the difference between the average response factor from the initial calibration and the response factor from a mid-range calibration verification standard.

C.4.3 Method Blanks
Method blanks are analyzed per sample preparation batch (of no more than 20 samples). Method blanks are used to determine the cleanliness of the analytical system. If method blanks are shown to contain reportable concentrations of an analyte, the associated sample batch containing concentrations up to five times the level in the associated blank will be reanalyzed.

C.4.4 Surrogate Spikes
Surrogate spike compounds are added to each sample before the preparation steps of the analysis begin. Surrogate spike compound percent recovery values are evaluated against laboratory and method requirements. Surrogate spikes are not applicable as described above.

C.4.5 Duplicate Sample Analyses
One sample is selected for duplicate analysis per sample batch. The sample and the duplicate are taken from the same sample container and carried through the sample preparation and analysis steps as discrete samples. Performance of the duplicate sample analysis is evaluated against laboratory and method RPD criteria.

C.4.6 Laboratory Control Samples
A laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD) is analyzed per analytical batch. The LCS/LCSD samples are prepared by adding the target analyte spiking solutions to clean silica sand (soil analyses) or distilled water (groundwater analyses) and carrying the spiked samples through the preparation and analysis steps. Performance of the LCS/LCSD analyses is evaluated against laboratory and method %R and RPD criteria. For the bioavailable ferric iron assay evaluation, samples of clean silica sand spikes with known quantities of various iron oxide standards will be submitted for analysis. These samples will be blind standards.
C.4.7 Matrix Spike Samples
A matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) is analyzed per analytical batch. The MS/MSD samples are prepared by adding the target analyte spiking solutions to an aliquot of a field sample and carrying the spiked samples through the preparation and analysis steps. Performance of the MS/MSD analyses is evaluated against laboratory and method %R and RPD criteria.

C.5 Corrective Actions
In the event that data quality falls outside of established acceptance criteria, correction involves the following steps:

1. Discovery of a non-conformance.
2. Identification of the responsible party.
4. Confirmation that the desired results were produced.

The intent of the quality assurance process is to minimize corrections through the development and implementation of effective internal controls. To accomplish this, procedures will be implemented, as described in this section, to activate a correction for each measurement system when acceptance criteria have been exceeded. In addition, reviews and audits will be conducted on a periodic basis to check this implementation. Results of quality assurance reviews and audits typically identify the requirements for corrections. When this occurs, a correction plan will be prepared to include: identification of the correction, organizational level of responsibility for the action taken, steps to be taken for correction, and approval for the correction.

Procedures for ensuring the correctness of the data reduction process are discussed in this section. Data are reduced either manually on calculation sheets or by computer on formatted printouts. Responsibilities for the data reduction process are delegated as follows:

- Technical personnel will document and review their own work and are responsible for the correctness of the work.
- Major calculations will receive a method and calculation check by a secondary reviewer prior to reporting (peer review).
- The Laboratory Director will be responsible for ensuring that data reduction is performed according to protocols discussed in this QA Plan.

The need for correction(s) in the analytical laboratory may come from several sources: equipment malfunction, failure of internal QA/QC checks, method blank contamination, failure of performance or system audits, and/or noncompliance with QA requirements. When measurement equipment or analytical methods fail QA/QC checks, the problem will immediately be brought to the attention of Laboratory Director and the analysts in accordance with laboratory and method protocols. If failure is due to equipment malfunction, the equipment will be repaired, precision and accuracy will be reassessed, and the analysis will be rerun. Attempts will be made
to reanalyze all affected parts of the analysis so that, in the end, the product is not affected by failure of QA requirements. All incidents of QA failure and the correction tasks will be documented in the instrument logbook and in the associated project notebook. Corrections also will be taken promptly for deficiencies noted during spot checks of raw data. As soon as sufficient time has elapsed for corrections to be implemented, evidence of correction of deficiencies will be presented to the Laboratory Director.

C.6 Demonstration Procedures
The DH analyzer will be inspected in CDM's laboratory in Bellevue, Washington prior to shipment to each site. Inspection of mechanical and electrical systems will be conducted to ensure reliable operation. Test runs will be conducted in the laboratory to assure acceptable operation. Upon arrival at each site, the DH analyzer will once again be inspected to determine if damage occurred during shipment. A calibration run will be conducted to further ensure proper operation. During operation at each site, the DH analyzer will be calibrated at least once daily and variations in the calibration factor will be noted. If unacceptable variation is observed, associated problems will be identified and corrected. Mechanical or electrical failure while on site will be addressed upon discovery through repair and/or replacement of malfunctioning parts.

C.7 Calculation of Data Quality Indicators
Technical validation requires comparison of QC and instrument performance standard results to the required control limits. The following QC elements will be reviewed (as appropriate):

- Analytical holding times
- Blank contamination
- Instrument calibration
- Continuing calibration standards
- Internal standards
- Analytical accuracy (matrix spike compound recoveries, laboratory control sample spike compound recoveries, surrogate compound recoveries)
- Analytical precision (comparison of duplicate sample results and duplicate spike results, expressed as RPD)
- Compound identification
- Compound quantitation and reported detection limits

Any outliers from laboratory or method QC criteria for the above-mentioned parameters will be qualified through an in-house data validation process. Results will be flagged with the
appropriate data qualifier and the effect on data usability. The following data flags are used by the laboratory (Source: National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, USEPA 2/94):

**U** The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at concentrations greater than the sample reporting limit.

**J** The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.

**UJ** The analytes were not detected at concentrations greater than the sample reporting limit. However, the sample reporting limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.

The data qualifier "R" (data are rejected) is not used. Any situation requiring rejection of data will require corrective action and reanalysis with acceptable results.

**C.8 Performance and System Audit**
Data quality audits will be performed on each data set for comparison to instrumental and laboratory QC parameters. If the data quality audit indicates a significant laboratory problem, then performance audits of the laboratory will be conducted to identify and correct specific problems.

**C.9 Quality Assurance Reports**
All data will be reviewed for quality assurance. This will include checking for appropriate holding times, preservation, chains-of-custody, and field and laboratory quality control samples.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this project is to demonstrate and validate two innovative analytical tools that can measure dissolved hydrogen (DH) and bioavailable ferrous iron. The efficiency of these two tools will be assessed at six Department of Defense sites (Fort Lewis and SUBASE Bangor in Washington; Laurel Bay in Beaufort, South Carolina; Dover Air Force Base in Delaware; and Naval Air Station Pensacola in Florida). The sixth site (Cape Canaveral in Florida or Moody Air Force Base in Georgia) will be selected at a later date.

1.1 GENERAL

This project Health and Safety Plan (HASP) provides guidance and procedures to Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. (CDM) personnel involved in field activities at the five sites listed in Section 1.4. This HASP applies to CDM personnel working within the scope of work outlined in Section 2.0.

If, during the course of work, information is obtained indicating additional hazards or a change in scope, field work will be temporarily halted, information regarding potential hazards reevaluated, and this HASP updated or modified as necessary. Project work will resume after field personnel are notified of modifications to the HASP.

1.2 CONTACT PERSONNEL

CDM Project/Field Manager Dr. Patrick Evans (425) 453-8383
CDM Health and Safety Manager Monica Beckman (425) 453-8383
CDM Occupational Physician Dr. Calvin Jones (425) 822-3651

1.3 PROJECT RESPONSIBILITIES

The CDM Health and Safety Manager (HSM) and CDM Project Manager (PM) are responsible for ensuring this HASP is implemented during project operations. The CDM Field Manager will act as the designated Site Safety Officer (SSO) and is responsible for day-to-day safety requirements during fieldwork. CDM personnel are responsible for following the procedures set forth in this HASP. When no policies or regulations apply, CDM employees should act in a manner to reduce potential risk of injury or health effects. Project-related safety responsibilities include the following:

Project Manager:

- Ensure subcontractors have submitted a completed Subcontractor Safety Agreement Form, included as Attachment A.

- Ensure site personnel and visitors comply with the requirements of the project HASP.

- Ensure site personnel meet the required qualifications.
Health and Safety Manager:

- Write and amend the project HASP.
- Investigate accidents, injuries, and illnesses.
- Conduct specialized and site-specific training as required.
- Address questions raised by the PM, SSO, or site personnel.

Site Safety Officer:

- Ensure site personnel comply with the requirements of the HASP and have submitted a completed Field Team Review Form (included as Attachment B) to the HSM.
- Monitor the site and work areas for health and safety hazards and address any unusual situations; consult the HSM if necessary.
- Investigate accidents, injuries, and illnesses; contact the HSM.

Oversee the proper use, maintenance, and care of safety equipment and ensure proper decontamination procedures are followed.

- Conduct regular site safety meetings.
- Stop work if necessary (i.e., an imminent danger or health hazard exists) and contact the HSM.

Site Personnel:

- Read and follow the HASP.
- Report accidents, illnesses, or unsafe conditions to the SSO or HSM.
- Properly clean and maintain safety equipment.

Prior to working at the site, each employee will receive a copy of this HASP from the PM or HSM. Employees are required to read the HASP and forward a completed copy of the Field Team Review Form to the HSM. Employees are expected to conduct site work in a safe manner and comply with this HASP and federal, state, and local regulations.

CDM may hire subcontractors to assist with field operations at the site. Subcontractors should follow the CDM project HASP or provide and implement their own project HASP. Subcontractor personnel should follow their company’s HASP and conduct site work in a safe
manner. If a subcontractor is hired, an individual authorized to commit the company will read the CDM HASP and forward a completed copy of the Subcontractor Safety Agreement Form to the PM. Work performed on the site by subcontractors may include utilizing a Geoprobe to collect soil samples and decontaminating equipment.

1.4 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION
Five Department of Defense sites have been designated for field work. An additional site will be selected at a later date.

1.4.1 Fort Lewis, Washington
Fort Lewis Logistics Center is located in Washington State between the cities of Tacoma and Olympia. The source area for this study is the East Gate Disposal Yard (EGDY), which is in the northwest corner of the base. Originally the EGDY was used for storage and disposal of various solid and liquid wastes, from the Fort Lewis Logistic Center. Studies have been conducted at the EGDY since 1982 to verify and delineate contamination. Affected media include soil and groundwater, with the prominent contaminant being trichloroethene. Additional information is available in Battelle Technology Demonstration Plan (2000).

1.4.2 Naval Submarine Base Bangor, Washington (SUBASE Bangor)
The source area for this study is Operable Unit (OU) 8, which is located in the Public Works Industrial Area (PWIA) of the base. SUBASE Bangor is located near the town of Silverdale, Washington. A UST located onsite is believed to be the source of a release of unleaded gasoline into the surrounding media for years spanning 1982 to 1986. In 1986, remediation efforts were undertaken to clean up the site of the release (soil vapor extraction/air sparging and product recovery). To date, liquid petroleum hydrocarbons remain in several monitoring wells at the PWIA. Chlorinated VOCs are also present in groundwater. Additional information is available in EA's Final Technical Memorandum (2000).

1.4.3 Laurel Bay Exchange, Beaufort, South Carolina
Laurel Bay is situated on Port Royal Island in the Sea Islands of the Atlantic Coastal Plain, near Beaufort, South Carolina. Contamination onsite was first discovered in March/April of 1993. Soil and groundwater had concentrations of contaminants above maximum contaminant levels (MCL). The source of this contamination was a gasoline leakage from a UST system associated with an onsite service station. The USTs and surrounding sediments were excavated and removed as part of the site remediation. Additional information is available in the USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report (1996).

1.4.4 Dover Air Force Base, Delaware
The source area for this study is Target Area 1. It is situated in the West Management Unit (WMU) of Dover Air Force Base. The likely contaminant source is the WP21 impoundment, which received hazardous waste from 1963 to 1984. Contamination was first discovered in the water supply of a trailer park during the 1980s. Contaminated soil has been excavated and the
area backfilled with clean soil. Additional information is available in an investigation report by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1999).

1.4.5 Naval Air Station Pensacola, Florida
The source area for this study is the wastewater treatment plant located in the corner of the base (USGS, 1999). Naval Air Station Pensacola, Florida is situated in Pensacola Bay in the far northwest corner of the state. At the time this HASP was prepared, no further historical or facility information was available.
2.0 SCOPE OF WORK

CDM will be collecting environmental samples from each site. This HASP describes procedures to be followed and personal protective equipment (PPE) to be used by CDM personnel performing the following field tasks:

- Collect groundwater samples from existing site monitoring wells (10 total)
  - Measure DH with Dissolved Hydrogen analyzer
  - Measure DH by Bubble-Strip method
  - Measure chemical parameters of groundwater
    - Obtain pore water samples from wells
    - Contain purge water

- Collect soil samples
  - Drill borings using a hollow-stem auger, Geoprobe, or hand auger
  - Collect 20 subsurface soil samples from each site
  - Contain excess soil cuttings and decontamination water
  - Conduct air monitoring using an organic vapor meter equipped with a photoionization detector (OVM-PID)

- Perform laboratory analysis
  - Bioavailable Ferric Iron Assay (performed by CDM in Bellevue, Washington)
  - Redox characterization of sediment and Aquifer samples (work will be conducted at Georgia Institute of Technology, Georgia)
  - Mineralogical Characterization of Precipitants (work will be conducted at the University of Colorado, Colorado)
3.0 CHEMICAL HAZARD ASSESSMENT

Personnel may be exposed to hazardous chemicals during field operations at the site. Exposure could result from physical contact with, inhalation of compounds volatilizing from, or inadvertent ingestion of contaminated soil or water. The following potential contaminants are present at each site:

Fort Lewis: trichloroethene, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, trans-1,2-dichloroethene, ethene, vinyl chloride

- SUBASE Bangor: dichloroethane, benzene, trichloroethane
- Laurel Bay: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, methyl-tert-butyl-ether
- Dover AFB: trichloroethane, tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, dichloroethene

NAS Pensacola: 1,3-dichlorobenzene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, chlorobenzene

In general, acute short-term exposure to potential site contaminants may result in eye, nose, skin, and upper respiratory tract irritation. Mild narcosis, chest pain, difficulty breathing, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea are indications of severe exposure. Some potential site contaminants are considered carcinogenic; therefore, exposure should be minimized. Observable symptoms in site personnel may indicate a chemical's permissible exposure level (PEL) is being exceeded. If such symptoms are observed, CDM personnel should leave the site and inform the CDM HSM, who will reevaluate conditions at the site and implement engineering controls before allowing CDM personnel to reenter.

3.1 CHLORINATED SOLVENTS

The most common chlorinated solvents include trichloroethene (TCE), trichloroethane (TCA, methyl chloroform), tetrachloroethene (PCE, perchloroethylene), dichloroethane (DCA, ethylene dichloride), and vinyl chloride. Dermal contact, inhalation, and ingestion are considered the most common exposure routes for chlorinated solvents. Many chlorinated solvents act as central nervous system depressants and are considered carcinogens of the liver, lung, skin, and blood-forming tissues.

Eye and skin irritation may result from prolonged or repeated dermal contact. Contact with some of these compounds may result in skin tissue freezing due to rapid evaporation. Toxic effects may result from repeated exposures to concentrations too low to cause narcotic effects that would normally produce an adequate warning of exposure; individual susceptibility varies widely. Symptoms of exposure include hallucinations and distorted perceptions, dizziness, drowsiness, lack of coordination, confusion, nausea, vomiting, and other gastrointestinal effects.

Symptoms indicating acute exposure to TCE include narcosis and anesthesia; death may occur and are attributed to ventricular fibrillation resulting in cardiac failure. Symptoms of chronic
exposure include eye effects, somnolence, hallucinations or distorted perceptions, gastrointestinal changes, and jaundice. Prolonged inhalation of moderate concentrations of TCE may result in headaches and drowsiness. TCE is also considered an eye and severe skin irritant. Chronic exposure to TCE may result in damage to the liver and other organs. In addition, TCE is considered a potential carcinogen by some organizations. The American Council of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) recommends an 8-hour time weighted average-threshold limit value (TWA-TLV) of 50 parts per million (ppm) and short-term exposure limit (STEL) of 100 ppm for occupational exposure to TCE. The Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA) permissible exposure level (PEL) for TCE is 100 ppm and the OSHA STEL is 200 ppm.

Symptoms indicating acute exposure to TCA include headache, lassitude, central nervous system depression, poor equilibrium, irritated eyes, dermatitis, and cardiac arrhythmia. Chronic exposure to TCA may result in damage to the skin, central nervous system, cardiovascular system, and eyes. TCA is also considered an eye and severe skin irritant. ACGIH recommends an 8-hour TWA-TLV of 350 ppm and STEL of 450 ppm for occupational exposure to TCA. The OSHA PEL for TCA is 350 ppm.

Symptoms indicating acute exposure to PERC include irritated eyes and skin, respiratory system anesthetic, and depression of the central nervous system. Chronic exposure to PCE may result in dermatitis and irritation of the gastrointestinal system in addition to those systems affected by acute exposure. ACGIH recommends an 8-hour TWA-TLV of 25 ppm and a STEL of 100 ppm for occupational exposure to PERC. The OSHA PEL established for PERC is 100 ppm and the OSHA STEL is 200 ppm.

Symptoms indicating exposure to DCA include irritated eyes, skin, and respiratory system; and depression of the central nervous system. Chronic exposure to DCA may result in damage to the respiratory system, eyes, and central nervous system. ACGIH recommends an 8-hour TWA-TLV of 10 ppm for occupational exposure to DCA. The OSHA PEL established for DCA is 50 ppm and the OSHA STEL is 100 ppm. DCA is considered a potential carcinogen.

Symptoms indicating acute exposure to vinyl chloride include severe irritation of the skin, eyes, and mucous membranes. Skin exposure may result in burns due to rapid evaporation and consequent freezing. At high concentrations, vinyl chloride acts as an anesthetic. Chronic exposure to vinyl chloride may result in damage to the reproductive system and liver. ACGIH recommends an 8-hour TWA-TLV of 5 ppm for occupational exposure to vinyl chloride. The OSHA PEL established for vinyl chloride is 1 ppm. Vinyl chloride is considered a potential carcinogen.

3.2 PETROLEUM PRODUCTS
Petroleum products usually include benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, and xylenes (BTEX). Petroleum products also may contain cyclohexane, methyl tert butyl ether, and tetraethyl lead (leaded gasoline only.) The most common exposure routes for these compounds include inhalation and skin contact or absorption. Acute short-term inhalation of petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations up to 1,000 ppm may result in headache, dizziness, loss of appetite, weakness,
loss of coordination, and upper respiratory tract irritation. Inhalation of vapor concentrations in excess of 5,000 ppm may result in loss of consciousness, coma, and death. Dermal contact may result in eye and skin irritation. Benzene is considered carcinogenic; therefore, exposure should be minimized.

Symptoms indicating acute exposure to benzene compounds include irritated eyes, nose, and respiratory system; giddiness; headache; nausea; staggered gait; fatigue; and dermatitis. Chronic exposure to benzene may result in damage to the blood, central nervous system, skin, bone marrow, eyes, and respiratory system. The ACGIH recommends an 8-hour TWA-TLV of 0.5 ppm for occupational exposure to benzene. The OSHA PEL for benzene is 1.0 ppm and the STEL is 5 ppm.
4.0 PHYSICAL HAZARD ASSESSMENT

4.1 TEMPERATURE-RELATED HAZARDS
Ambient work site temperatures and the amount of physical activity performed may contribute to temperature-related illnesses in employees ranging from heat stress to hypothermia. Personnel performing physical labor while wearing protective clothing at temperatures greater than 70°F are subject to developing heat-related disorders. Employee temperatures and radial pulse rates should be monitored to ensure an adequate work/rest regimen is followed and heat-related illnesses are prevented. If temperatures exceed 80°F, personnel should take a 15-minute rest from strenuous activity every hour and drink plenty of water or an electrolytic beverage (e.g., Gatorade). Appropriate clothing should be worn if outside temperatures decrease to less than 40°F for more than 2 hours.

4.2 FIRE AND EXPLOSION HAZARDS
The risk of fire or explosion may be present during field activities. A combustible gas meter (CGM) should be utilized if OVM-PID readings indicate elevated volatile organic vapors in the work zone. If the CGM indicates combustible gas levels in the general work area at 20 percent of the lower explosive limit (LEL), work shall cease and the tasks will be reevaluated. Work involving welding or cutting shall not be performed if the CGM indicates concentrations have reached 10 percent of the LEL in the general work area. Engineering controls, such as ventilation, will be implemented to control combustible gas levels. As a precautionary measure, smoking will not be permitted on site at any time.

4.3 NOISE HAZARDS
Heavy equipment and drill rigs (Geoprobe) may be a source of high noise levels. Because noise levels vary for each piece of equipment, hearing protection will be provided as necessary. Personnel should utilize hearing protection while working within 15 feet of operating heavy equipment and drill rigs.

4.4 OXYGEN DEFICIENCY HAZARDS
Site personnel are not expected to encounter an oxygen-depleted atmosphere during site activities. Entry into a confined space is considered a last resort and requires an addendum to this HASP. Confined spaces are defined as any space having a limited means of egress and subject to the accumulation of toxic or flammable contaminants or an oxygen-deficient atmosphere. This definition includes, but is not limited to, tanks, silos, utility vaults, trenches over 4 feet deep and open-topped vessels with walls greater than 4 feet high.

4.5 UTILITY HAZARDS
CDM personnel should be aware of any overhead power lines located within 20 feet of the work area. If such lines are present, they should be guarded, insulated, or turned off. In addition, the Geoprobe contractor should utilize a locating service to determine whether underground utilities are in the area prior to beginning soil-sampling activities. Most State laws require a minimum 48-hour notice to utilities prior to the start of underground work. CDM personnel should be
satisfied utilities have been located and that this notice has been given. Since subsurface soil samples will be collected, any required dig permits will be acquired prior to fieldwork activities.

4.6 CONSTRUCTION HAZARDS
The principal construction hazards are expected to be those associated with Geoprobe sampling and traffic movement. Operation of the Geoprobe will be conducted by a qualified subcontractor and will be performed in accordance with applicable regulations.

When equipment is being loaded and unloaded, CDM personnel should stand clear to prevent injuries in case the load falls. CDM personnel should be aware of moving equipment and traffic at the site and stay out of the way; particular attention should be paid when backup alarms are sounding because operator visibility in the direction of travel may be decreased.
5.0 SITE WORK ZONES

Three work zones, described in the following paragraphs, will be established during site activities as a contamination control measure.

5.1 EXCLUSION ZONE
The exclusion (or work) zone is the area that contains or is suspected of containing contaminated soil or open monitoring wells. An area having an approximately 15-foot radius should be established around each sampling location to serve as the exclusion zone during work activities. These areas will cease being exclusion zones when contamination is no longer present or has been contained. Personnel should not be allowed to enter an exclusion zone unless they have been given permission by the SSO and otherwise follow all applicable portions of this HASP.

5.2 CONTAMINATION REDUCTION ZONE
A contamination reduction zone will be established adjacent to each exclusion zone to act as a transition area for personnel and equipment decontamination. The contamination reduction zone is also considered a restricted area; therefore, personnel must meet training and medical surveillance qualifications.

5.3 SUPPORT ZONE
The support zone is the area considered uncontaminated. This area is used to stage clean equipment and other support facilities. Visitors must stay in the support zone unless proof of training and medical clearance is shown to the SSO.
6.0 AIR MONITORING AND SAMPLING

Air monitoring will be conducted during site operations having a high potential to release contaminants. Monitoring will be used to document exposure levels and confirm that necessary precautions are taken to protect onsite personnel and the general public. In addition, air sampling may be performed if personnel exposures to organic vapors are suspected of exceeding established exposure limits.

Monitoring and sampling equipment will be calibrated daily in accordance with manufacturers' requirements. Calibration data, background readings, predominant wind direction, air monitoring readings, and air sampling information will be recorded as part of the daily field logs. If instrument readings are questionable or abnormal, the HSM should be notified.

6.1 AIR MONITORING

The organic vapor action level is based on readings obtained with an OVM-PID. Measurements are taken in the breathing zone, which is considered to encompass a sphere of 1-foot radius around a worker's nose during normal work operations.

Because the OVM-PID measures total organic vapors and cannot readily distinguish between compounds, a conservative organic vapor action level has been established. The organic vapor action level will be a sustained (5-minute) reading of 2.5 ppm (one-half the STEL for benzene) above background, measured in the breathing zone. If organic vapor levels exceed 2.5 ppm above background and cannot be controlled utilizing engineering controls, half-face respirators should be worn. If levels exceed 5 ppm above background, full-face respirators should be worn. If organic vapor concentrations exceed 50 ppm above background, work should cease and personnel should leave the site.

The action levels discussed above were determined to be sufficient based on a comparison of air sampling analytical results to air monitoring readings obtained using an OVM-PID or OVM equipped with a flame ionization detector (OVM-FID) during sampling. Action levels may be adjusted as additional information is obtained. CDM employees are instructed to stay outside the exclusion zone or upwind as much as possible. Such work practices will minimize the potential for exposures above established PELs.

6.2 AIR SAMPLING

Air samples have been collected for CDM employees observing, directing, and documenting operations at hazardous waste sites to document exposure of CDM personnel to benzene and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). These air samples have been collected at various project locations during different phases of site operations. Analytical results received from these samples indicate no exposures to benzene greater than the PEL of 1 ppm measured as an 8-hour TWA at any site.

Additional air sampling may be conducted at the discretion of the CDM HSM, PM, or SSO. Personnel air sampling of organic vapors may be conducted using organic vapor diffusion
(OVD) badges or a charcoal tube and pump assembly. For personnel sampling, the OVD badge or charcoal tube should be placed within the breathing zone of the individual with the greatest potential exposure for 8 to 10 hours. OVD badges and charcoal tubes may be exposed for shorter durations if personnel leave the exclusion zone. Upon sampling completion, the OVD badges or charcoal tubes are collected and sealed, exposure times recorded, and the badges are sent to an independent laboratory accredited by the American Board of Industrial Hygiene (ABIH) to perform industrial hygiene analysis.
7.0 PERSONNEL PROTECTION

7.1 EXCLUSION ZONES AND CONTAMINATION REDUCTION ZONES

This section describes the PPE to be worn by personnel performing field operations within site exclusion and contamination reduction zones. Appropriate PPE was determined using information in Sections 3.0 and 4.0.

Head protection - American National Standards Institute (ANSI) approved hard hats shall be worn near heavy equipment and drill rigs, and whenever there is an overhead hazard.

Eye and face protection - Safety glasses shall be worn during sampling activities. When there is a high splash potential, face shields also shall be worn.

Foot protection - Steel-toe and -shank work boots shall be worn.

Skin protection - Coveralls should be worn. If direct contact with contaminated material is expected, Tyvek coveralls also should be worn. If the probability of being splashed or coming in contact with wet contaminants is high, personnel should wear polyvinyl chloride (PVC) rain suits or Saranax-coated Tyvek coveralls.

Hand protection - Personnel should wear two pairs of chemically protective gloves during sampling activities. An inner, surgical-type glove should be worn to lessen the chance of cross contamination during decontamination activities. Outer gloves should be made of Nitrile. If necessary, heavy-duty work gloves also may be worn. If work gloves are worn over chemically protective gloves, they should be considered disposable. An alternative is to wear the work gloves under the chemically protective gloves.

Respiratory protection - If organic vapor concentrations (measured in the breathing zone) exceed sustained (i.e., 5 minutes) readings of 2.5 ppm, personnel should wear National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) approved properly fitted half-face respirators. Respirators should be equipped with organic vapor (OV) cartridges. Cartridges should be changed a minimum of once per day or more often if break-through is suspected. At organic vapor levels between 5 and 50 ppm measured in the breathing zone, personnel should wear full-face respirators equipped with the same type cartridge. At sustained concentrations greater than 50 ppm, work shall cease. Additional information concerning air monitoring is included in Section 6.0.

7.2 SUPPORT ZONES

Personnel working in a support zone, or in an exclusion or contamination reduction zone before or after contaminated material is present, are not required to wear protective clothing or respirators. Regular work clothing should provide adequate protection during operations in these areas. Hard hats, safety glasses, and steel-toe and -shank boots must be worn while heavy equipment is being mobilized.
7.3 SUMMARY
Levels of protective clothing have been assigned to each field task. Level D is considered general work clothing; Level C is considered general work clothing with the addition of chemically protective clothing and respirators. In some cases, personnel may wear respirators and no chemically protective clothing; this is referred to as Modified Level C protection. The levels of protection listed below may be altered based on additional information and field conditions. Final determinations concerning levels of protection will be made by the SSO and are subject to approval of the HSM. The following is a list of field tasks and the levels of protective clothing assigned to them:

- Collect groundwater samples from existing site monitoring wells - Level C or D (as determined on site)
- Collect soil samples - Level C or D (as determined on site)
- Perform laboratory analysis - Level D
8.0 DECONTAMINATION PROCEDURES

Decontamination procedures should be used for equipment and personnel to ensure contamination is controlled and not spread from the site. In addition, contact with contaminated material should be limited. Methods to minimize the spread of contamination include using plastic covers over field equipment and limiting personnel contact rates and areas. Used disposable protective equipment and decontamination water will be contained for offsite disposal.

8.1 PERSONNEL
Personnel should don protective equipment before entering exclusion zone and follow decontamination procedures before reentering the support zone. The level of protective equipment and therefore decontamination procedures may be altered based on additional information and field conditions. Decontamination should include the following steps:

- Wash and rinse outer clothing, boots, and gloves. A soap and water solution should be used for the wash.
- Remove outer gloves and protective clothing (if worn).
- Remove respirator and cartridge assembly (if worn); clean respirator.
- Remove inner gloves.
- Wash hands and face.
- Shower as soon as possible after leaving the site.

8.2 SAMPLING EQUIPMENT
Sampling equipment should be brought through the decontamination line with personnel and cleaned before returning it to CDM. Samples and sample coolers should be wiped down to prevent contaminating laboratory personnel.

8.3 HEAVY EQUIPMENT
Heavy equipment should be decontaminated before leaving the site. Heavy equipment is difficult to decontaminate; methods generally include washing with high pressure water or steam cleaning while scrubbing accessible parts. Particular care should be given to tires, tracks, augers, buckets, and other components in direct contact with potentially contaminated material.
9.0 GENERAL SAFE WORK PRACTICES

If respiratory protection is required, a buddy system will be used to readily detect when emergency aid is required. No person will be allowed to work out of sight of other personnel.

A first aid kit and fire extinguisher will be available during site activities. Fire extinguishers should be within 50 feet of the work operation. A first aid kit, cell phone, and fire extinguisher will be present in CDM onsite vehicle.

Personnel shall not eat, drink, chew gum or tobacco, smoke, or perform any other practice that increases the probability of hand-to-mouth contact in site exclusion zones or contamination reduction zones.

The use of controlled substances or alcohol is forbidden at the site. In addition, personnel shall not work at the site while under the influence of such substances.
10.0 EMERGENCY PROCEDURES

Emergency response procedures have been developed for extraordinary events that could occur during field operations. These events include injuries, chemical exposures, fires, and spills. In general, the following actions should be implemented in the event of an emergency:

First aid or other appropriate initial action should be administered by those closest to the accident or emergency situation. This assistance should be conducted such that those giving assistance are not placed in a situation of unacceptable risk.

The CDM PM and HSM should be contacted immediately.

A Supplementary Record of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses Form (included as Attachment C) should be completed by the injured individual or witness and forwarded to the PM. The PM will review the form prior to forwarding it to the HSM. Changes to the operation should be made to prevent the same event from occurring in the future.

10.1 PHYSICAL INJURIES

If a person is physically injured or suffers a medical emergency, first aid procedures should be followed. Depending on the severity of the injury or medical condition, emergency medical response may be sought. Contaminated clothing may need to be decontaminated and removed prior to transport to an emergency medical facility.

10.2 CHEMICAL EXPOSURES

If the injury to the worker is chemical in nature, the following first aid procedures should be followed.

10.2.1 Eye Exposures

If contaminated solid or liquid enters the eyes, they should be flushed immediately with large amounts of clean water while occasionally lifting the upper and lower eyelids. Medical attention should be obtained immediately.

10.2.2 Skin Exposures

If contaminated material contacts the skin, the affected area should be washed promptly with soap and water. If contaminated materials penetrate clothing or protective equipment, the items should be removed and affected skin areas washed. Medical attention should be obtained if symptoms warrant.

10.2.3 Inhalation

Anyone inhaling a large volume of potentially toxic vapors should be moved to fresh air at once. If breathing has stopped, artificial respiration should be performed. Medical attention should be obtained immediately.
10.2.4 Ingestion
If contaminated material is swallowed, medical attention should be obtained immediately and the poison control center contacted for further directions.

10.3 FIRES
Fire extinguishers should be available on site and in vehicle cabs. In case of fire at the site, the following actions should be taken:

- Evacuate personnel from the site to an upwind location
- Notify the fire department and emergency response agencies
- Attempt to extinguish the fire using portable fire extinguishers or by smothering (only if the fire is small)

10.4 UNCONTROLLED RELEASE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS
The primary considerations during a hazardous materials spill are to prevent additional personnel from entering the area, contain existing spillage, and prevent further spillage. In the event of a hazardous materials spill at the site, the following actions should be taken:

- Evacuate personnel from the area.
- Summon emergency medical or fire services if the spill involves extremely toxic or flammable materials.
- Contain the spill with absorbent booms and block off the area. Drains, sewers, etc. should be blocked to prevent material from migrating.
- Attempt to stop the flow of material from its point of origin.

10.5 EMERGENCY NOTIFICATION SYSTEM
Generally, emergency notification is given by an air horn or car horn. The following signals are considered standard:

- One Long Blast - Warning; personnel should give necessary aid, prepare to evacuate, and await further instructions.
- Two Long Blasts - Evacuate; all personnel should evacuate the area.
- Three Long Blasts - All Clear; personnel may reenter the site.

10.6 EMERGENCY SERVICES
The telephone closest to each site should be located by the SSO prior to starting site work. If outside services (e.g., ambulance, fire, and police) are required, field personnel should
immediately telephone the local emergency number (911). The SSO should notify CDM at (425) 453-8383 after the emergency situation has been stabilized. If medical attention is needed but the situation is not an emergency, the injured employee may be transported to the hospital by other field personnel.

### 10.6.1 Hospital Route
Hospital route maps are shown on the following figures for each of the five sites:

- Figure 1 Fort Lewis
- Figure 2 SUBASE Bangor
- Figure 3 Laurel Bay
- Figure 4 Dover AFB
- Figure 5 NAS Pensacola

In cases involving severe emergencies, personnel should await emergency medical transport.

### 10.6.2 Emergency Telephone Numbers

The following emergency telephone numbers should be available at the site:

- Fire ..........................................................................................................................911
- Ambulance .............................................................................................................911
- Paramedics .........................................................................................................911
- Police ....................................................................................................................911
- Poison Control Center .......................................................................................911
- Occupational Medical Consultant  
  (Dr. Calvin Jones) .........................................................................................(425) 822-3651
- CDM Health and Safety Manager (Monica Beckman) ..................................(425) 453-8383
  (Home) .............................................................................................................(206) 760-1013
11.0 TRAINING

Personnel working at the sites will have received the required 40-hour training for work at hazardous waste sites in accordance with Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations. Site personnel also will be up to date with respect to 8-hour annual refresher training requirements. At least one individual working at the site will be currently certified in First Aid and Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) procedures. The PM will have completed 8 hours of specialized training for supervising workers at hazardous waste sites in accordance with OSHA requirements. Training records are maintained at CDM by the HSM.
12.0 MEDICAL SURVEILLANCE

CDM employees working at the sites will participate in a Medical Surveillance Program. The CDM Medical Surveillance Program is administered by Dr. Calvin Jones of Virginia Mason Occupational Medicine Clinic in Bellevue, Washington. Medical surveillance documentation is maintained at CDM by the HSM; actual medical examination results are maintained at the Virginia Mason Occupational Medicine Clinic.

Direct hire and new employees are given a baseline physical and annual examinations thereafter. The examining physician verifies in writing whether each individual is fit to work at hazardous waste sites and utilize protective equipment, including respirators. Additional medical examinations may be required during the course of the project if overexposure to site contaminants or an injury occurs.

The content of the medical examinations has been determined by the CDM Occupational Physician. The following are the minimum requirements of the medical surveillance examinations:

- Baseline head-to-toe examination
- Medical history, including work history, past exposures, hobbies, and family history
- Complete blood count and blood chemistries (including liver function, kidney function, heart function, and thyroid function screening)
- Urinalysis
- Spirometry
- EKG (every 2 years)
- Chest X-ray (every 2 years)
- Audiogram
- Vision acuity test

Additional tests may be conducted at the discretion of the examining physician.
13.0 HASP MODIFICATIONS

This project HASP should be reviewed and amended when:

- Applicable regulations are revised.
- Additional information concerning site contaminants, operations, personnel, and emergency services is obtained.
- Site operations are revised.

When the HASP is revised or addenda prepared, personnel shall review the changes or addenda and file a new Field Team Review Form with the HSM.
Attachment A

SUBCONTRACTOR SAFETY AGREEMENT FORM

_________ (hereafter called Subcontractor) has been retained by CDM Technologies (CDM) to assist CDM with field work at _________. Subcontractor has read and understands the project Health and Safety Plan (HASP) dated July 26, 2001 for this project. Subcontractor is aware that their employees may be exposed to potentially hazardous materials and physical hazards during the performance of work at the above-referenced site.

Subcontractor shall ensure their employees, agents, subcontractors, and other invitees to the project site comply with all applicable health and safety laws and regulations, and the most recent version of their project HASP. Subcontractor is responsible for examining regulatory requirements and determining whether additional or more stringent health and safety provisions are required for their portion of work.

____________________________________
Authorized Signature

____________________________________
Printed Name

____________________________________
Title

____________________________________
Date

Completed copies of this form should be forwarded to the CDM Project Manager.
Attachment B

FIELD TEAM REVIEW FORM
FIELD TEAM REVIEW FORM

I have read and reviewed the most recent revision dated July 26, 2001 of the project Health and Safety Plan (HASP) for fieldwork at (__________________). I have been given a chance to ask questions regarding the project HASP and understand the information contained therein. I agree to comply with all aspects of the project HASP.

Name: ____________________________________________

Signature: _________________________________________

Company: _________________________________________

Date: _____________________________________________

Completed copies of this form should be forwarded to the CDM Health and Safety Manager.
Attachment C

SUPPLEMENTARY RECORD OF OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES AND ILLNESSES FORM
SUPPLEMENTARY RECORD OF OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES AND ILLNESSES FORM
CASE NO: _______________________

THIS IS AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT, BE THOROUGH AND ACCURATE.

This section to be completed by injured employee or witness:

Employer Name: CDM Technologies

Employer Address: 11811 N.E. 1st Street, Suite 201, Bellevue, Washington 98005

Project Name/Location: _______________________________________________________

Date of Accident/Incident: ___________________________ Time: ______________

Was place of accident/incident on employer's premises? Yes( ), No( )

Employee Name: ___________________________________________________________

Employee Home Address: ___________________________________________________

Social Security Number: Age: Sex: M( ), F( )

Occupation/Department: _____________________________________________________

What was being done at time of accident/incident? _______________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

How did the accident/incident occur? _________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

Employee Signature: _______________________________________________________

Date: _________________________
SUPPLEMENTARY RECORD OF OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES AND ILLNESSES FORM (CONTINUED)
CASE NO: _______________________

THIS IS AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT, BE THOROUGH AND ACCURATE.

This section to be completed by the Project Manager/Supervisor:

Time reported: ________  Did employee leave work? ________  When: ________

Date and time returned: ________________________________

Nature of injury: ________________________________

Exact body part affected: ________________________________

Check one: Near Miss ( ), First Aid ( ), Doctor ( ), Hospitalized ( )

Doctor/Hospital Name: ________________________________

Address: ________________________________

Why did accident/incident occur? ________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

What corrective action has been initiated to prevent recurrence? ________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Project Manager/Supervisor Signature: ________________________________

Date: ________________________________
This section to be completed by Health and Safety Manager:

Concur with action taken? Yes ( ), No ( ); Remarks: ________________________________
____________________________________
____________________________________
____________________________________
____________________________________

Health and Safety Manager Signature: ________________________________
Date: ________________________________
Appendix E
Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer Development

E.1 Technology Development and Application
The DH analyzer was largely developed under a Phase II Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) grant funded by the Air Force and was documented in AGI 1999. This appendix details the chronology of development of the analyzer that was first used in the ESTCP demonstrations. Enhancements that were made to the analyzer during the ESTCP demonstrations are described in Section 3.4 of the text.

E.1.1 Sensor Procurement and Evaluation
Research into alternatives for measuring DH led to literature on metal oxide semiconductor devices that could detect hydrogen. With this discovery, work focused on developing a DH analyzer that used semiconductor-based hydrogen sensors.

The original sensors were manufactured by Professor C-C Liu of Case Western Reserve University (CWRU) using silicon-based microfabrication technology. The process included a platinum resistance temperature detector (RTD), platinum heater, and Shottky diode with a hydrogen-sensitive gate. The Shottky diode was a metal-insulator-semiconductor (MIS) diode with p-type silicon semiconductor, an insulator composed of silica, and a metal palladium/silver gate. The semiconductor, including the heater, RTD, and diode, was enclosed in a protective housing.

Calibration curves were established for sensors by relating response to hydrogen concentration. At high concentrations, solid-state sensors for hydrogen yield a steady-state output voltage that is directly related to the hydrogen concentration. However, at low concentrations, these sensors did not yield a steady-state output voltage. The sensor output increased continuously, and the rate of this output increase was linearly related to the hydrogen concentration. The reasons for this unexpected observation may be diffusional limitations of hydrogen through the gate that occur at low hydrogen concentrations.

E.1.2 Prototypes I and II Development
Initial testing of the semiconductor-based hydrogen sensors was performed on Shottky diode sensors containing a sputtered coating of 13 percent silver plus 87 percent palladium. Prototype I was fabricated with a millivolt (mV) output for hydrogen and temperature. The temperature on the sensor was controlled by powering the heater with a constant voltage; thus, sensor output fluctuated because of temperature fluctuations. These fluctuations led to the fabrication of Prototype II, which included an operational amplifier to control the temperature. Although the temperature was stable, the instrument was extremely sensitive to electromagnetic fields (EMFs), and was thus unsuitable for use. Prototypes I and II were designed to directly use the sensor as a probe.
During the testing of Prototype II, concurrent experiments were performed exploring the equilibration of DH in water with a carrier gas. Successful laboratory experiments performed with manual valves to direct gas flow to the sensor led to the development of Prototype III.

The sensor was incorporated into a probe that could be submerged directly into groundwater. The probe was constructed using a MiniMag (Valor Corporation, Sunrise, Florida) flashlight for the sensor housing. Various membranes were placed over the sensor element to prevent water from contacting the sensor. Potential membranes included a Goretex® pipe thread tape membrane, a 0.45-micrometer (µm) pore filter membrane made of cellulose nitrate, and a Goretex 0.45-µm pore filter membrane with polyester spunbound backing were evaluated. The 0.45-µm Goretex filter membrane was selected because planned experiments involved placing the sensor directly in water and hydrogen transfer was expected to be high through the 0.45 µm pores.

Sensor response using the probe was slow and inconsistent, possibly because of liquid-phase mass transfer limitations. This inconsistency led to the construction of a more efficient sampling device designed to measure hydrogen in a gas phase equilibrated with flowing groundwater. This device was constructed with a 250-milliliter (mL) gas-sampling bulb made from glass and typically used for DH sampling with the RGD2. A glass #15 Ace thread was fused to the bulb to hold the sensor housing. A #15 Teflon® stopper to house the sensor was fitted with 0.45-µm Goretex filter membrane mounted on a polycarbonate ring.

This apparatus was tested by (1) connecting the bulb to the discharge of a peristaltic pump, (2) filling the bulb with water, (3) injecting 10 mL of nitrogen through the septum, and (4) maintaining the water flow through the bulb while the bulb was held vertically. The DH in the flowing stream of water equilibrated with the nitrogen bubble. The sensor detected hydrogen that diffused through the Goretex filter membrane. Gas sampling for comparison was done by placing the bulb in a horizontal position so the gas bubble is under the septum. The gas bubble sample was analyzed using the RGD2.

During the week of March 9, 1998, field tests of the DH analyzer were conducted at the Natural Attenuation Test Site (NATS) in Columbus, Mississippi. The tests used the gas-sampling bulb device to measure hydrogen in a gas phase equilibrated with groundwater. Results at the NATS site demonstrated that the hydrogen sensor could measure DH directly in groundwater. The sensor was sensitive to DH concentrations in the range of 10 nM. Lower concentrations were not consistently detectable, apparently because of the dissolved oxygen in the groundwater.

**Sensor Modifications to Address Oxygen Interference**

During development of the DH analyzer, CWRU produced variations of the Shottky diode sensor to eliminate or minimize oxygen interference.

**Evaporated Gate** – In an attempt to increase the sensitivity of the sensor in the presence of oxygen, the palladium-silver gate was evaporated rather than sputtered. A greater range of
response was observed with the sputtered sensor. Based on these results, further testing of the evaporated type of sensor was terminated.

**Alumina** – The alumina series sensors had a thin film of alumina between the gate and the oxide layer. This design has greater baseline stability in nitrogen but is still sensitive to oxygen. The alumina sensors were selected for further use.

**Nafion** – To reduce the sensor sensitivity to oxygen, experiments with sensors that had a Nafion layer deposited on the silicon surface were performed. Nafion is a perfluorinated ion-exchange membrane with a wide variety of commercial uses. Nafion is designed to be permeable to protons, but molecular hydrogen permeability through a commercial Nafion 117 membrane is twice that of oxygen permeability.

Tests results with the Nafion-coated sensors showed a reduced sensitivity in hydrogen when compared with the sputtered sensors. In addition, response in 1.0 ppmv hydrogen in nitrogen with 5 percent oxygen present resulted in a 30-fold decrease in response compared to without oxygen, indicating that Nafion did not prevent oxygen interference.

**Oxygen Removal From Groundwater** – Results from testing the sensor at the NATS site in Columbus, Mississippi and laboratory testing confirmed that oxygen decreased the sensitivity of the sensor to hydrogen. Therefore, efforts were made to remove oxygen from water.

A copper oxide catalyst named R3-11 (BASF Corporation, Mount Olive, New Jersey) was reduced with hydrogen, placed in a 250-mL gas-sampling bulb, and connected to the discharge of a peristaltic pump. The reduced copper oxide (now elemental copper) was able to remove dissolved oxygen from water from saturation down to 0.4 parts per million (ppm). However, complete removal of oxygen was required. In addition, reducing the copper oxide with hydrogen was a safety hazard. Thus, other methods were explored.

Sodium bisulfite and ferrous sulfate were added directly to distilled water saturated with oxygen to lower the dissolved oxygen concentration. Both additions were successful in nearly complete removal of dissolved oxygen; however, this was not considered a practical field application because at least 10 grams (g) were required to completely remove dissolved oxygen from 2 gallons of water.

A sulfite-containing resin for dissolved oxygen removal [model number D0811 manufactured by Barnstead (Dubuque, Iowa)] demonstrated the capability to remove dissolved oxygen from groundwater to less than 0.1 ppm. The resin was contained in a column 10 inches (in.) long by 2.5 in. wide. Groundwater was pumped through the resin before it entered a gas sampling bulb. Experiments done in a closed loop indicated that the resin generated DH.

**E.1.3 Prototype III Development**

To optimize performance and minimize time required for each test, results from laboratory testing of the earlier prototypes were used to make several modifications to the DH analyzer. The
DH analyzer was divided into two process loops: one loop for gas-liquid equilibration (GLE) (left-hand side loop) and the other for carrier gas pretreatment (right-hand side loop).

**E.1.3.1 Gas-Liquid Mass Transfer of Hydrogen**
Several gas-permeable membranes were evaluated for hydrogen transfer from the aqueous phase to the vapor phase. The basic design of these membranes included hollow fibers and a shell surrounding the fibers. A carrier gas travels within the hollow fibers, while the liquid travels outside the fibers. In addition, various sparging devices were also evaluated.

**Proprietary Hollow-Fiber Membrane** – A proprietary hollow-fiber membrane was tested for hydrogen transfer. This model had 16 square feet (ft²) of membrane. Hydrogen transfer experiments of the membrane were unsuccessful.

**Neomecs** – A hollow-fiber membrane manufactured by Neomecs (Eden Prairie, Minnesota) contained 0.5 ft² of a coated microporous hollow fiber. The Neomecs model GT-02010013 module, which had 0.13 ft² of the identical membrane, was substantially inferior to the model GT-0204005. This inferiority was mainly because of the liquid flow design and not because of the difference in membrane surface area. A mass transfer time of 10 minutes was sufficient for equilibration of DH. Results indicated that at higher concentrations of hydrogen the equilibration time was slightly longer.

**Liqui-Cel®** – A Liqui-Cel membrane contactor (Celgard LLC, Charlotte, North Carolina) was also evaluated. The contactor could transfer 10 ppm hydrogen within 15 minutes; however, to achieve the desired transfer rate, a liquid flow rate of at least 1.7 liters per minute (L/min) was required. Thus, the contactor was not a practical option given the smaller modules available that operated efficiently at flow rates less that 1 L/min.

**FiberFlo** – A FiberFlo (Minntech Corporation) hollow fiber capsule filter module was tested and proved as efficient as the Neomecs module. The polypropylene membrane had a surface area of 0.95 m². The fibers were enclosed in a polycarbonate shell measuring 6.5 in. long by 0.75 in. wide. The FiberFlo transferred 10 ppm hydrogen within 15 minutes.

**Sparging Devices** – In an attempt to reduce equilibration time, several non-membrane gas-liquid mass transfer devices were tested. Hydrogen mass transfer rates for these devices were not as high as those for the hollow fiber devices. The reason is that these devices contained a greater gas equilibration volume relative to the hollow fiber devices.

**E.1.3.2 Removal of Interferences**
Throughout development of the DH analyzer, interfering gases were found to inhibit sensor performance. In an effort to remove these interferences, various laboratory experiments were performed to test several products. The following paragraphs describe these experiments.

**Oxygen Removal From Carrier Gas** – Oxy-Trap (Alltech Associates, Deerfield, Illinois) contains crushed catalyst R3-12 (BASF Corporation) that is effective in removing oxygen from a
gas. The R3-12 catalyst is composed of copper oxide and zinc oxide on an alumina support. This catalyst is widely used for gas purification. An Oxy-Trap cylinder that was 10.5 in. long by 1.5 in. wide, and an Oxy-Trap 0.25-in. coil of the packed R3-12 were tested and found to remove oxygen; however, hydrogen was produced since the Oxy-Traps were made by first adding oxidized R3-12 to the containers and then reducing the catalyst with hydrogen gas.

R3-11 is another BASF product and is a copper oxide catalyst on an aluminum oxide support. The R3-11 catalyst removed oxygen but also produced hydrogen. The catalysts were reduced with pure hydrogen; thus, hydrogen remained in the pores of the catalysts. Attempts were made to flush hydrogen from R3-11 and R3-12 using nitrogen, but experiments performed after the nitrogen purge show that hydrogen was still present in the catalysts. Alternatively, CO was used to reduce the catalysts; however, this approach was unsuccessful because hydrogen was adsorbed by these catalysts.

Hydroquinone was a potential alternative for oxygen removal. A solution of 500 millimolar (mM) hydroquinone was prepared by boiling 1 normal (N) sodium hydroxide while sparging with nitrogen. An aliquot of the solution was transferred to a 4 in. long by 0.5 in. diameter cartridge purged with nitrogen containing an absorbent cotton ball. The cartridge was placed in a loop with a vacuum pump. Oxygen, hydrogen, and CO were monitored over time. The gas in the loop initially was air. When the pump was turned on, the hydroquinone immediately turned green and then dark brown. Oxygen was removed within 5 minutes, hydrogen remained constant, and CO was produced to greater than 0.1 percent. Although oxygen was removed using hydroquinone, preparation of the solution is inconvenient and hydroquinone is toxic.

*In-vitro* (Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Corporation, New York, New York), an iron powder-based product for food preservation via oxygen removal, was also tested. The *In-vitro* powder (54.9 g) was placed in a 5 in. long by 0.5 in. diameter tube. Air was passed through the tube at 900 milliliters per minute (mL/min). Oxygen and hydrogen were monitored over time. Results from this experiment showed that oxygen removal by *In-vitro* was too slow and that *In-vitro* also generated hydrogen.

An ascorbic acid-based preparation named Anaeropack™ (referred to as MGC in this report), manufactured by Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Corporation America, Inc. (New York, New York), was tested for oxygen removal efficiency. Although MGC could remove oxygen, it produced CO when exposed to oxygen. Sensor response was found to decrease dramatically in the presence of CO.

Although MGC produced CO, it was selected over the other alternatives. MGC was selected because it removed oxygen from a gas stream faster than the other alternatives tested, and it did not require additional treatment before use.

**CO Removal From Carrier Gas** — Testing indicated that sensor response to hydrogen in nitrogen was attenuated by CO. Therefore, CO that was produced by MGC required removal. Carulite™, formerly known as Hopcalite, is a low-temperature CO oxidation catalyst composed
of manganese dioxide, copper oxide, and aluminum oxide. Carulite is manufactured by Carus Chemical Company (Peru, Illinois). It was placed in a cartridge with MGC and tested for CO removal efficiency. CO was not removed.

Catalyst R3-11 in its oxidized form could remove CO from a carrier gas when heated. Temperature was significant because, at temperatures that were too low, sufficient CO removal did not occur; at too high temperatures, corrosion processes caused hydrogen generation. Vendor literature indicates that a minimum of 100 degrees Celsius (°C) was required for CO removal. However, R3-11 was efficient at removing CO at temperatures between 55°C and 80°C. When heated to 55°C, the catalyst removed CO to less than 0.001 percent without producing hydrogen. Testing results show that at temperatures of 62°C and higher, CO was removed; however, hydrogen was generated at temperatures of 87°C and higher.

CO was likely adsorbed to oxidized R3-11, but was not oxidized to carbon dioxide at temperatures below 100°C. Hydrogen was not adsorbed on oxidized R3-11 at these temperatures and at room temperature. These observations were unexpected and resulted in the use of oxidized R3-11 (instead of reduced R3-11) for removing CO. The R3-11 would have a finite lifetime because CO would likely be adsorbed rather than oxidized to carbon dioxide. When saturation of the available binding sites occurs, the R3-11 would require replacement or regeneration.

The presence of water vapor also limited the lifetime of the R3-11. The likely reason was that the metal oxide composition was being used at temperatures below the boiling point of water; thus, water vapor condensed on the metal oxide surface and decreased the capacity for CO adsorption. The moisture produced by the MGC appeared to inhibit adsorption of CO by the R3-11. This led to the use of a 13X molecular sieve and Drierite (Hammond, W. A. Drierite Company, Ltd.; Xenia, Ohio) for moisture removal from the carrier gas.

The R3-11 catalyst was placed in a brass pipe 5 in. long by 1 in. wide with brass end caps and parts. A 12-V subminiature temperature controlled heater, model DN-515-1528 manufactured by Dawn Electronics Inc., was mounted on the outside of the pipe. A 500-ohm resistor was soldered to the heater to maintain the temperature at 55°C. A thermometer was mounted on one end of canister so that temperature would be viewed. The brass pipe was insulated with 0.5-in.-thick pipe insulation. Brass hose barbs were connected to the pipe for gas flow.

**Hydrogen Sulfide Removal** – Sulfur compounds such as H₂S can interfere with measurement of hydrogen by the sensor. Sulfur compounds, when present, are more problematic than oxygen and CO because they can poison the sensor and disable it from further use. Use of hydrated iron oxide was evaluated and found to be capable of H₂S removal. Catalyst R3-11 can also remove H2S; thus, it was used for this purpose in addition to CO removal.

**E.1.3.3 Prototype III Electrical/Mechanical Design**
Prototype III was built to contain a Model 205 programmable logic controller (PLC) with a liquid crystal display (LCD) screen by Koyo Corporation (Westlake, Ohio), and a network of automated solenoid valves to control gas flow. Sensor temperature was controlled in this unit by
a proportional-integral-derivative controller. The analyzer used a 12-volt direct current (VDC) or 12-volt alternate current (VAC) power supply and connected to the discharge of a groundwater extraction pump such as a bladder pump or peristaltic pump. Prototype III was designed as a flow-through unit in which the sensor detects hydrogen in a carrier gas equilibrated with extracted groundwater.

During testing of the prototypes, hydrogen concentrations in gas were verified by injecting a sample of the gas into a RGD2 reductive gas analyzer manufactured by Trace Analytical (Menlo Park, California). Hydrogen concentrations in water were verified using the bubble-strip method with the reduction gas analyzer. The RGD2 was connected to a Carbosieve II (Supelco, Bellefonte, Pennsylvania) column operated at room temperature. Carbon monoxide (CO) was also monitored on this system.

Oxygen removal was monitored by collecting samples from the gas streams and analyzing them on a Hewlett Packard Company (Palo Alto, California) 5890 gas chromatograph with thermal conductivity detection.

**Stage 1 - Analyzer Stabilization**

During the sensor preparation stage, the air pump pulled air in through the solenoid valve. The air then discharged from the pump through the molecular sieve, the heated R3-11, and the hydrogen sensor before discharging into the atmosphere. The purpose of the air purge was to allow any residual hydrogen on the sensor to be oxidized by the oxygen present in air. A sensor output voltage that did not return to its baseline voltage following exposure to hydrogen typically indicated the presence of residual hydrogen on the sensor.

The length of the air purge stage depended on the characteristics of the sensor. For a metal-oxide semiconductor Shottky diode with a palladium/silver gate and an alumina insulator, a 1-minute air purge was sufficient. Preconditioning was regularly required. This pre-conditioning included exposure to nitrogen or hydrogen at a temperature of 150°C for 1 minute during a test or 0.5 to 1 hour if the analyzer had not been used for more than 24 hours.

During the nitrogen purge stage that followed the air purge, nitrogen gas was used to purge air and traces of hydrogen from the MGC, molecular sieve, heated R3-11, and tubing. The purpose of this stage was to remove traces of hydrogen that could interfere with quantification of low concentrations of DH. Nitrogen entered the analyzer through a solenoid valve from a compressed gas cylinder. Nitrogen passed through the MGC, pump, molecular sieve, heated R3-11, and hydrogen sensor before discharging through the vent.

**Stage 2 - Gas-Liquid Equilibration**

In the GLE stage, solenoid valves were adjusted to promote flow through the pump, molecular sieve, heated R3-11, and gas side of the GLE device, in this case the Neomecs. The liquid being analyzed was pumped through the liquid side of the Neomecs by the water pump. The time required for hydrogen in the liquid to equilibrate with the carrier gas was typically 10 minutes.
Stage 3 - Carrier Gas Preconditioning
In the third stage, oxygen, CO, water, and sulfur compounds were removed from the carrier gas. Solenoid valves were adjusted to promote carrier gas flow through the molecular sieve, heated R3-11, MGC, and back to the air pump. During gas flow through this circuit, oxygen, CO, water, and sulfur compounds were removed from the carrier gas to levels such that the hydrogen sensor could detect low levels of hydrogen in the carrier gas.

Stage 4 - Hydrogen Detection
In the final stage, the hydrogen sensor measured hydrogen. Solenoid valves were adjusted to promote flow past the sensor. During the measurement stage, data were recorded from the sensor. The output from the sensor was monitored over time, and the rate of voltage increase was calculated. This rate was compared with a calibration curve to quantify the hydrogen concentration. For example, a concentration of 0.1 ppm hydrogen (approximately 0.08 nM) yielded a rate of about 10 millivolts per minute (mV/min); a concentration of 1.0 ppm hydrogen (approximately 0.8 nM) yielded a rate of about 100 mV/min, and a concentration of 10 ppm hydrogen (approximately 8 nM) yielded a rate of about 1,000 mV/min.

Sensor Calibration
Sensor calibration was completed in the same manner as a test for water. Instead of hooking up the discharge from a water pump, a cylinder of compressed hydrogen gas was connected to the gas-liquid mass transfer device. During the GLE stage, the hydrogen in the calibration gas was equilibrated with the carrier gas. A calibration factor was then calculated based on sensor response.

The DH analyzer prototype III gave a linear response that was sensitive to less than 0.2 nM. Groundwater flow rates through the prototype III ranged from 0.75 to 1.0 L/min. Each analysis took about 20 minutes, which was in four stages, each lasting about 5 minutes: analyzer stabilization, GLE, carrier gas preconditioning, and hydrogen detection.

E.1.4 Prototype IV Development
Design of Prototype IV was based on the same process flow diagram as Prototype III. Prototype IV was controlled by a PK2110 Microcontroller manufactured by ZWorld (Davis, California). The controller has a variety of digital and analog inputs and outputs, a built-in LCD, a keypad, and a protected enclosure. Programs for the PK2110 were developed using the Dynamic C software development system also provided by ZWorld.

Compressed gas cylinders for nitrogen and calibration gasses were mounted inside the unit with fill ports on the instrument for refilling. The FiberFlo hollow-fiber module was used in Prototype IV in place of the Neomecs module. Neomecs was unable to fill order requirements; thus, the FiberFlo was selected as a suitable alternative.

Catalyst R3-11 in the Prototype IV was equipped with a thermocouple and transmitter; with these, the controller could detect whether the catalyst is at the required temperature.
The proportional-integral-derivative controller for the sensor heater was embedded in the Z-World controller code. Connections for tubing were completed using one-touch pneumatic fittings that dramatically lessened leaks. Leaks had been a continuing problem with Prototype III.

Prototype IV is the design that was first used in the ESTCP demonstration.
## Appendix F
Site 1 – SUBASE Bangor
Site Information

| Table F-1: Site Selection Information for SUBASE Bangor |
| (Source: EA, January 2000) |

### Well Information

| Number of wells | 76 |
| Well depth | Shallow Wells: are screened within 30 ft below the water table |
| | Intermediate Wells are screened within the middle 40 ft of the Vashon aquifer thickness |
| | Deep Wells are screened within 30 ft of Lawton Clay |
| Well development | Yes |
| Extraction flow rate | 500 mL /minute |

### Groundwater Information

| Depth to GW | 19 – 22 ft bgs (EFA NW comments 10/25/00) |
| Groundwater sampling | Low-flow purge sampling techniques w/ peristaltic pump |
| Aquifer thickness | (approximately 125 ft thick in public works area) |
| GW flow direction | Southeast |
| Hydraulic conductivity | 67 ft/day |

### Soil Information

<p>| Soil Types | Thickness | Depth (ft) |
| Construction Fill | 2 to 3 ft bgs |
| Vashon Till | 20 to 40 ft | 15 to 45 ft bgs |
| Vashon Advanced Outwash | 100 to 130 ft | 30 to 160 ft bgs |
| Silty Transition zone | 20 to 50 ft | 110 to 160 ft bgs |
| Lawton Clay | 100 to 160 ft bgs |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminants</th>
<th>Depth to Contaminants</th>
<th>Max. (µg/L)</th>
<th>Min. (µg/L)</th>
<th>Average (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,2-DCA</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2-TCA</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-DCA</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2-TCA</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groundwater Chemistry</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity (NTU)</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (C)</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfide (mg/L)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate (mg/L)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>6.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD (mg/L)</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite (mg-N/L)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate (mg-N/L)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N as Ammonia (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane (mg/L)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (µg/L)</td>
<td>6730</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3410</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron-II (mg/L)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (µg/L)</td>
<td>15200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen (nM)</td>
<td>36.72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eh (mV)</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>-217</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>63.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO (mg/L)</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (mS/cm)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>.095</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride (mg/L)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIC (mg/L)</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC (mg/L)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide (mg/L)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromide (mg/L)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Alkalinity</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table F-2: Water Quality Parameters at Site 1 – SUBASE Bangor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Temp (C)</th>
<th>Spec. Cond. (µmhos/cm)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP (mV)</th>
<th>DO (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28MW01</td>
<td>25.82</td>
<td>1019</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-124</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8MW06</td>
<td>14.52</td>
<td>791.9</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>-153</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8MW24</td>
<td>17.23</td>
<td>623.5</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>-141</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8MW30</td>
<td>16.69</td>
<td>551.9</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>-244</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8MW42</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>823.8</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8MW47</td>
<td>17.57</td>
<td>537.7</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>-120</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8MW48</td>
<td>14.81</td>
<td>757.8</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>-268</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8MW53</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>726.9</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>-219</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW03</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>768.7</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW05</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>505.1</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>-156</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix G
Site 2 – Fort Lewis Logistics Center
Site Information

### Table G-1: Site Selection Information for Fort Lewis
(Source: Draft RABITT Technology Demonstration Plan, Battelle, May 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Well Information</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of wells</td>
<td>80 + monitoring wells and piezometers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well construction</td>
<td>1/2 in. dia. / 26.75 to 28.25 ft bgs screen interval/ slot size 0.01, PVC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well development</td>
<td>sampled quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction flow rate</td>
<td>0.833 l/min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Groundwater Information</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth to GW</td>
<td>8-15 ft (in source area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-35 (down gradient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer Info</td>
<td>unconfined water table aquifer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mostly anaerobic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow aquifer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower aquifer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater sampling</td>
<td>peristaltic pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic conductivity</td>
<td>10-100 ft/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW flow rate</td>
<td>3-3.5 ft/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW flow direction</td>
<td>west to northwest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Soil Information</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil Types</td>
<td>Thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial sands and gravel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy coarse gravel</td>
<td>13 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vashon Till (sand, silt, clay)</td>
<td>260 ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table G-1: Site Selection Information for Fort Lewis (cont.)
(Source: Draft RABITT Technology Demonstration Plan, Battelle, May 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminant Information</th>
<th>Depth to contaminants</th>
<th>Max. (µg/L)</th>
<th>Min. (µg/L)</th>
<th>Average (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCE (source area)</td>
<td>shallow zones</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCE (source area)</td>
<td>shallow zones</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC (source area)</td>
<td>shallow zones</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCE (downgrade plume)</td>
<td>shallow zones</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCE (downgrade plume)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groundwater Chemistry</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (C)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate (mg/L)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>&lt; 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate (mg/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron-II (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (mg/L) (aerobic)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (µg/L) (anaerobic)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO (mg/L)</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC (mg/L)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Alkalinity</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table G-2: Water Quality Parameters at Site 2 – Ft. Lewis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Time</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Spec. Cond. (µmhos/cm)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP (mV)</th>
<th>DO (mg/L)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 7:54</td>
<td>BACKGROUND WELL</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2001 0:00</td>
<td>BACKGROUND WELL</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 9:54</td>
<td>MW9</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>920.1</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Initially turbid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 15:00</td>
<td>PZ1</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>800.4</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Strong odor, slight eff, slight tint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 12:02</td>
<td>PZ2D</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>298.1</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>Strong effervescence, did not equilibrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 16:42</td>
<td>SP07</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>149.2</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/2001 14:52</td>
<td>SP10</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>Initially turbid, slight brown tint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2001 12:30</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>209.9</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>-143</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Odor, turbid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 13:40</td>
<td>ST7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>Odor, color, turbid, TCE contaminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23/2001 10:55</td>
<td>ST9</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>851.3</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Effervescence, slightly turbid, strong odor, brown tint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix H

**Site 3 – Pensacola Naval Air Station**

**Site Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table H-1: Site Selection Information for Naval Air Station Pensacola</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Source: USGS December 1999)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Well Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well Information</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of wells</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of wells</td>
<td>Installed 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well construction</td>
<td>Installed with a Geoprobe, the wells consist of 3/4 inch PVC threaded casing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well development</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction flow rate</td>
<td>0.5 to 1 liter/min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Groundwater Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groundwater Information</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth to GW</td>
<td>3-5 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic conductivity</td>
<td>88.65 ft/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW flow rate</td>
<td>0.21 ft/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW flow direction</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Soil Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Information</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil Types</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediments</td>
<td>40 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silts and clays</td>
<td>20 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeable sands and gravel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil sampling methods</td>
<td>Direct push</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Contaminant Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminant Information</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contaminants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-DCB</td>
<td>20-40 ft bgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-DCB</td>
<td>20-40 ft bgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-DCB</td>
<td>20-40 ft bgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>20-40 ft bgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.(µg/L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>784</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.(µg/L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Chemistry</td>
<td>Max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sulfide (mg/L)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane (mg/L)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron-II (mg/L)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen (nM)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer Design
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## Appendix I - DH Analyzer Parts List

### Table I-1

**List of Parts for the Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro-Controller</td>
<td>Z-World</td>
<td>Z-World</td>
<td>PK2110</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$414.00</td>
<td>$414</td>
<td>12 V DC, with LCD and keypad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subminiature Temperature Controlled Heater</td>
<td>Dawn Electronics</td>
<td>TX393-K2</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>$89.00</td>
<td>$89</td>
<td>4-20 mA output</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Transmitter</td>
<td>Omega</td>
<td>Active Electronics</td>
<td>KMTSS-125U-6</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$26.00</td>
<td>$26</td>
<td>8&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subminiature Thermocouple</td>
<td>Omega</td>
<td>DSZS-5-DC12V</td>
<td>10 ea</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connector Panel Mount</td>
<td>Active Electronics</td>
<td>Digi-Key Corporation</td>
<td>CP-1260-ND</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>$0.74</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>6 pin female circular pin connector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Din Connector</td>
<td>Active Electronics</td>
<td>Digi-Key Corporation</td>
<td>CP-1060-ND</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>$0.56</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>6 pin male circular pin connector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Switch</td>
<td>C &amp; K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 V Jack and Plug</td>
<td>Radio Shack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor</td>
<td>Figaro</td>
<td></td>
<td>TGS-821</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$56.30</td>
<td>$56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>332 ohm resistor</td>
<td>Future Active</td>
<td>CR08053320PTR</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100K ohm resistor</td>
<td>Future Active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diode</td>
<td>Future Active</td>
<td></td>
<td>1N4007T</td>
<td>10 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.06</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>1VRRM-1000V, RMS-700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C socket</td>
<td>Future Active</td>
<td></td>
<td>IC7805</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC socket</td>
<td>Future Active</td>
<td></td>
<td>IC317</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.30</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor 1uF</td>
<td>Future Active</td>
<td></td>
<td>50V1-H</td>
<td>3 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.09</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>50V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread Board</td>
<td>Future Active</td>
<td></td>
<td>64P44XXXP</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5.05</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>4.5” x 6.5” board w/ 0.042” hole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro Air Pump</td>
<td>Sensidyne</td>
<td></td>
<td>C1200NSNF60VB1</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>$137.00</td>
<td>$137</td>
<td>Max power=300 mA, Flow=9.5 LPM, Vac=19” Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Way Solenoid Valve</td>
<td>Fabco</td>
<td>Warden Fluid Dynamics</td>
<td>3853-04-838B</td>
<td>9 ea</td>
<td>$24.50</td>
<td>$221</td>
<td>Rated for 28&quot; Hg vacuum, 12 V, 1.2 Watts/solenoid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubing</td>
<td>SMC Pneumatics</td>
<td></td>
<td>TIUBOTBU-20</td>
<td>1 20m</td>
<td>$24.30</td>
<td>$24</td>
<td>1/4-inch O.D. polyurethane, blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Control Valve</td>
<td>SMC Pneumatics</td>
<td></td>
<td>NAS2301F-N01-07S</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>$10.03</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>1/8-inch NPT; body ported; 1/4&quot; x 0.98&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitting</td>
<td>SMC Pneumatics</td>
<td></td>
<td>KU07-09</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>$4.89</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>Plug in “Y”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitting</td>
<td>Festo</td>
<td>QMS5-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 pk(10)</td>
<td>$38.40</td>
<td>$38</td>
<td>Bulkhead union</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitting</td>
<td>Festo</td>
<td>QSL-1/8-4-</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 pk(10)</td>
<td>$23.10</td>
<td>$46</td>
<td>Male Elbow 1/8” thread size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containers for Adsorbents</td>
<td>Machine Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 ea</td>
<td>$82.50</td>
<td>$248</td>
<td>248 1-inch PVC w/PVC endcaps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressed gas cylinder</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
<td></td>
<td>304L-HDF4-150</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>$74.90</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>1800 psi, 500 cm’ (150 cm3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulator</td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>PCE Pacific</td>
<td>LG-1-1A01ACC111</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>$230.40</td>
<td>$461</td>
<td>3600 psi inlet, 15 psi outlet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Gauge</td>
<td>ENFM</td>
<td>Sea-Port Controls Inc.</td>
<td>7217U</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>1.5&quot;, 1.8&quot; NPT, 3000 psi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braided Hose</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
<td></td>
<td>SS-4BH7-48</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>$48.80</td>
<td>$49</td>
<td>Stainless Steel Braided Hose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Panels</td>
<td>Cutting Edge Laser</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dwg-X</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>$28.66</td>
<td>$57</td>
<td>0.125-inch aluminum, 0.04-inch aluminum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Panels</td>
<td>Cutting Edge Laser</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dwg-Y</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>$40.59</td>
<td>$41</td>
<td>1/8” Alum. 5052 w/holes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel Coating</td>
<td>Ross Technologies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>Polane BY10, semi-gloss black w/light texture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silk Screening</td>
<td>Nu Images</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>$451.40</td>
<td>$451</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Case</td>
<td>Jensen</td>
<td></td>
<td>23-003</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>$323.20</td>
<td>$323</td>
<td>Aero-Lyte case w/o pallets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clamps</td>
<td>McMaster-Carr</td>
<td></td>
<td>1723A22</td>
<td>4 ea</td>
<td>$0.63</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>Zinc-plated steel, $6 screw size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe strap for cylinders</td>
<td>McMaster-Carr</td>
<td></td>
<td>9429TF18</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>Zinc-plated steel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprayer for GLE</td>
<td>McMaster-Carr</td>
<td></td>
<td>32863xk31</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>$13.91</td>
<td>$14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25mm Filter holder</td>
<td>Pall Gelman</td>
<td></td>
<td>4320</td>
<td>1 pk(6)</td>
<td>$69.90</td>
<td>$70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Clamps</td>
<td>Home Depot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 ea</td>
<td>$0.68</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLE filter housing machined from acetal</td>
<td>Limited Productions, Inc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>$190.00</td>
<td>$190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threaded Hose Barb</td>
<td>McMaster-Carr</td>
<td></td>
<td>S228k21</td>
<td>1 pk(10)</td>
<td>$4.06</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Tee</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
<td></td>
<td>ST-6-S7</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>$34.60</td>
<td>$69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing Female Elbow</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
<td></td>
<td>SS-8-8-8-8-6-6</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>$34.90</td>
<td>$70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Elbow</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
<td></td>
<td>SS-8-ME</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>$16.20</td>
<td>$32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integral Bonnet Needle Valve</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
<td></td>
<td>SS-1RS6</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>$66.50</td>
<td>$133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consumables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular Sieve</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
<td></td>
<td>20,864-7</td>
<td>28.1 g</td>
<td>$0.05</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>13X, beads, 8-12 mesh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnaeroPack</td>
<td>Mitsubishi Gas Company</td>
<td>S3000</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>$2.45</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>Oxygen adsorbent catalyst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carulite</td>
<td>Carus Chemical Co.</td>
<td>Carus Chemical Co.</td>
<td>22.4 g</td>
<td>$0.09</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>carbon monoxide adsorption catalyst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DHRev8E.C

PK21xx/Rugged Giant and PK22xx Program to control the dissolved H2 analyzer
Last update: 4/26/2002
Version Notes:
Modification of version 8.0D
Change step 3 time to 30 minutes (C_GLE).

/*

#include "default.h"
#include srtk.lib
#include pk21xx.lib
#include cplc.lib

#if !(BOARD_TYPE==CPLC_BOARD)
  #fatal "This program only runs on a PK21xx/Rugged Giant"
#endif

// Don't touch these values...
#define RUNKERNEL 1
#define BTN_MENU  1
#define BTN_ITEM  2
#define BTN_FIELD 3
#define BTN_UP    4
#define BTN_DOWN  5
#define BTN_HELP  6
#define BTN_F1    7
#define BTN_F2    8
#define BTN_F3    9
#define BTN_F4   10
#define BTN_DEL  11
#define BTN_ADD  12
#define TRUE (-1)
#define FALSE 0

//----------------------------------------------------------
// These are the edit values...
#define REV 8.0
#define REL 'E'

#define UPDTRATE 200
#define iAIRPURGE 60
#define bAIRPURGE 30
#define eAIRPURGE 60

#define INITDELAY 5 //300
#define DATADELAY 1

#define MAX_HIGAIN 500
#define DELTA 5000
#define MEASTIME 1800

// all C_* Times in seconds
#define C_N2 30
#define C_CAT 300
#define C_CAL 60
#define C_GLE 1200

#define STORE_ACT_CONC 0
#define STORE_INIT_CF 0

// Function prototypes
int mainMenu(int State);
float CalibInst(void);
float RunTest(float cTest);
void AirPurge(long tVal);
void N2Purge(long tVal);
void ConditionMenu(void);
void WaitForF1(void);
float GetVal(float inVal, int LCDIdx);
void SetLinearization(void);
void SetActualConc(void);
float GetH2Sens();
float PVso;
float PVsf;

// String constants
char LCD_Displays[][26] =
{
   // DH Analyzer
   "DH Analyzer     \0", //0
   "Rev %4.1f%e CDM 2002\0", //1
   "Sensor zeroed?   \0", //2
   "Sensor heat on?  \0", //3
   "F1 to MEAS F4 to CAL\0", //4
   "Press F1 to proceed \0", //5
   "Press del to abort \0", //6
   "CAL Set Up       \0", //7
   "F2 = Yes     F3 = No\0", //8
   "Press. in gas cyl? \0", //9
   "Press. in N2 cyl? \0", //10
   "Must have pressure! \0", //11
   "CAL Ready!       \0", //12
   "CAL Air Purge    \0", //13
   "Time Remain %5d s\0", //14
   "Time Elapse %5d s\0", //15
   "Sens Rdg %7.1f mV\0", //16
   "Temperature %6.2f\0", //17
   "CAL N2 Purge    \0", //18
   "CAL Cal. Gas    \0", //19
   "CAL Catalyst   \0", //20
   "CAL Measure     \0", //21
   "Cal. Factor  %7.1f\0", //22
   "RUN Set Up      \0", //23
   "H2O Connected?  \0", //24
   "Press F1 when zeroed\0", //25
   "press del to abort \0", //26
   "Press F2 to zero \0", //27
   "Restarting program..\0", //28
   "Check list complete?\0", //29
   "Calibrating...   \0", //30
   "Gain, reset, rate \0", //31
   "Editor      Press F1\0", //32
   "Gain value: %5.2f\0", //33
   "Reset value: %5.2f\0", //34
   "Rate value: %5.2f\0", //35
   "Initializing... \0", //36
   "RTD Output : %7.2f\0", //37
   "Sensor   :  %7.1f\0", //38
   "Time left: %2.0f:%2.0f\0", //39
   "Running... \0", //40
   "PVf-PVs = %d\0", //41
   "RUN Ready! \0", //42
   "RUN Air Purge \0", //43
RUN N2 Purge
RUN Equilibration
RUN Gas Treatment
RUN Catalyst
RUN Measure
Water on? F1 = Done
Turn off water flow
Run complete!
DH = %9.4f nM
Waiting for ready

CAL Step %d of %d
MEAS Step %d of %d
Ready Sensor %7.1f
Conditioning Menu
F1 = N2 F4 = AIR
N2 Conditioning
N2 Cond. F1 to end
Air Conditioning
Air Cond. F1 to end
Set Linear Values
F1 = Fact F4 = Exp
Cur. Fact = %6.3f
Cur. Exp = %7.5f
Cur. Exp = %9.4f
Inc = Top, Dec = Row
Press ADD to exit
Set Actual Conc
Cur. Conc = %6.3f
Conc Below Detect

float glbH2Sens;
int glbH2Disp;
int glbRunning;
long glbTime2Meas;
long glbStartTime;
float glbInpFact;
float glbInpExp;
float glbActConc;

int glbCatReady;

void main()
{

}
Declare the process variables...

int i, j;
int ICnt, dCnt;
int bAddCnt, bHelpCnt;
int cnt, inKey, cSelKey;
int stayLoop, cState;
int whlVal, fracVal, wrStat;

int retVal, goOn;

long stTime;
long endTime;

float calFact;

Initialize the variables...

cState = 0;
cnt = 0; stayLoop = 1;
cSelKey = -1;

// Initialize the global variables...
glbH2Sens = 0;

glbRunning = FALSE;
glbH2Disp = FALSE;
glbTime2Meas = 18 * 60;

/* calFact = 16.67; */
if (STORE_INIT_CF == 1)
{
    whlVal = 30;
    fracVal = 0;
    wrStat = ee_wr(0, whlVal);
    if (wrStat == 0)
        wrStat = ee_wr(1, fracVal);
}

whlVal = ee_rd(0);
fracVal = ee_rd(1);

if ((fracVal >= 0))
calFact = (float) whlVal + (((float) fracVal) / 100);

else
{
calFact = 30.0;
}

if (STORE_ACT_CONC == 1)
{
    whlVal = 11;
    fracVal = 60;
    wrStat = ee_wr(2, whlVal);
    if (wrStat == 0)
        wrStat = ee_wr(3, fracVal);
}
else
{
    whlVal = ee_rd(2);
    fracVal = ee_rd(3);
}

glbActConc = (float) whlVal + (((float) fracVal) / 100);

/*
Set the linearization factors...
*/
glbInpFact = 1.00;
glbInpExp = 1.0;

/*
Initialize the hardware...
*/
uplc_init();
// lc_kxinit();
glbCatReady = FALSE;

// initialize the rtk...
init_srtkernel();

// Initialize the DAC calibration...
init_daccal();

cState = mainMenu(cState);
// warm up the instrument

tdelay(3000);

goOn = FALSE;
stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + INITDELAY;

lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[36]);

while (clock() < endTime)
{
    // wait for 5 minutes or until up to temp...
    lc_pos(1,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[14], (endTime-clock()));
}

up_setout(11,1);

glbRunning = TRUE;

// infinite loop
while (1)
{

    // wait for correct key press and move on...
inKey = -1;

    // Verify that checklist is completed...
glbH2Disp = FALSE;
    lcd_erase();
    lc_pos(0,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[29]);
    lc_pos(1,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[5]);
    lc_pos(0,0);

    stayLoop = TRUE;
inKey = -1;
bAddCnt = 0;
bHelpCnt = 0;
// wait for user to press appropriate keys
while (stayLoop)
{

ingKey = lc_kxget(0);
if (inKey != -1)
    stayLoop = FALSE;

switch (inKey)
{

case BTN_F1:
    // User selected "yes"... continue on...
    stayLoop = FALSE;
    break;

case BTN_DEL:
    // Restart the device...
    inKey = 99;
    stayLoop = FALSE;
    break;

case BTN_ADD:
    // start counting loops
    bAddCnt = bAddCnt + 1;
    if (bAddCnt > 5)
    {
        glbH2Disp = FALSE;
        ConditionMenu();
        glbH2Disp = FALSE;
        lcd_erase();
        lc_pos(0,0);
        lc_printf(LCD_Displays[29]);
        lc_pos(1,0);
        lc_printf(LCD_Displays[5]);
        lc_pos(0,0);
        bAddCnt = 0;
    }
    else
    
        tdelay(100);

case BTN_ITEM:
    // start counting loops
    bAddCnt = bAddCnt + 1;
    if (bAddCnt > 5)
    {

glbH2Disp = FALSE;
SetLinearization();
glbH2Disp = FALSE;
lcd_erase();
lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[29]);
lc_pos(1,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[5]);
lc_pos(0,0);
bAddCnt = 0;
}
else
tdelay(100);

stayLoop = TRUE;
inKey = -1;
break;

case BTN_HELP:
    // start counting loops
    bAddCnt = bAddCnt + 1;
    if (bAddCnt > 5)
    {
        glbH2Disp = FALSE;
        SetActualConc();
        glbH2Disp = FALSE;
lcd_erase();
lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[29]);
lc_pos(1,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[5]);
lc_pos(0,0);
bAddCnt = 0;
    }
else
tdelay(100);

    stayLoop = TRUE;
inKey = -1;
    break;

default:
    stayLoop = TRUE;
inKey = -1;

}
if (inKey != 99)
{
    inKey = -1;
    dCnt = 0;

    while (inKey == -1)
    {
        // Instrument is initialized...
        // wait for the user input...
        glbH2Disp = FALSE;

        dCnt = dCnt + 1;

        if (fmod(dCnt, UPDTRATE)<3)
        {
            lcd_erase();
            lc_pos(0,0);
            lc_printf(LCD_Displays[57], glbH2Sens);
            lc_pos(1,0);
            lc_printf(LCD_Displays[4]);
        }

        if (dCnt > 10000)
        {
            dCnt = 0;
            stayLoop = TRUE;
            lCnt = 0;
        }

        while (stayLoop)
        {
            inKey = lc_kxget(0);
            if (inKey != -1)
            {
                stayLoop = FALSE;
            }

            if (fmod(lCnt, UPDTRATE) < 5)
            {
                lcd_erase_line(0);
                lc_pos(0,0);
                //glbH2Sens = GetH2Sens();
                //glbH2Sens = up_adc2(2);
                lc_printf(LCD_Displays[57], glbH2Sens);
            }
        }
    }
}
lCnt += 1;
if (lCnt > 10000)
    lCnt = 0;

switch (inKey)
{
    case BTN_MENU: // menu key
        cState = mainMenu(cState);
        break;
    case BTN_F4: // F4 - Calibrate
        glbH2Disp = TRUE;

        calFact = CalibInst();
        whlVal = floor(calFact);
        fracVal = floor((calFact - whlVal)*100);

        wrStat = ee_wr(0, whlVal);
        if (wrStat == 0)
            wrStat = ee_wr(1, fracVal);

        stayLoop = FALSE;
        break;
    case BTN_F1: // F1 - Run
        glbH2Disp = TRUE;

        RunTest(calFact);
        stayLoop = FALSE;
        break;
}

lc_pos(0,0);
srtk_lowtask()
{
    long eTime, dispTime;
    static tCnt;

    if (glbRunning)
    {
        //up_daccal(glbHeatOut);

        glbH2Sens = GetH2Sens();

        if (fmod(tCnt, UPDTRATE/20) < 3)
        {
            if (glbH2Disp)
            {
                //glbH2Sens = GetH2Sens();
                lcd_erase_line(1);
                lc_pos(1,0);
                lc_printf(LCD_Displays[38], glbH2Sens);
            }
        }
        tCnt = tCnt + 1;

        if ((tCnt > 1000) || (tCnt < 1))
            tCnt = 1;
    }
}

float GetH2Sens()
{
    static int passCnt;
    // Retrieve the correct H2 sensor value...
    // if AD+-+- <= 0, read that value in...
    // if not activate relay and read value from U2...
    static float H2val;

    if (H2val < MAX_HIGAIN)
    {
        // trigger the relay...
        up_setout(10, 0);
        H2val = up_higain(2) * 1000;
        passCnt = 1;
    }
else
{

    // activate relay...
    up_setout(10, 1);

    if (passCnt < 4)
        passCnt += 1;
    else
        H2val = up_adcal(2);

}

return (H2val);

}

void ConditionMenu()
{

    inKey;
    double tmpSetPt;

    lcd_erase();

    lc_pos(0,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[58]);
    lc_pos(1,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[59]);

    inKey = -1;

    while (inKey == -1)
    {

        inKey = lc_kxget(0);

        switch (inKey)
        {

            case BTN_F1:
            // User selected N2 purge...
                glbH2Disp = TRUE;

                lcd_erase_line(1);

                break;

            case BTN_F2:
            break;

            case BTN_F3:
            break;

            case BTN_F4:
            break;

            default:
                break;

        }
void SetLinearization()
{
    int    inKey;

    lc_pos(0,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[60]);
    N2Purge(30);
    lcd_erase_line(1);
    lc_pos(0,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[61]);
    WaitForF1();
    //inKey = -1;

    glbH2Disp = FALSE;

    break;

    case BTN_F4:
        // User selected N2 purge...
        glbH2Disp = TRUE;
        lcd_erase_line(1);
        lc_pos(0,0);
        lc_printf(LCD_Displays[62]);
        AirPurge(30);
        lcd_erase_line(1);
        lc_pos(0,0);
        lc_printf(LCD_Displays[63]);
        WaitForF1();
        //inKey = -1;

        glbH2Disp = FALSE;

        break;

    case BTN_DEL:
        // Restart the device...
        inKey = 99;
        break;

    default:
        inKey = -1;
    }
}

void SetLinearization()
lcd_erase();

lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[64]);
lc_pos(1,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[65]);

inKey = -1;

while (inKey == -1)
{
    inKey = lc_kxget(0);

    switch (inKey)
    {
        case BTN_F1:
            // User selected to edit multiplier factor...
            glbH2Disp = FALSE;

            lcd_erase();
            lc_pos(0,0);
            lc_printf(LCD_Displays[66], glbInpFact);
            glbInpFact = GetVal(glbInpFact, 66);
            break;

        case BTN_F4:
            // User selected to edit exponent value...
            glbH2Disp = FALSE;
            lcd_erase();
            lc_pos(0,0);
            lc_printf(LCD_Displays[67], glbInpExp);
            glbInpExp = GetVal(glbInpExp, 67);
            glbH2Disp = FALSE;
            break;

        case BTN_DEL:
            // Restart the device...
            inKey = 99;
            break;
    }
}
void SetActualConc()
{
    int inKey;
    int whlVal;
    int fracVal;
    int wrStat;

    lcd_erase();

    lc_pos(0,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[70]);
    lc_pos(1,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[5]);

    inKey = -1;

    while (inKey == -1)
    {
        inKey = lc_kxget(0);

        switch (inKey)
        {
            case BTN_F1:
                // User selected to edit actual concentration of the standard...
                glbH2Disp = FALSE;

                lcd_erase();
                lc_pos(0,0);
                lc_printf(LCD_Displays[71], glbActConc);
                glbActConc = GetVal(glbActConc, 71);

                // write the values back out to EEPROM...

                whlVal = floor(glbActConc);
                fracVal = floor((glbActConc - (float) whlVal) * 100);

                break;
            
            default:
                inKey = -1;
                
                break;
        }
    }
}
wrStat = ee_wr(2, whlVal);
if (wrStat == 0)
    wrStat = ee_wr(3, fracVal);

break;

case BTN_DEL:
    // Restart the device...
    inKey = 99;
    break;

default:
    inKey = -1;
    break;
}

float GetVal(float inVal, int LCDIdx)
{
    int keepOn;
    int inKey;

    keepOn = -1;

    lc_pos(1,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[69]);

tdelay(2000);

    lc_pos(1,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[68]);

    while (keepOn == -1)
    {
        inKey = lc_kxget(0);

        if (inKey == BTN_ADD)
        {
            // we're done...
            keepOn = 1;
        }
    }
else
switch (inKey)
{
    case BTN_MENU:
        inVal = inVal + 1;
        break;
    case BTN_F1:
        inVal = inVal - 1;
        break;
    case BTN_ITEM:
        inVal = inVal + .1;
        break;
    case BTN_F2:
        inVal = inVal - .1;
        break;
    case BTN_FIELD:
        inVal = inVal + .01;
        break;
    case BTN_F3:
        inVal = inVal - .01;
        break;
    case BTN_UP:
        inVal = inVal + .001;
        break;
    case BTN_F4:
        inVal = inVal - .001;
        break;
    case BTN_DOWN:
        inVal = inVal + .0001;
        break;
    case BTN_DEL:
        inVal = inVal - .0001;
        break;
}

lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[LCDIdx], inVal);

return(inVal);
}

void WaitForF1(void)
{

int inKey;

inKey = -1;

while (inKey == -1)
{
    inKey = lc_kxget(0);

    if (inKey == BTN_F1 || inKey == BTN_DEL)
    {
        // do nutn
    }
    else
    {
        inKey = -1;
    }
}

int mainMenu(int State)
{

    lcd_erase();

    lc_pos(0, 0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[0], " ");
    lc_pos(1, 0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[1], REV, REL);

    lc_pos(0,0);
    return(0);
}

float CalibInst()
{

    int    bCont;
    double lPIDout;
    long   stTime;
    long   endTime;
    long   PVso;
    long   PVsf;
    float  calFact;
    float  m;
long tmpSetPt;
int iLoop;
int iKey;
int inKey;

int lCnt;
//init_timer1(600);

bCont = TRUE;
glbH2Disp = TRUE;

lcd_erase();

lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[55], 1, 5);

// Air purge phase...

// turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 1);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 0);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 1);
up_setout(4, 1);
up_setout(5, 1);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 1);
up_setout(9, 0);
//up_setout(10, 0);

stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + bAIRPURGE;

bCont = TRUE;

while (bCont)
{
    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();
}
inKey = lc_kxget(0);
if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
    bCont = FALSE;
}

up_setout(12, 0);
up_setout(1, 0);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 0);
up_setout(5, 0);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 0);
up_setout(9, 0);

bCont = TRUE;

while (bCont)
{
    PVso = GetH2Sens();//up_adcal(2);
    //if (PVso < 100)
    //    bCont = FALSE;

    inKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
        bCont = FALSE;
}

// Nitrogen purge...
lcd_erase_line(1);
lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[55], 2, 5);

//tmpSetPt = glbPID.SetPoint;
//glbPID.SetPoint = 75;

// set the valving...
// turn relay 2 off via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 0);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 0);
up_setout(2, 1);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 1);
up_setout(5, 1);
up_setout(6, 1);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 0);
up_setout(9, 0);
//up_setout(10, 0);

stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + C_N2;
bCont = TRUE;

while (bCont)
{
    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();

    inKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
        bCont = FALSE;
}

// Calibration gas...
lcd_erase_line(1);
lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[55], 3, 5);

// set the valving...
// turn relay 2 off via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 1);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 0);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 0);
up_setout(5, 0);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 1);
up_setout(8, 0);
up_setout(9, 0);
//up_setout(10, 0);

stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + C_CAL;

bCont = TRUE;

while (bCont)
{
    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();

    inKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
        bCont = FALSE;
    if (inKey == BTN_MENU)
        endTime = endTime + 1000;
}

// Catalyst phase...
lcd_erase_line(1);
lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[55], 4, 5);

// turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 1);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 1);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 0);
up_setout(5, 0);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 1);
up_setout(9, 0);
//up_setout(10, 0);

stTime = clock();

endTime = stTime + C_CAT;

lCnt = 0;
bCont = TRUE;

while (bCont)
{
    stTime = clock();

    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();

    inKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
        //glbPID.SetPoint = tmpSetPt;
        bCont = FALSE;
    } 
    if (inKey == BTN_MENU)
        endTime = endTime + 1000;
}

// Measure phase...
lcd_erase_line(1);
lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[55], 5, 5);

// turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 1);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 1);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 1);
up_setout(5, 1);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 1);
up_setout(9, 0);
//up_setout(10, 0);

stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + DATADELAY;

bCont = TRUE;
PVso = GetH2Sens(); // up_adcal(2);

while (bCont)
{
    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;

    // PVsf = GetH2Sens();
    // if (PVsf < PVso)
    //     bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();

    inKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
        bCont = FALSE;
}

PVso = GetH2Sens(); // up_adcal(2);

bCont = TRUE;
PVsf = GetH2Sens(); // up_adcal(2); // PVso;
stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + MEASTIME;
Cnt = 0;

while (bCont)
{
    stTime = clock();
    PVsf = GetH2Sens(); // up_adcal(2);

    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();
    Cnt += 1;

    PVsf = GetH2Sens(); // up_adcal(2);

    inKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
        bCont = FALSE;
}

calFact = ((float) (PVsf - PVso));
calFact = ((float) (PVsf - PVso))/((float) endTime)/((float) glbInpFact * PVso + glbInpExp);

glbH2Disp = FALSE;
lcd_erase();

lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[12]);
lc_pos(1,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[22], calFact);

// turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 0);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 0);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 0);
up_setout(5, 0);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 0);
up_setout(9, 0);
up_setout(10, 0);

AirPurge(eAIRPURGE);

N2Purge(60);
lcd_erase();

lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[22], calFact);
lc_pos(1,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[5]);
iLoop = -1;
while (iLoop)
{
    iKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (iKey != -1)
    {
        if ((iKey == BTN_F1) || (iKey == BTN_DEL))
        {

 лучших продаж

 на следующей неделе

 на следующей неделе
iLoop = 0;
}
}
}

glbH2Disp = TRUE;
return(calFact);
}

float RunTest(float cFact)
{
    // run the actual test...
    int bCont;
    int iLoop;
    int iKey;
    int inKey;
    double lPIDout;
    long stTime;
    long endTime;
    long elapseTime;
    long PVso;
    long PVsf;
    float calcH2;
    float calcH2L;
    float m;
    long tmpSetPt;

    int lCnt;
    //init_timer1(600);
    
    bCont = TRUE;

    lcd_erase();
    lc_pos(0,0);
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[49]);

    iLoop = TRUE;

    while (iLoop)
    {

iKey = lc_kxget(0);
if (iKey != -1)
{
    if ((iKey == BTN_F1) || (iKey == BTN_DEL))
    {
        iLoop = FALSE;
    }
}

lcd_erase();

lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[56], 1, 5);

// Air purge phase...
// turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 1);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 0);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 1);
up_setout(4, 1);
up_setout(5, 1);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 1);
up_setout(9, 0);
//up_setout(10, 0);

glbTime2Meas = clock() + 1080;

stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + bAIRPURGE;

bCont = TRUE;

while (bCont)
{
    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();
inKey = lc_kxget(0);
if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
bCont = FALSE;
}

// Nitrogen purge...
lcd_erase_line(0);
lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[56], 2, 5);

// set the valving...
// turn relay 2 off via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 0);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 0);
up_setout(2, 1);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 1);
up_setout(5, 1);
up_setout(6, 1);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 0);
up_setout(9, 0);
//up_setout(10, 0);

stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + C_N2;
bCont = TRUE;

while (bCont)
{
    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();

    inKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
        bCont = FALSE;
}
// Gas-liquid equilibration phase...
lcd_erase_line(0);
lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[56], 3, 5);

// set the valving...
// turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 1);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 0);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 0);
up_setout(5, 0);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 0);
up_setout(9, 0);
//up_setout(10, 0);

stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + C_GLE;

bCont = TRUE;

while (bCont)
{
    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();

    inKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
        bCont = FALSE;

    if (inKey == BTN_MENU)
        endTime = endTime + 300;
}

// Gas treatment phase...

lcd_erase_line(0);
lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[56], 4, 5);

// set the valving...
// turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 1);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 1);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 0);
up_setout(5, 0);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 1);
up_setout(9, 0);
//up_setout(10, 0);

stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + C_CAT;

bCont = TRUE;

while (bCont)
{
    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();

    inKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
        bCont = FALSE;
}

// Measure phase...
lcd_erase_line(0);
lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[56], 5, 5);

// turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 1);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 1);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 1);
up_setout(5, 1);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 1);
up_setout(9, 0);
//up_setout(10, 0);

stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + DATADELAY;

bCont = TRUE;
PVso = GetH2Sens();
while (bCont)
{
    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;
        // PVsf = GetH2Sens();
        // if (PVsf < PVso);
        // bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();

    inKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
        bCont = FALSE;
}

PVso = GetH2Sens();//up_adcal(2);

bCont = TRUE;
PVsf = GetH2Sens();//up_adcal(2);//PVso;
stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + MEASTIME;

lCnt = 0;
bCont = TRUE;

while (bCont)
{
    stTime = clock();
    PVsf = GetH2Sens();//up_adcal(2);

    if (stTime > endTime)
bCont = FALSE;

stTime = clock();

inKey = lc_kxget(0);
if (inKey == BTN_DEL)
  bCont = FALSE;
}

if (cFact != 0)
calcH2 = 0.8 * (glbActConc/cFact) * ((float) (PVsf - PVso));
else
calcH2 = 0.8 * (glbActConc/30.0) * ((float) (PVsf - PVso));

if (calcH2 < 0)
calcH2L = 0;
else
calcH2L = glbInpFact * pow(calcH2, glbInpExp);
  // calcH2L = calcH2 / ((float) glbInpFact * PVso + glbInpExp);

eglH2Disp = FALSE;

lcd_erase();

lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[51]);
lc_pos(1,0);
if (calcH2L == 0)
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[72]);
else
  lc_printf(LCD_Displays[52], calcH2L);

  // turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 0);

  // turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 0);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 0);
up_setout(5, 0);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 0);
up_setout(9, 0);
// up_setout(10, 0);

AirPurge(eAIRPURGE);
// N2Purge(60);

lcd_erase();

lc_pos(0,0);
if (calcH2L == 0)
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[72]);
else
    lc_printf(LCD_Displays[52], calcH2L);

lc_pos(1,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[5]);
iLoop = -1;

while (iLoop)
{
    iKey = lc_kxget(0);
    if (iKey != -1)
    {
        if ((iKey == BTN_F1) || (iKey == BTN_DEL))
        {
            iLoop = 0;
        }
        else
        {
            if (iKey == BTN_MENU)
            {
                lcd_erase();
lc_pos(0,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[22], cFact);
lc_pos(1,0);
lc_printf(LCD_Displays[5]);
            }
        }
    }
}

return(calcH2L);
void AirPurge(long tVal)
{
    long stTime, endTime;

    // Air purge phase...
    // turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
    up_setout(12, 1);

    // turn on the appropriate valve functions...
    up_setout(1, 0);
    up_setout(2, 0);
    up_setout(3, 1);
    up_setout(4, 1);
    up_setout(5, 1);
    up_setout(6, 0);
    up_setout(7, 0);
    up_setout(8, 1);
    up_setout(9, 0);
    //up_setout(10, 0);

    stTime = clock();
    endTime = stTime + tVal;

    while (stTime < endTime)
    {
        stTime = clock();
    }
    // turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
    up_setout(12, 0);

    // turn on the appropriate valve functions...
    up_setout(1, 0);
    up_setout(2, 0);
    up_setout(3, 0);
    up_setout(4, 0);
    up_setout(5, 0);
    up_setout(6, 0);
    up_setout(7, 0);
    up_setout(8, 0);
    up_setout(9, 0);
    //up_setout(10, 0);
}

void N2Purge(long tVal)
long stTime, endTime;
int bCont;

// set the valving...
// turn relay 2 off via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 0);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 0);
up_setout(2, 1);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 1);
up_setout(5, 1);
up_setout(6, 1);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 0);
up_setout(9, 0);
//up_setout(10, 0);

stTime = clock();
endTime = stTime + tVal;
bCont = TRUE;

while (bCont) {
    if (stTime > endTime)
        bCont = FALSE;

    stTime = clock();
}

// turn relay 2 on via relay output #12...
up_setout(12, 0);

// turn on the appropriate valve functions...
up_setout(1, 0);
up_setout(2, 0);
up_setout(3, 0);
up_setout(4, 0);
up_setout(5, 0);
up_setout(6, 0);
up_setout(7, 0);
up_setout(8, 0);
up_setout(9, 0);
    //up_setout(10, 0);
}
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Abstract

The present invention provides apparatuses and processes for the measurement of hydrogen in aqueous solution at concentrations as low as about 0.1 nM. The present invention is capable of accurately and reproducibly measuring the concentration of dissolved hydrogen in an aqueous solution that also contains other dissolved gases, such as oxygen, carbon monoxide and sulfur compounds, such as hydrogen sulfide. In a presently preferred embodiment of a hydrogen analyzer 38 of the present invention, water containing dissolved hydrogen is equilibrated with a carrier gas by means of gas flow through a mass transfer device 16. Carrier gas is equilibrated with hydrogen from the water within a gas equilibration volume 4 and is then circulated, by means of a pump 1, through a circuit 14 that includes a moisture removal component 16, an oxygen removal component 15 and a heated carbon monoxide and sulfur compound removal component 17, which remove water, oxygen, carbon monoxide and sulfur compounds from the carrier gas without consuming or producing hydrogen. A sensor 7 measures the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas from which moisture, oxygen, carbon monoxide and sulfur compounds have been removed.
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Dissolved Hydrogen Analyzer

The U.S. Government may have certain rights in this invention as provided for in SBIR Contract No. F41624-97-C-0005 awarded by the Department of the Air Force.

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to measurement of dissolved molecular hydrogen. In particular, the present invention relates to an apparatus and process for the measurement of hydrogen in water at concentrations as low as on the order of 0.1 nM.

Background of the Invention

Molecular hydrogen present in water in a dissolved form (dissolved hydrogen) is an important indicator of various biological and chemical processes. These processes include in situ bioremediation of groundwater by engineered methods or by natural attenuation, anaerobic reactors for waste treatment including anaerobic digesters, anaerobic bioprocesses for the manufacture of biochemicals, including fermentation, operation of subsurface, permeable metal-receptive walls for remediation of chlorinated chemicals in groundwater by bioremediation and corrosion of metals in process systems including boilers. Dissolved hydrogen can be an indicator of the nature, extent, or stability of these processes.

The concentration of dissolved hydrogen can be extremely low. For example, one class of anaerobic bacteria known as iron-reducing bacteria typically demonstrate dissolved hydrogen concentrations in groundwater in the range of 0.1 to 1.0 nM when at steady state (F. H. Chapelle and P. B. McMahon, J. Hydrology, 127:85–108 (1993)).

Methods available for measurement of dissolved hydrogen involve direct measurement in the liquid of interest or extraction of dissolved hydrogen into a carrier gas which is then analyzed. Only one method exists for measuring dissolved hydrogen concentrations as low as 0.1 nM and is called the “bubble strip” method (F. H. Chapelle and P. B. McMahon, J. Hydrology, 127:85–108 (1991)). This method involves equilibration of a bubble of nitrogen with a flowing stream of groundwater in a gas sampling bulb made from glass. Samples of the gas bubble are injected into a reduction gas analyzer over time until the gas bubble is in equilibrium with the groundwater. The reduction gas analyzer employs a chemical reduction of a heated bed of mercuric oxide by hydrogen to form gaseous mercury that is sensed by an ultraviolet detector. Chromatographic separation of hydrogen from other reducing gases is required prior to mercury oxide reduction. The gaseous hydrogen concentration is then related to the dissolved hydrogen concentration by Henry’s law where 0.1 nM dissolved hydrogen approximately correlates to 0.125 ppm of gaseous hydrogen at equilibrium and ambient temperature and pressure. This method is difficult, time-consuming, and expensive to use and has therefore not gained widespread acceptance as an analytical method.


Gas chromatography with thermal conductivity detection can be used to detect hydrogen in gases. This method can be used to detect 0.5 nmoles of injected hydrogen (F. J. Hanus, K. R. Carter, and H. J. Evans, Methods in Enzymology, 69:731–739 (1980)) which, based on a 1-ml injection, translates to a concentration of 12 ppm in gas or an equilibrium dissolved concentration of 9.6 nM.

An instrument based on thermal conductivity has been developed to measure hydrogen in steam or hydrogen dissolved in water and has an inadequate detection limit of 100 nM (C. R. Wilson, Electric Power Research Institute Report NP-2650 (1982)).

Equilibration of dissolved hydrogen in water with a carrier gas followed by removal of coexisting gases (e.g., oxygen, hydrogen sulfide, carbon dioxide) that can interfere with or dilute hydrogen during analysis has been attempted but not at sufficiently low detection limits. Removal of carbon dioxide from carrier gas equilibrated with nium fluid followed by gas chromatography resulted in a detection limit of 10 nM (B. A. Robinson, R. F. Strayer, and J. M. Tiedje, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 41:545–548 (1981)). This method is not applicable where carbon dioxide is present in low concentrations.

Mass spectrometry can be used to detect hydrogen in gases or, via use of a membrane system, in liquids (P. Dornsmiff, B. Meyer, and E. Heinze, Biotechnol. Bioeng., 45:219–228 (1995)). Hydrogen concentrations detected in liquids are in the low µM (1,000 nM) range and accurate measurement can be compromised by biofilm growth on the membrane surface which requires periodic maintenance and cleaning.
A palladium-coated micromirror fiber optic sensor developed by Sandia National Laboratories was shown to be capable of sensing 50 ppm of hydrogen in transformer oil (M. A. Butler, R. Sanchez, and G. R. Dulleck, Sandia Report SAND96-1133, UC-706 (1996)).

Various types of solid state sensors are capable of hydrogen detection. Eithley (Cleveland, Ohio) sells a hot wire semiconductor type sensor named CH-11. This sensor contains a platinum wire in a sintered tin oxide semiconductor bead. Hydrogen reacts with oxygen on the platinum wire thereby generating heat. The altered resistance of the platinum wire is sensed in a bridge circuit. This sensor requires the presence of oxygen and is sensitive to approximately 10 ppm hydrogen in gas or an equilibrium dissolved concentration of 8 mM.

Sensors based on the observed change in the electrical resistance of platinum and palladium upon adsorption of hydrogen have been described. These sensors can be immersed in water but have a detection limit of 5,000 nM dissolved hydrogen (C. Liu and D. D. Macdonald, J. SuperCritical Fluids, 8:263–270 (1995)).

Lundstrom described metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) transistors containing a palladium gate (K. L. Lundstrom, M. S. Shivaraman, and C. M. Svensson, J. Appl. Physics, 46:3876–3880 (1975); I. Lundstrom, Sensors and Actuators, 1:403–426 (1981)). The sensitivity of these structures to hydrogen in gas is highly dependent on oxygen concentration. A 10 mV response was observed with 0.5 ppm hydrogen in air and with 0.03 ppm hydrogen in an inert gas such as argon or nitrogen. The difference in response is due to the oxygen content of air. These sensors are also sensitive to hydrogen sulfide at ten-fold greater concentrations than hydrogen (I. Lundstrom, Sensors and Actuators, 1:403–426 (1981)) and sulfur compounds are well known for their poisoning of metallic surfaces. A hydrogen leak detector based on such MOS sensors demonstrated a practical sensitivity of 1 ppm (I. L. Stibbert and C. Svensson, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 46:1206–1208 (1975)).

A hydrogen sensor with a practical sensitivity of 1 ppm in gas is described by Hughes et al. in U.S. Pat. No. 5,279,795. This type of sensor is disadvantageous in part because of the slow response at low hydrogen concentrations. The sensitivity of this sensor is negatively affected by the presence of oxygen. It was reported that hydrogen sulfide does not poison the sensor; however, the tests were conducted in air where hydrogen sulfide poisoning is known to be mitigated by oxidation. This sensor has been incorporated into a hand held detector by DCH Technology which has a detection limit of 10 ppm in gas or an equilibrium dissolved concentration of 8nM.

Immersion of MOS devices in anaerobic water is not practical because of incompatibility. Protection of a MOS device with a gas-permeable membrane such as Gore-Tex™ would be expected to work for detection of dissolved hydrogen in anaerobic water but does not. While anaerobic conditions in groundwater would seem to imply the absence of oxygen; in fact, oxygen is often observed in “anaerobic” groundwater, presumably due to the heterogeneous nature of many aquifers. Additionally, MOS devices are poisoned by hydrogen sulfide. Hydrogen sulfide is a common contaminant present in anaerobic groundwater and in anaerobic digesters. These sensors are also inhibited by carbon monoxide which is found in anaerobic environments.

Newell in U.S. Pat. No. 3,661,010 describes a method employing an electrochemical sensor covered by a membrane over which flows the liquid. This method is disadvantageous because no method for removal of interferences is provided and insufficient sensitivity exists. A dissolved hydrogen analyzer manufactured by Orbisphere Laboratories (Inverness, Calif.) also uses an electrochemical sensor covered by a membrane but is sensitive only to 15 nM dissolved hydrogen and this sensitivity is adversely affected by oxygen.

Immersion of any type of hydrogen probe in a biological medium can also result in growth of biofilm on the probe. Such biofilm growth can subsequently result in dissolved hydrogen consumption or production which can affect the measurement accuracy. Such effects were observed with a gas diffusion probe used in conjunction with a reduction gas analyzer (H. Kramer and R. Conrad, JEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 12:149–158 (1993)).

Schuy in U.S. Pat. No. 3,920,396 describes a membrane equilibration device that uses an extraction gas circulating in a closed loop to attain equilibrium between the gas and liquid sample of fixed volume. This method is disadvantageous because dissolved gases with high Henry constants will be predominately stripped into the gas phase, and the attained equilibrium will occur at a dissolved gas concentration that is significantly less than the original dissolved gas concentration. Furthermore, this method provides no means for removal of interfering gases that also equilibrate across the membrane.

Bailie et al. in U.S. Pat. No. 4,916,079 describe a gas-liquid equilibration device that uses a constant flow of liquid which overcomes the disadvantages of U.S. Pat. No. 3,920,396 by using a continuous flow of liquid and spiking the equilibration gas with a known quantity of the analyte to overcome interferences. This method is not applicable to the analysis of low levels of hydrogen in the practice of the present invention because the concentrations of hydrogen are too low relative to the concentrations of interfering gases.

Ketchum et al. in U.S. Pat. No. 4,236,404 describe a device to monitor hydrogen and other gases in electrical insulating liquids such as transformer oils that employs equilibration between gas and liquid and a thermal conductivity detection gas chromatography for analysis. This device overcomes interferences by chromatographic separation but does not have sufficient sensitivity for the low-concentration applications contemplated by this invention.

Thus, to the best of applicant’s knowledge no practical device capable of detecting concentrations on the order of 0.1 nM dissolved hydrogen exists with the sole exception of the reduction gas analyzer which is expensive and must be used in combination with the bubble strip method which is difficult to use.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides apparatus and processes for the measurement of hydrogen in aqueous solution at concentrations of less than 1.0 nM, and preferably as low as about 0.1 nM. The present invention is capable of accurately and reproducibly measuring the concentration of dissolved hydrogen in an aqueous solution that may also contain other dissolved gases, such as oxygen, carbon monoxide and sulfur compounds, such as hydrogen sulfide.

In one aspect, the present invention provides a hydrogen analyzer that is capable of accurately measuring the amount of hydrogen in aqueous solution at concentrations of less than 1.0 nM, and preferably as low as about 0.1 nM. The hydrogen analyzer includes a mass transfer device, having an aqueous portion through which passes an aqueous...
analyte, such as contained ground water, and a gaseous portion, through which passes a carrier gas, such as nitrogen gas. Within the mass transfer device, hydrogen gas is transferred from the aqueous analyte to the carrier gas. The hydrogen analyzer also preferably includes a gas equilibrium volume within which hydrogen gas transferred from the aqueous analyte is equilibrated with the carrier gas. The hydrogen analyzer also preferably includes a component for removal of carbon monoxide from the carrier gas containing hydrogen; a component for removal of sulfur compounds from the carrier gas containing hydrogen; a component for removal of oxygen from the carrier gas containing hydrogen; and a hydrogen sensor for measuring the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas. Preferably the carbon monoxide removal component and the sulfur compound removal component are unitary, i.e., are not physically separate components. Preferably the hydrogen analyzer also includes a water removal component. The foregoing components of the hydrogen analyzer of the present invention are in gaseous communication, for example by means of tubes or pipes, and a pump moves the carrier gas through the components of the hydrogen analyzer.

Carbon monoxide removal is necessitated because of the generation of carbon monoxide during the removal of oxygen in accordance with the preferred method of the present invention. Alternative methods of oxygen scavenging may be adapted for use in the present invention, however, which do not generate carbon monoxide, in which case a carbon monoxide removal component is not required. Likewise sulfur and water removal are only necessitated when present in the carrier gas.

In a presently preferred embodiment of the hydrogen analyzer of the present invention, water containing dissolved hydrogen is equilibrated with a carrier gas by means of gas flow through the mass transfer device. Equilibrated carrier gas within the gas equilibrium volume is then circulated, by means of the pump, through a circuit that includes the moisture removal component, the oxygen removal component and a heated carbon monoxide and sulfur compound removal component, which remove water, oxygen, carbon monoxide and sulfur compounds from the carrier gas without consuming or producing hydrogen. Preferably the moisture removal cartridge is located before the carbon monoxide and sulfur compound removal cartridge in the gas flow path. A sensor measures the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas from which moisture, oxygen, carbon monoxide and sulfur compounds have been removed.

The mass transfer device can be any device that allows equilibration of dissolved hydrogen with the carrier gas phase. Examples of acceptable mass transfer devices include hollow fiber gas transfer modules and sparging devices. Presently preferred moisture-removal compositions, for inclusion in the moisture removal component, are molecular sieves and calcium sulfate compositions.

Presently preferred carbon monoxide removal compositions, for inclusion in the carbon monoxide removal component, are metal oxide catalysts including those based on copper and zinc, such as the finely dispersed cupric oxide catalyst named R3-11, manufactured by BASF (Parsippany, N.J.). Preferably the carbon monoxide removal composition includes a colorimetric carbon monoxide indicator. The carbon monoxide removal component also preferably includes a heater for heating the carbon monoxide removal composition within. Preferably the carbon monoxide composition is also capable of adsorbing sulfur compounds. A presently preferred carbon monoxide removal composition that is also capable of adsorbing sulfur compounds is catalyst R3-11.

The oxygen removal component can contain any composition that is capable of efficiently and rapidly removing oxygen from the carrier gas without producing or consuming hydrogen. Presently preferred oxygen removal compositions are based on ascorbic acid.

Presently preferred hydrogen-sensing components include metal oxide semiconductors, such as Shottky diodes and field effect transistors (FET) having a palladium gate. The Shottky diode in the presently preferred embodiment of the hydrogen analyzer of the present invention is capable of detecting hydrogen dissolved in water at concentrations from about 0.1 nM to about 100 nM. It is theorized that greater concentrations of up to 1,000,000 nM are easily detectable using different hydrogen-sensing components.

In another aspect, the present invention provides processes for measuring the amount of hydrogen in an aqueous solution including the steps of: (1) equilibration of water containing dissolved hydrogen with a carrier gas; (2) removal of oxygen and, where present, carbon monoxide, moisture and sulfur compounds from the carrier gas; and (3) measuring the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas that has been treated to remove oxygen, carbon monoxide, moisture and sulfur compounds. Step 2 of the processes of the present invention preferably further includes removal of moisture from the carrier gas containing hydrogen. The processes of the present invention neither consume nor produce hydrogen. Preferably a solid state sensor is used to measure the concentration of hydrogen. A presently preferred method of measuring hydrogen concentration is by monitoring an output voltage from the solid state sensor and calculating the rate of voltage increase.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing aspects and many of the attendant advantages of this invention will become more readily appreciated as the same becomes better understood by reference to the following detailed description, when taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

FIG. 1 shows a circuit diagram representing a presently preferred configuration of the hydrogen analyzer of the present invention.

FIG. 2 shows a cross-sectional view of a presently preferred embodiment of carbon monoxide and sulfur compound removal component 17.

FIG. 3 shows a circuit diagram representing a presently preferred embodiment of hydrogen sensor 7.

FIG. 4 shows a representative application of the hydrogen analyzer of the present invention to measure the concentration of dissolved hydrogen in groundwater.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The present invention provides apparatuses and processes for the measurement of hydrogen in aqueous solution at concentrations as low as about 0.1 nM. The apparatuses and processes of the present invention are capable of accurately and reproducibly measuring the concentration of dissolved hydrogen in an aqueous solution that may also contain other dissolved gases, such as oxygen, carbon monoxide and sulfur compounds.

FIG. 1 shows a presently preferred configuration of a hydrogen analyzer 38 of the present invention. Hydrogen analyzer 38 includes a hydrogen sensor 7, a mass transfer device 10, a gas reservoir 4, an oxygen removal cartridge 15, a moisture removal cartridge 16, and a carbon monoxide and
sulfur compound removal cartridge 17. In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the carbon monoxide and sulfur compound removal cartridge 17 serves as the gas reservoir 4, in which case a separate reservoir is not required. In operation, water containing dissolved hydrogen, is equilibrated with a carrier gas by means of gas flow in a first flow circuit 11. Equilibrated carrier gas within gas equilibration volume 4 is then circulated through a second flow circuit 14 during which oxygen, water, carbon monoxide, and sulfur compounds are removed from the carrier gas without consuming or producing hydrogen. Sensor 7 then measures the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas.

With reference again to FIG. 1, during the sensor prepartation stage, called Stage A, air pump 1 pulls air through first solenoid valve 2 and second solenoid valve 3 and gas reservoir 4 via tubing 5. The air is then discharged from pump 1 through moisture removal cartridge 16, heated carbon monoxide and sulfur compound removal cartridge 17, third solenoid valve 6 and fourth solenoid valve 44, and hydrogen sensor 7 prior to being discharged to the atmosphere through fifth solenoid valve 8. The purpose of Stage A is to allow residual hydrogen present on sensor 7 to be oxidized by oxygen present in air. Presence of residual hydrogen on sensor 7 is typically indicated by a sensor output voltage that does not return to its baseline voltage following exposure to hydrogen. Residual hydrogen on the sensor is especially problematic in oxygen-free gas, such as is generated within hydrogen analyzer 36 of the present invention.

The length of Stage A is dependent on the characteristics of sensor 7. In the case of a metal-oxide semiconductor SnO₂ diode with a palladium/silver gate and an alumina insulator, a one-minute Stage A is usually sufficient but may need to be longer. Preconditioning may be required regularly or intermittently with specific sensors 7. These preconditioning steps may include exposure to nitrogen or hydrogen at various temperatures for various times and will be dependent on the specific nature of sensor 7.

With reference again to FIG. 1, during Stage B, which is referred to as the nitrogen purge stage and which follows Stage A, nitrogen gas is used to purge air and traces of hydrogen from oxygen removal cartridge 15, tubing 5, gas reservoir 4, moisture removal cartridge 16, and heated carbon monoxide and sulfur compound removal cartridge 17. Alternately, other methods of hydrogen removal can be utilized within the scope of the present invention, such as a thermal oxidizer. The purpose of Stage B is to remove traces of hydrogen that can interfere with quantification of low concentrations of dissolved hydrogen in an aqueous sample that is being analyzed. Nitrogen enters through sixth solenoid valve 42 from cylinder 43 and passes through oxygen removal cartridge 15, second solenoid valve 3, gas reservoir 4, tubing 5, pump 1, moisture removal cartridge 16, heated carbon monoxide and sulfur compound removal cartridge 17, third solenoid valve 6 and fourth solenoid valve 44, and sensor 7, and exits through fifth solenoid valve 8.

Stage C follows Stage B and is referred to as the hydrogen equilibration stage during which hydrogen gas in the aqueous sample being analyzed is equilibrated with the carrier gas in gas reservoir 4 and first flow circuit 11. With reference again to FIG. 1, in Stage C sixth solenoid valve 42 and fifth solenoid valve 8 close and third solenoid valve 6 changes direction to promote flow in first flow circuit 11 through air pump 1, cartridges 16 and 17, third solenoid valve 6, the gas side of mass transfer module 10, second solenoid valve 3, and gas reservoir 4. The aqueous sample being analyzed is pumped through the liquid side of gas transfer module 10 by pump 12 via tubing 13. The duration of time allowed for Stage C is determined by the time required for hydrogen in the aqueous sample being analyzed to equilibrate with the carrier gas in gas reservoir 4 and first flow circuit 11. Stage C time is typically in the range of 1-10 minutes. The void volumes of moisture removal cartridge 16 and sulfur compound removal cartridge 17 can serve as an equivalent gas reservoir 4, if desired.

Mass transfer module 10 can be any device that allows equilibration of dissolved hydrogen with the carrier gas phase. Mass transfer module 10 is preferably constructed from hollow fiber gas transfer modules or sparging devices. These hollow fiber modules are composed of a plurality of hollow fiber membranes encased in a shell with integral manifold. The manifold mechanically supports the ends of the hollow fiber membranes and directs carrier gas in and out of the lumen of the hollow fiber membranes. The shell surrounds the hollow fiber membranes and directs liquid water past the outer surface of the hollow fiber membranes. Well-designed modules will optimize liquid flow patterns to minimize liquid phase mass transfer resistance. Additionally, well-designed modules will contain hollow fiber membranes that have high permeability for hydrogen. The sparging devices are designed similarly to a continuous flow gravity settler in which carrier gas is introduced into a flowing liquid via a porous sparging element.

By way of non-limiting example, module GT-0204005 manufactured by NeoMecs (Eden Prairie, Minn.) contains 0.5 square feet of a coated microporous hollow fiber. The gas permeability (P/V) of this membrane is approximately 1x10⁻⁷ cm³/cm²/sec-cmHg which is sufficient for the present invention. However, design of the liquid flow pattern is more critical than membrane permeability. Evaluation of the NeoMecs model GT-02010013 module which has 0.13 square feet of the identical membrane was substantially inferior to the model GT-0204005 mainly because of the liquid flow design and not because of the difference in membrane surface area according NeoMecs. A mass transfer time of 1-10 minutes and preferably 2-5 minutes is usually sufficient for equilibration of dissolved hydrogen concentrations up to 10 nM where a NeoMecs GT-0204005 module is used which has 0.5 square feet of membrane surface area and a water flow of 100-1000 milliliters per minute. Water flow is preferably 300-1000 milliliters per minute. Other mass transfer configurations are suitable and could be readily evaluated by one of ordinary skill in the art without undue experimentation, such as the spargers noted above.

Stage D follows Stage C and is referred to as the carrier gas preparation stage. During Stage D, oxygen, carbon monoxide, water, and sulfur compounds are removed from the carrier gas, With reference again to FIG. 1, in Stage D, second solenoid valve 3 and third solenoid valve 6 reverse direction and fourth solenoid valve 44 and seventh solenoid valve 45 adjust to promote carrier gas flow through second circuit 14 while bypassing sensor 7. Gas flow through second circuit 14 entails discharge from pump 1 to moisture removal cartridge 16, heated carbon monoxide and sulfur compound removal cartridge 17, third solenoid valve 6, fourth solenoid valve 44 and seventh solenoid valve 45, oxygen removal cartridge 15, second solenoid valve 3, gas reservoir 4, and back to air pump 2. During gas flow through second circuit 14, oxygen, carbon monoxide, water, and sulfur compounds are removed from the carrier gas to levels that detection of low levels of hydrogen in the carrier gas is possible by hydrogen sensor 7.
Oxygen can be present in the carrier gas due to transfer of oxygen, that is present in the aqueous solution being analyzed, across mass transfer module 10. For example, groundwater that is referred to as "anaerobic", i.e., lacking oxygen, may not always be devoid of dissolved oxygen. The presence of dissolved oxygen in "anaerobic" water is an unexpected observation. Traces of dissolved oxygen (i.e., less than 1 milligram per liter) can be present in an aqueous solution, especially in groundwater, that is supporting an iron-reducing terminal electron accepting process. This process employs iron-reducing bacteria that can be facultative aerobes and thus can live in the presence of dissolved oxygen. These traces of oxygen prevent sensor 7 from having the required sensitivity to low concentrations of hydrogen. This problem is most notable for iron-reducing terminal electron accepting processes where the typical dissolved hydrogen concentrations are very low, namely 0.1 to 1.0 nM.

Oxygen is removed from the carrier gas by oxygen removal module 15 during Stage D. Oxygen removal module 15 removes oxygen from carrier gas that flows through an oxygen removal composition contained within the module. The oxygen removal composition within oxygen removal module 15 is preferably capable of efficiently and rapidly removing oxygen from the carrier gas without producing or consuming hydrogen. The oxygen removal composition may be composed of any material as long as it possesses the foregoing characteristics. Ascorbic acid-based preparations such as Anapropack and Anaerpouch manufactured by Mitsubishi Gas Chemical America, Inc. (New York, N.Y.) are the preferred oxygen removal compositions. Other oxygen removal compositions useful in the practice of the present invention include, but are not limited to: catalyzed ascorbic acid, alkaline hydroquinone or catechol, catalyzed hydroquinone or catechol, catalyzed sulfite, chelated salicylic acid, and catalyzed dicarboxylic acids. Preferably oxygen removal module 15 will include a colorimetric oxygen indicator.

Oxygen absorbent compositions that are not useful as oxygen removal compositions in the practice of the present invention include: sodium borohydride (produces hydrogen), lithium aluminum hydride (produces hydrogen), carbon monoxide-reduced, finely-dispersed cupric oxide catalyst (adsorbs hydrogen), hydrogen-reduced, finely-dispersed copper catalyst (releases adsorbed hydrogen), iron powder-based preparations (not preferred because it is flammable and can produce hydrogen via corrosion), heated copper (can produce hydrogen from water via corrosion), lithium-based oxygen scavengers, such as Nanosorb resin (produces hydrogen from water), and zirconium sponge-based oxygen scavengers such as the High Capacity Gas Purifier by Supelco (produces hydrogen from water).

While catalyzed ascorbic acid or alkaline hydroquinone preparations are useful as oxygen removal preparations for use in cartridge 15, their reaction with oxygen results in an unexpected side reaction that forms carbon monoxide. Removal of produced carbon monoxide is required and is accomplished by sulfur compound and carbon monoxide removal module 17. Carbon monoxide is removed so as to prevent interference with measurement of hydrogen by sensor 7. Carbon monoxide removal is required only if generated during the process, such as when using the oxygen removal method of the preferred embodiment of the invention, but may not be required for alternate embodiments of the invention. Carbon monoxide can be present in anaerobic water that contains sulfidogenic or methanogenic bacteria and can be transferred to the carrier gas across gas transfer module 10. For example, carbon monoxide is generated by Methanosaeta borkiae during formation of methane and carbon dioxide from acetate where carbon monoxide is an intermediate (G. Gottschalk, *Bacterial Metabolism*, Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 257–259 (1996)).

FIG. 2 shows a cross-sectional view of a presently preferred design of carbon monoxide removal module 17. Carrier gas flows into and out of carbon monoxide removal module 17 via ports 46. Heat-conducting tube 18 and end caps 19 are encased with insulation 20. Carbon monoxide removal composition 21 is contained within tube 18. A preferred carbon monoxide removal composition is a finely dispersed copper oxide catalyst named R3-11 and manufactured by BASF (Pasippany, N.J.). Metal oxide catalysts including those based on copper and zinc, are suitable for use as a carbon monoxide removal composition in the practice of the present invention. Catalyst R3-11, in its oxidized form, is capable of removing carbon monoxide from carrier gas when heated.

Self-regulating heater 22 heats preparation 21 to the desired temperature when powered by power source 24 that is connected to heater 22 by wires 23. The temperature control is significant because sufficient carbon monoxide removal does not occur at temperatures that are too low and hydrogen generation occurs due to corrosion processes at temperatures that are too high. Preferably the temperature should be between about 45° C. to 90° C, and preferably between about 55° C and about 80° C for Catalyst R3-11. The ability of oxidized R3-11 to remove carbon monoxide at these temperatures was not expected based on vendor literature that indicates a minimum temperature requirement of 100° C. Additionally, the vendor literature indicates that hydrogen will also be removed at temperatures greater than 100° C. However, at temperatures between 55° C and 80° C, carbon monoxide is removed and hydrogen is not removed.

The present inventor discovered that carbon monoxide is adsorbed to R3-11 but is not oxidized to carbon dioxide at temperatures less than 100° C. Hydrogen is not adsorbed on oxidized R3-11 at these temperatures and at room temperature. Interestingly, hydrogen is adsorbed by carbon monoxide-reduced R3-11 at room temperature. These observations were unexpected and resulted in oxidized R3-11 (as opposed to reduced R3-11) having great utility for removal of carbon monoxide in the present invention. Other catalysts useful as carbon monoxide removal compositions in the practice of the present invention include, but are not limited to, Carulite, formerly known as Hopcalite. Carulite is a low-temperature oxidation catalyst composed of manganese dioxide, copper oxide, and aluminum oxide and is manufactured by Carus Chemical Co. (LaSalle, Ill.). Preferably the carbon monoxide removal composition will include a colorimetric carbon monoxide indicator.

Metal oxide, carbon monoxide removal compositions, such as R3-11, when used for carbon monoxide removal in the manner described above, will have a finite lifetime because carbon monoxide is being adsorbed rather than oxidized to carbon dioxide. Eventually the binding sites for carbon monoxide will become saturated and the preparation will have no further capacity for carbon monoxide removal. When saturation of the available binding sites occurs, the carbon monoxide removal composition will require replacement or regeneration. The presence of water vapor also limits the lifetime of metal oxides that are used to remove carbon monoxide. The likely reason is that the metal oxide composition is being used at temperatures below the boiling
point of water and thus water vapor condenses on the metal oxide surface and decreases the capacity for carbon monoxide adsorption.

In the practice of the present invention, moisture removal cartridge 16 is preferably included in hydrogen analyzer 38, at a point in first flow circuit 11 and second flow circuit 14 prior to carbon monoxide removal cartridge 17, in order to absorb water from the gas entering cartridge 17, thereby minimizing the replacement frequency of carbon monoxide removal cartridge 17. Moisture removal cartridge 16 can contain any moisture removal preparation as long as it does not consume or generate hydrogen and removes sufficient moisture to prevent premature limitation of the lifetime of carbon monoxide removal cartridge 17. A presently preferred moisture-removal composition for inclusion in moisture removal cartridge 16 is 13x molecular sieves. Calcium sulfate preparations, such as Drierite (W.A. Hammond Drierite Company, Ltd., Xenia, Ohio), are also useful. Preferably, a colorimetric moisture indicator, such as CoCr2O4, is included in moisture removal cartridge 16. Placement of moisture removal cartridge 16 in the line of gas flow following oxygen removal cartridge 15 and preceding carbon monoxide removal cartridge 17 is required because certain compositions included in oxygen removal cartridge 15 may release moisture that must be removed from the carrier gas by moisture removal cartridge 16 prior to entering carbon monoxide removal cartridge 17.

Sulfur compounds such as hydrogen sulfide can also interfere with measurement of hydrogen by sensor 7. Sulfur compounds, when present, are more problematic than oxygen and carbon monoxide because they can poison sensor 7 and disable it from further use. One sulfur compound, hydrogen sulfide, is common in anaerobic water because sulfidogenic bacteria can produce it from sulfate. Because hydrogen sulfide is volatile, it is transferred across gas transfer module 10 into the carrier gas.

Sulfur compounds are preferably removed from the carrier gas by carbon monoxide removal cartridge 17 during Stage D. Any composition that is capable of adsorbing sulfur compounds can be included in carbon monoxide removal cartridge 17 so long as it does not consume or produce hydrogen and removes sulfur compounds sufficiently so as to prevent poisoning of sensor 7. Copper oxide catalysts, such as R3-11, are presently preferred for sulfur compound removal and thus serve a dual purpose in the present invention: they can remove carbon monoxide and sulfur compounds simultaneously. Other preferred sulfur adsorbing compounds include, but are not limited to: BASF catalyst R3-12 (Parsippany, N.J.) which includes zinc oxide as well as copper oxide, and hydrated iron oxide. Preferably, a colorimetric sulfur compound indicator is included in carbon monoxide removal cartridge 17.

The duration of Stage D depends, in part, on the identity of the specific compositions used in oxygen removal cartridge 15, moisture removal cartridge 16, and carbon monoxide removal cartridge 17. Based on the presently preferred configuration of hydrogen analyzer 38 of the present invention, the time period for removal of oxygen, sulfur compounds, water, and carbon monoxide from the carrier gas is preferably from about 0.5 minutes to about 10 minutes, more preferably from about 2 minutes to about 4 minutes. Oxygen concentrations should be reduced to less than 0.1% v/v prior to proceeding to the next stage. Carbon monoxide concentrations should be reduced to less than 10 ppm in the carrier gas prior to proceeding to the next stage.

Stage E, referred to as the measurement stage, is the final stage during which hydrogen is measured by hydrogen sensor 7. With reference again to FIG. 1, fourth solenoid valve 44 and seventh solenoid valve 45 reverse direction to promote flow past sensor 7 in second flow circuit 14. Sensor 7 is operated by an electrical circuit shown in FIG. 3 during Stage A, B, C, D, and E and data are recorded from sensor 7 during Stage E. FIG. 3 is a schematic representation of sensor 7 and associated electronics that are required for operation of sensor 7 in the presently preferred embodiment of hydrogen analyzer 38 of the present invention. As shown in FIG. 3, a Shottky diode 28 operates as the hydrogen-sensing component of hydrogen sensor 7 in the presently preferred embodiment of hydrogen analyzer 38 of the present invention. Any device that is sensitive to hydrogen at the desired concentrations can be used as the hydrogen-sensing component of hydrogen sensor 7. Another example of a useful hydrogen-sensing device is a field effect transistor (FET) with a palladium gate.

In the presently preferred embodiment, sensor 7 is manufactured using silicon-based microfabrication technology on silicon semiconductor substrate 25 and includes platinum resistance heater 26, platinum resistance temperature detector (RTD) 27, and Shottky diode 28, with a hydrogen-sensitive gate. The Shottky diode is a metal-insulator-semiconductor (MIS) diode with p-type silicon semiconductor, a two-part insulator comprising of silicon adjacent to the semiconductor and alumina adjacent to the gate, and a metal palladium/silver gate. Substrate 25, including platinum resistance heater 26, platinum resistance temperature detector (RTD) 27, and Shottky diode 28, is manufactured by Case Western Reserve University. Substrate 25, including platinum resistance heater 26, platinum resistance temperature detector (RTD) 27, and Shottky diode 28 are all enclosed in a TO-5 housing. The temperature of sensor 7 is controlled by controller 29 that takes a temperature input from RTD 27 as its process variable and produces an output directed to heater 26 as its control variable. The temperature of sensor 7 is preferably maintained between about 100°C and about 200°C. The lower limit of the temperature range is selected to prevent moisture condensation. The upper limit of the temperature range is selected based on the specific characteristics of sensor 7. Shottky diode 28 is integrated into a circuit comprising power source 30, voltmeter 31, and resistor 32.

Hydrogen dissolved in water is detectable with the presently preferred embodiment of hydrogen analyzer 38 down to concentrations of about 0.1 nM using the Shottky diode type sensor 7 described herein. This sensor is especially suited for detection of low hydrogen concentrations but can be used to measure dissolved hydrogen concentrations up to about 100 nM. Hydrogen analyzer 38 of the present invention can also be readily modified to detect higher concentrations of dissolved hydrogen.

To the best of the inventor's knowledge, output from the Shottky diode in the present invention is utilized in a novel manner. Typically solid state sensors for hydrogen yield a steady state output voltage that is directly related to the hydrogen concentration. However, in the presence of trace levels of hydrogen contained in a carrier gas devoid of oxygen, these sensors have been observed to be incapable of attaining a steady state voltage output within a reasonable timeframe. Rather the output increases continuously and the rate at which this output increases is linearly related to the hydrogen concentration. The reasons for this unexpected observation appear to be kinetic and diffusional limitations that occur at low hydrogen concentrations.

Thus, during Stage E, the output from sensor 7 is monitored over a period of time and the rate of voltage increase
is calculated. This rate is compared to a calibration curve in order to quantify the hydrogen concentration. For example, a concentration of 0.1 ppm hydrogen yields a rate of approximately 10 millivolts per minute, a concentration of 10 ppm hydrogen yields a rate of approximately 100 millivolts per minute, and a concentration of 100 ppm hydrogen yields a rate of approximately 1,000 millivolts per minute. The carrier gas hydrogen concentrations can then be related to dissolved hydrogen concentrations in water by Henry’s law coefficients (R. H. Perry and C. H. Chilton, *Chemical Engineers’ Handbook*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, P. 3–97 (1973)) or Ostwald coefficients (P. Gerhardt, R. G. E. Murray, W. A. Wood, and N. R. Krieg, *Methods for General and Molecular Bacteriology*, American Society for Microbiology, Washington D.C., pp. 145 and 184 (1969)). For example, at 20°C, Henry’s coefficient for hydrogen in water is 0.63 x 10^-3 atmospheres. The dissolved hydrogen concentration in water is calculated by the following equation:

C_h = C_0 * P_h / H

where C_h is the dissolved hydrogen concentration in liquid water in units of nM, P_h is the partial pressure of hydrogen in atmospheres, C_0 is the concentration of pure water in molality (M) units and is equal to 55.6 M, and H is Henry’s constant in units of atmospheres per M. At 20°C this equation can be reduced for most applications to:

C_h = 0.81 C_0

At 10°C, the equation can be reduced to:

C_h = 0.87 C_0

Finally, FIG. 4 depicts field application of hydrogen analyzer 38 of the present invention for measurement of dissolved hydrogen in groundwater. Contaminated ground 33 includes groundwater at level 34 and a groundwater monitoring well 35. Groundwater is pumped through tubing 36 via pump 37 to dissolved hydrogen analyzer 38 which discharges to waste container 39. Pump 37 and dissolved hydrogen analyzer 38 are powered by a 12-volt battery in automobile 40 via jumper cables 41. Typically, the total time required to complete Stages A–E and obtain a measurement of the dissolved hydrogen concentration is approximately 15–20 minutes.

Dissolved hydrogen concentration is an indicator of the type of TEAPs that are present in a body of water. Dissolved hydrogen concentrations ranging from 0.1–1.0 mM are associated with the iron-reducing TEAP, dissolved hydrogen concentrations ranging from 2–6 mM with the sulfidogenic TEAP, and dissolved hydrogen concentrations ranging from 10–20 mM with the methanogenic TEAP (F.H. Chapelle and P.B. McMahon, *J. Hydrology*, 12785–108 (1991)). One application of the present invention is to measure dissolved hydrogen concentrations in groundwater at contaminated sites. Measurement of dissolved hydrogen allows determination of the types of TEAPs that are present, and thus provides information about specific biodegradation processes. For example, reductive dechlorination is one mechanism of biodegradation of chlorinated organic contaminants. These contaminants can include trichloroethene (TCE) among others. Reductive dechlorination of TCE via a biological mechanism can involve the pathway: TCE → CCl4 → CCl3 → CCl2 → CCl → CO2, where CCl is chloroform, VC is vinyl chloride, and CO2 is carbon dioxide. The first two reactions (TCE → CCl4 → CCl3) are based on reductive dechlorination and typically occur in methanogenic and sulfidogenic TEAPs. The last reaction (CCl2 → CO2) is known to occur in the iron-reducing TEAP.

Knowledge of which TEAPs exist in different zones of an aquifer can indicate which of these reactions are occurring at a site.

Enhancement of reductive dechlorination can also be accomplished by increasing or adjusting the dissolved hydrogen concentration in groundwater to a desired level.

Dissolved hydrogen can be adjusted by sparging a mixture of hydrogen in nitrogen into groundwater thereby increasing the dissolved hydrogen concentration. Dissolved hydrogen can also be adjusted by adding the lactic acid-based preparation known as Hydrogen Release Compound (HRC) manufactured by Regenesis (San Juan Capistrano, Calif.). Lactic acid is slowly released by this preparation and is subsequently consumed by anaerobic bacteria that generate hydrogen. No matter how dissolved hydrogen in groundwater is adjusted, a means for measurement is required. The present invention can be used to measure dissolved hydrogen in such an application and thus facilitate accurate hydrogen concentration adjustment.

Anaerobic digesters are used to biodegrade waste water containing various organic compounds. These digesters employ consortia of anaerobic bacteria to accomplish the overall reaction: Organic matter → CO2 + H2, where CO2 is carbon dioxide and CH4 is methane. Instability of these consortia and thus of the digester is a major problem in waste water treatment. Digestors commonly become unstable and “go sour” which is attributable to excess acid production. Measurement of pH is not a useful process variable for controlling digestor stability because it does not indicate instability at a sufficiently early point in time.

Dissolved hydrogen concentration is a better indicator of digestor stability and is used as a process variable to be used in a process control algorithm. Dissolved hydrogen has been described as an “ideal variable” for monitoring and control of anaerobic systems (J.-C. Frigon and S. R. Guist, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*., 17:1080–1086 (1995)). Nonetheless, the dissolved hydrogen may be present at concentrations less than 100 ppm (W. R. Slater, M. Merighi, N. L. Ricker, F. Libby, J. F. Ferguson, and M. M. Benjamin, *Water Res.*, 24:121–128 (1990)). The present invention, which is capable of sensing dissolved hydrogen down to a concentration of about 0.1 mM, is thus useful for monitoring digestor stability.
Many useful biochemical products are produced in bioreactors that employ microorganisms. Many of these bioreactors are operated anaerobically. Examples of biochemical products produced in bioreactors that employ microorganisms include antibiotics, amino acids, proteins, vitamins, growth regulators, hormones, steroids, beer, and wine. Control of these processes is often difficult because the process variables are not easily measured. For example, antibiotics are sometimes measured using a bioassay that requires an inordinate amount of time for completion. Such assays are not amenable to incorporation into process control strategies. Surrogate process variables are of interest in the biochemical process industry and include pH, carbon dioxide evolution rate, and carbon source concentration, among others. These surrogate process variables are incorporated into a process control algorithm to enable prediction of biochemical reaction extent or rate. Dissolved hydrogen is another process variable that is useful in this regard and has been used to evaluate antibiotic susceptibility (E. G. Horsten, H. Elwing, E. Kluinbron, and I. Lundstrom, J. Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 15:695-700 (1982)) and bioreactor mixing inhomogeneity (N. Cletand, E. G. Horsten, H. Elwing, S. O. Enfors, and I. Lundstrom, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 20:468-270 (1984)). PD-MOS sensors have been used for these applications but may not be applicable to cases where hydrogen sulfide, carbon monoxide, or oxygen are present. In these cases, hydrogen analyzer 38 of the present invention will be useful.

Subsurface permeable walls composed of iron filings or other metallic materials are a useful remediation tool for chlorinated solvent plumes in aquifers. These metal-reactive walls promote reductive dechlorination by anaerobic corrosion processes that produce hydrogen. The rates of corrosion and reductive dechlorination are related to the rate of hydrogen production (E. Readon, Environ. Sci. Technol., 92:2936-2945 (1995)). Dissolved hydrogen concentrations are a potentially important parameter that can be used to monitor the rate or extent of such processes. These metal-reactive walls are in effect consumed over time because of corrosion. In addition, the rate can decrease due to passivation of the metal surfaces. Thus measurement of dissolved hydrogen can also be used to monitor the status of these metal-reactive walls. Upon a significant decrease in dissolved hydrogen concentration, for example, the metal-reactive wall may be in need of regeneration or replacement. The present invention will be useful for making these determinations.

Dissolved hydrogen can be used as an indicator of corrosion. Hydrogen is formed from water when metals undergo corrosion in the absence of oxygen. Hydrogen can also cause embrittlement stress cracking corrosion (SCC) of stainless steels. Measurement of dissolved hydrogen to assess and monitor corrosion is another use for the present invention. Monitoring of corrosion pertains to operation of process equipment, pipelines, boilers, and any metal system that involves the processing, storage, or conveyance of water-based liquids.

While the presently preferred use of the present invention is measurement of dissolved hydrogen in aqueous solution, measurement of dissolved hydrogen in nonaqueous liquids such as transformer oil is possible.

The following examples merely illustrate the various embodiments now contemplated for practicing the invention, but should not be construed to limit the invention.

EXAMPLE 1
Dissolved Hydrogen Transfer to Gaseous Nitrogen Across NeoMec TS-024005 Hollow Fiber Membrane Module

Groundwater containing different concentrations of dissolved hydrogen was circulated through a NeoMec TS-024005 hollow fiber gas membrane module.

This module contains 0.5 square feet of membrane surface area. The water containing dissolved hydrogen was pumped through the shell of the module at a flow rate of 220 milliliters per minute. A volume of 12 milliliters of nitrogen gas was circulated through the lumen of the hollow fibers at a flow rate of 5,000-7,000 milliliters per minute.

The concentration of dissolved hydrogen in the water was determined using the bubble strip method in conjunction with a reduction gas analyzer (F. H. Chapelle and P. B. McMahon, J. Hydrology, 127:85-108 (1991)). This concentration is reported in Table I as Cw, the equilibrated gas-phase hydrogen concentration in ppm. Table I shows that an equilibrated gas-phase hydrogen concentration of 0.43 ppm (equivalent to 0.34 m of dissolved hydrogen) equilibrated across the hollow fiber membrane module in 4 minutes. An equilibrated gas-phase hydrogen concentration of 87 ppm (equivalent to 70 m of dissolved hydrogen) equilibrated across the hollow fiber membrane module in 6 minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas-Phase Hydrogen Concentration (ppm) Tapped Across NeoMec TS-024005 Hollow Fiber Membrane Module</th>
<th>Bubble Strip Hydrogen Concentration Cw</th>
<th>Time (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(ppm)</td>
<td>(ppm)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXAMPLE 2
Hydrogen Sensor Response (mV/μmol) in Presence of Oxygen and Carbon Monoxide in Nitrogen Carrier Gas

A Shottky diode MIS hydrogen sensor containing an aluminized insulator and a palladium/silver metal gate was fabricated and tested for hydrogen sensitivity in nitrogen containing oxygen and carbon monoxide. The sensor temperature was controlled at 143°C for these tests. Table II shows the response of the sensor to hydrogen in nitrogen as Test 1. The response was significantly lower in the presence of low concentrations of oxygen (Test 2) or carbon monoxide (Test 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas Phase Hydrogen Concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>Hydrogen Sensor Response (mV/μmol) in Presence of Oxygen and Carbon Monoxide in Nitrogen Carrier Gas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test Conditions</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Control</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% O2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% CO</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXAMPLE 3
Removal of Oxygen and Carbon Monoxide from Carrier Gas

Oxygen and carbon monoxide removal from nitrogen carrier gas was tested with different compositions capable of removing oxygen and carbon dioxide, and gaseous hydrogen concentrations were monitored. The isocorbox acid-based...
preparation named Anserpack manufactured by Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Corporation (MGC America, Inc. (New York, N.Y.) was used for oxygen scavenging and the copper oxide-based preparation named Catalyst R3-11 manufactured by BASF Corporation was used for carbon monoxide scavenging. Anserpack was used as purchased and was placed in a plastic module through which carrier gas flowed. Catalyst R3-11 was used as purchased and was placed in a brass pipe through which carrier gas flowed and heated to a constant temperature of 70°C. A 500-milliliter volume of nitrogen carrier gas containing oxygen was circulated and gas samples were collected and analyzed on a gas chromatograph for oxygen and on a reduction gas analyzer for hydrogen and carbon monoxide.

Table III shows results for a control test (Test 1) where no scavengers were used, a second test (Test 2) where only the oxygen scavenger was used, and a third test (Test 3) where both scavengers were used. The results show that oxygen was not removed in Test 1. Oxygen was removed to 0% in Test 2 and hydrogen did not increase significantly. The carbon monoxide concentration did increase significantly due to reaction of oxygen with the oxygen scavenging preparation. Test 3 shows that in the presence of both scavengers oxygen and carbon monoxide were both removed. During Test 3 hydrogen did not increase significantly.

TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Conditions</th>
<th>Oxygen (%)</th>
<th>Hydrogen (ppm)</th>
<th>Carbon Monoxide (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Final</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scavengers</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3 &amp; CO</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXAMPLE 4

Effect of Water on Carbon Monoxide Removal by Catalyst R3-11

The effect of water on carbon monoxide removal by copper oxide-based Catalyst R3-11 was tested. A quantity of R3-11 was placed in a steam autoclave and treated for 15 minutes at 121°C to saturate the catalyst with water vapor. The catalyst was then placed in a brass module through which gas flowed and was heated to 70°C. A 10-milliliter volume of nitrogen carrier gas was circulated through the heated catalyst and 0.1 milliliter of carbon monoxide was injected into the carrier gas. Carbon monoxide concentration was monitored over time. Table IV shows that carbon monoxide concentration did not decrease significantly over four minutes. A control test where new Catalyst R3-11 was used and was not treated in the autoclave demonstrated significant removal of carbon monoxide from the carrier gas in less than four minutes as shown in Table IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In practice, water removal from carrier gas is preferred because of humidity that is created during equilibration of groundwater with the carrier gas in the hollow fiber membrane module, and because the ascorbic acid-based oxygen removal preparation is moist and releases moisture during use. Use of drying agents such as molecular sieves have proven to be useful in this regard. Tests using Catalyst R3-11 in combination with 13 x molecular sieves to remove water from carrier gas show that carbon monoxide removal is sustained for at least 20 cycles of oxygen removal. Use of Catalyst R3-11 in the absence of 13 x molecular sieves results in dramatic reduction of carbon monoxide removal capability within two to three cycles.

EXAMPLE 5

Analysis of Groundwater Containing Dissolved Hydrogen

Groundwater containing dissolved hydrogen was analyzed using dissolved hydrogen analyzer 38 depicted in Fig. 1 and results were compared to the bubble strip/reduction gas analyzer method. The groundwater was removed from a former manufacturing facility contaminated with chlorinated hydrocarbons. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene (cDCE) and vinyl chloride (VC) are the predominant contaminants present in groundwater. Groundwater samples were collected using a pneumatic bladder pump at a volumetric flow rate of 1,000 milliliters per minute. The actual dissolved hydrogen concentrations were as shown in Table V. Hydrogen analyzer 38 was operated with Stage A (sensor preparation) for 2 minutes, Stage B (nitrogen purge) for 1 minute, Stage C (hydrogen equilibration) for 3 minutes, Stage D (carrier gas preparation), and Stage E (measurement). Catalyst R3-11 was operated at 70°C. The sensor was operated at 117°C for these tests. Table V shows analyzer 38 was capable of measuring dissolved hydrogen with a percent error ranging from -62% to 14%.

TABLE V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Analyzer</th>
<th>Difference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-6.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While the preferred embodiment of the invention has been illustrated and described, it will be appreciated that various changes can be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

The embodiments of the invention in which an exclusive property or privilege is claimed are defined as follows.

1. A hydrogen analyzer comprising in gaseous communication:
19. A mass transfer unit, having a liquid portion and a gaseous portion, through which hydrogen gas is transferred from a liquid analyte to a carrier gas;
a gas equilibrium reservoir within which hydrogen gas transferred from the analyte is equilibrated;
an oxygen unit for removal of oxygen from the carrier gas containing hydrogen;
a hydrogen sensor for measuring the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas from which oxygen has been removed, wherein the hydrogen sensor is capable of detecting hydrogen in carrier gas equilibrated with hydrogen dissolved in an aqueous medium at a concentration of on the order of 0.1 nM; and
a pump for moving the carrier gas through the mass transfer unit, gas equilibrium reservoir, oxygen unit and hydrogen sensor, all of which are connected in fluid flow communication.

2. The hydrogen analyzer of claim 1, further comprising a carbon monoxide unit for removal of carbon monoxide from the carrier gas containing hydrogen.

3. The hydrogen analyzer of claim 2, further comprising a sulfur unit for removing sulfur compounds from the carrier gas containing hydrogen.

4. The hydrogen analyzer of claim 1, further comprising a sulfur unit for removing sulfur compounds from the carrier gas containing hydrogen.

5. A hydrogen analyzer comprising in gaseous communication:
a mass transfer unit, having a liquid portion and a gaseous portion, through which hydrogen gas is transferred from a liquid analyte to a carrier gas;
a gas equilibrium reservoir within which hydrogen gas transferred from the analyte is equilibrated;
an oxygen unit for removal of oxygen from the carrier gas containing hydrogen;
a hydrogen sensor for measuring the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas from which oxygen has been removed;
a pump for moving the carrier gas through the mass transfer unit, gas equilibrium reservoir, oxygen unit and hydrogen sensor, all of which are connected in fluid flow communication;
a carbon monoxide unit for removal of carbon monoxide from the carrier gas containing hydrocarbons; and
a sulfur unit for removing sulfur compounds from the carrier gas containing hydrogen, wherein the sulfur and carbon monoxide removal units comprise a unit including a composition that is capable of removing both carbon monoxide and sulfur compounds from the carrier gas.

6. The hydrogen analyzer of claim 5, wherein the gas equilibrium reservoir is defined by the sulfur and carbon monoxide removal unit.

7. The hydrogen analyzer of claim 5, wherein the carbon monoxide and sulfur compound removal composition is catalyst R3-11.

8. The hydrogen analyzer of claim 7, further comprising a heater coupled to heat the carrier gas containing hydrogen to a temperature of 35° C. to 80° C. as the carrier gas flows through the carbon monoxide unit.

9. The hydrogen analyzer of claim 6, further comprising a moisture removal unit for removing moisture from the carrier gas.

10. The hydrogen analyzer of claim 9, wherein the moisture removal unit further comprises a moisture-removal composition selected from the group consisting of molecular sieves and a calcium sulfate preparation.

11. The hydrogen analyzer of claim 6, wherein the carbon monoxide and sulfur compound removal composition is a catalyst preparation comprising magnesium oxide, copper oxide and aluminum oxide.

12. A hydrogen analyzer comprising in gaseous communication:
a mass transfer unit, having a liquid portion and a gaseous portion, through which hydrogen gas is transferred from a liquid analyte to a carrier gas;
a gas equilibrium reservoir within which hydrogen gas transferred from the analyte is equilibrated;
an oxygen unit for removal of oxygen from the carrier gas containing hydrogen;
a hydrogen sensor for measuring the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas from which oxygen has been removed;
a pump for moving the carrier gas through the mass transfer unit, gas equilibrium reservoir, oxygen unit and hydrogen sensor, all of which are connected in fluid flow communication;
a carbon monoxide unit for removal of carbon monoxide from the carrier gas containing hydrogen; and
a heater coupled to heat the carrier gas containing hydrogen as the carrier gas flows through the carbon monoxide unit.

13. A hydrogen analyzer comprising in gaseous communication:
a mass transfer unit, having a liquid portion and a gaseous portion, through which hydrogen gas is transferred from a liquid analyte to a carrier gas, wherein the mass transfer unit is selected from the group consisting of a hollow fiber gas transfer module and a sparger;
a gas equilibrium reservoir within which hydrogen gas transferred from the analyte is equilibrated;
an oxygen unit for removal of oxygen from the carrier gas containing hydrogen;
a hydrogen sensor for measuring the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas from which oxygen has been removed;
a pump for moving the carrier gas through the mass transfer unit, gas equilibrium reservoir, oxygen unit and hydrogen sensor, all of which are connected in fluid flow communication.

14. A hydrogen analyzer comprising in gaseous communication:
a mass transfer unit, having a liquid portion and a gaseous portion, through which hydrogen gas is transferred from a liquid analyte to a carrier gas;
a gas equilibrium reservoir within which hydrogen gas transferred from the analyte is equilibrated;
an oxygen unit for removal of oxygen from the carrier gas containing hydrogen, wherein the oxygen removal unit comprises a composition oxygen removal composition;
a hydrogen sensor for measuring the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas from which oxygen has been removed; and
a pump for moving the carrier gas through the mass transfer unit, gas equilibrium reservoir, oxygen unit and hydrogen sensor, all of which are connected in fluid flow communication.

15. The oxygen removal component of claim 14, wherein the oxygen removal unit is an ascorbic acid derivative.
16. The hydrogen analyzer of claim 14, wherein the hydrogen sensor comprises a metal oxide semiconductor.

17. A hydrogen analyzer comprising in gaseous communication:
   a mass transfer unit, having a liquid portion and a gaseous portion, through which hydrogen gas is transferred from a liquid analyte to a carrier gas;
   a gas equilibrium reservoir within which hydrogen gas transferred from the analyte is equilibrated;
   an oxygen unit for removal of oxygen from the carrier gas containing hydrogen;
   a hydrogen sensor for measuring the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas from which oxygen has been removed, wherein the hydrogen sensor is selected from the group consisting of a Shottky diode and a field effect transistor; and
   a pump for moving the carrier gas through the mass transfer unit, gas equilibrium reservoir, oxygen unit and hydrogen sensor, all of which are connected in fluid flow communication.

18. A hydrogen analyzer comprising in gaseous communication:
   a mass transfer unit, having a liquid portion and a gaseous portion, through which hydrogen gas is transferred from a liquid analyte to a carrier gas;
   a gas equilibrium reservoir within which hydrogen gas transferred from the analyte is equilibrated;
   a carbon monoxide unit for removal of carbon monoxide from the carrier gas containing hydrogen;
   an oxygen unit for removal of oxygen from the carrier gas containing hydrogen;
   a sulfur unit for removing sulfur compounds from the carrier gas containing hydrogen;

   a moisture unit for removing moisture from the carrier gas;
   a hydrogen sensor for measuring the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas from which carbon monoxide, oxygen, sulfur compounds and moisture have been removed, said hydrogen sensor being capable of detecting hydrogen in carrier gas equilibrated with hydrogen dissolved in an aqueous medium at a concentration of on the order of 0.1 nM; and
   a pump for moving the carrier gas through the mass transfer unit, gas equilibrium reservoir, carbon monoxide, oxygen, sulfur and moisture units and hydrogen sensor, all of which are connected in fluid flow communication.

19. A hydrogen analyzer comprising in gaseous communication:
   a mass transfer unit, having a liquid portion and a gaseous portion, through which hydrogen gas is transferred from a liquid analyte to a carrier gas;
   a gas equilibrium reservoir within which hydrogen gas transferred from the analyte is equilibrated;
   an oxygen unit for removal of oxygen from the carrier gas containing hydrogen that neither produces nor consumes hydrogen;
   a hydrogen sensor for measuring the amount of hydrogen in the carrier gas from which oxygen has been removed; and
   a pump for moving the carrier gas through the mass transfer unit, gas equilibrium reservoir, oxygen unit and hydrogen sensor, all of which are connected in fluid flow communication.